

ESTIMATE OF SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO SYMMETRIC POINTS

GAGANDEEP SINGH^{1*} AND GURCHARANJIT SINGH²

¹Department of Mathematics, M. S. K. Girls College, Bharowal(Tarn-Taran), Punjab(India)

²Department of Mathematics, Guru Nanak Dev University College, Chungh(Tarn-Taran), Punjab(India)

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT. In the present investigation an upper bound of second Hankel determinant $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$ for the functions belonging to the class $M_s(\alpha; A, B)$ is studied. The results due to various authors follow as special cases.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic functions, Subordination, Schwarz function, Second Hankel determinant.

1. INTRODUCTION

By A , we denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

analytic in the unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Let U is the class of bounded analytic functions $w(z)$ in the unit disc E and of the form

$$w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n, \quad z \in E,$$

which satisfy the conditions $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$.

Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be two analytic functions in the unit disc E , then $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $F(z)$ if there exists a function $w(z) \in U$ such that $f(z) = F(w(z))$ and we write as $f(z) \prec F(z)$.

$M_s(\alpha; A, B)$ represents the class of functions $f(z)$ in A which satisfy the condition

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{2zf'(z) + 2\alpha z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\alpha)(f(z) - f(-z)) + \alpha z(f(z) - f(-z))'} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, z \in E.$$

The following observations are obvious:

(i) $M_s(\alpha; 1, -1) \equiv M_s(\alpha)$, the class introduced by Selvaraj and Vasanthi [12].

(ii) $M_s(0; 1, -1) \equiv S_s^*$, the class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points introduced by Sakaguchi [11].

(iii) $M_s(1; 1, -1) \equiv K_s$, the class of convex functions with respect to symmetric points introduced by Das and Singh [1].

(iv) $M_s(0; A, B) \equiv S_s^*(A, B)$, the subclass of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points introduced and studied by Goel and Mehrook [2].

(v) $M_s(1; A, B) \equiv K_s(A, B)$, the subclass of convex functions with respect to symmetric points.

In 1976, Noonan and Thomas [9] stated the q th Hankel determinant of $f(z)$ for $q \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ as

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n+q-1} & \dots & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

For our discussion in this paper, we consider the Hankel determinant in the case of $q = 2$ and $n = 2$, known as second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ and obtain an upper bound to the functional $H_2(2)$ for $f(z) \in M_s(\alpha; A, B)$.

Easily, one can observe that the Fekete-Szegő functional is $H_2(1)$. Earlier Janteng et al. [3, 4, 5], Mehrook and Singh [8], Singh [13, 14] obtained sharp upper bounds of $H_2(2)$ for different classes of analytic functions.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let P be the family of all functions p analytic in E for which $Re(p(z)) > 0$ and

$$(2.1) \quad p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$$

for $z \in E$.

Lemma 2.1. If $p \in P$, then $|p_k| \leq 2$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

This result is due to Pommerenke [10].

Lemma 2.2. If $p \in P$, then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x,$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2p_1(4 - p_1^2)x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z,$$

for some x and z satisfying $|x| \leq 1$, $|z| \leq 1$ and $p_1 \in [0, 2]$.

This result was proved by Libera and Zlotkiewicz [6, 7]

Theorem 2.1. If $f \in M_s(\alpha; A, B)$, then

$$(2.2) \quad |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)^2}{4(1 + 2\alpha)^2}.$$

Proof. As $f \in M_s(\alpha; A, B)$, so there exists a Schwarz function $w(z) \in U$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{2zf'(z) + 2\alpha z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \alpha)(f(z) - f(-z)) + \alpha z(f(z) - f(-z))'} = \phi(w(z))$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad \phi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} = 1 + (A - B)z - B(A - B)z^2 + B^2(A - B)z^3 + \dots = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$$

Define the function $p_1(z)$ by

$$(2.5) \quad p_1(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $Re(p_1(z)) > 0$ and $p_1(0) = 1$. Define the function $h(z)$ by

$$(2.6) \quad h(z) = \frac{2zf'(z) + 2\alpha z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \alpha)(f(z) - f(-z)) + \alpha z(f(z) - f(-z))'} = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \dots$$

In view of the equations (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(z) &= \phi \left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right) = \phi \left(\frac{c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots}{2 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots} \right) \\
&= \phi \left(\frac{1}{2} c_1 z + \frac{1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(c_3 - c_1 c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right) z^3 \dots \right) \\
&= 1 + \frac{B_1 c_1}{2} z + \left[\frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4} \right] z^2 \\
&+ \left[\frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_3 - c_1 c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_3 c_1^3}{8} \right] z^3 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.7) \quad b_1 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{2}; b_2 = \frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4}; b_3 = \frac{B_1}{2} \left(c_3 - c_1 c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right) + \frac{B_2 c_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{B_3 c_1^3}{8}.$$

Using (2.4) and (2.6) in (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad a_2 = \frac{(A - B)c_1}{4(1 + \alpha)},$$

$$(2.9) \quad a_3 = \frac{(A - B)}{8(1 + 2\alpha)} [2c_2 - (B + 1)c_1^2]$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad a_4 = \frac{(A - B)}{64(1 + 3\alpha)} [8c_3 + 2(A - 5B - 4)c_1 c_2 + (B + 1)(3B - A + 2)c_1^3].$$

Using (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), it yields

$$(2.11) \quad a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 = \frac{(A - B)^2}{C(\alpha)} [2Lc_1(4c_3) + Mc_1^2(2c_2) - Nc_1^4 - 4R(4c_2^2)]$$

where $C(\alpha) = 256(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2$,

$$L = (1 + 2\alpha)^2,$$

$$M = (1 + 2\alpha)^2 A + [8(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \alpha) - 5(1 + 2\alpha)^2] B + [8(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \alpha) - 4(1 + 2\alpha)^2],$$

$$N = (B + 1)[(1 + 2\alpha)^2 A + [4(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \alpha) - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2] B + [4(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \alpha) - 2(1 + 2\alpha)^2]]$$

and

$$R = (1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \alpha).$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 in (2.11), we obtain

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = \frac{(A - B)^2}{C(\alpha)} \left| \begin{array}{l} -[(1 + 2\alpha)^2AB + [4(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2]B^2]c_1^4 \\ +[(1 + 2\alpha)^2A + [8(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - 5(1 + 2\alpha)^2]B]c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)x \\ -2[8(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - [2(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - (1 + 2\alpha)^2]c_1^2](4 - c_1^2)x^2 \\ +4(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)c_1(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z \end{array} \right|.$$

Assume that $c_1 = c$ and $c \in [0, 2]$, using triangular inequality and $|z| \leq 1$, we have

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)^2}{C(\alpha)} \left[\begin{array}{l} [2(4 - c^2)(8(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - (2(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - (1 + 2\alpha)^2)c^2) \\ -4(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)c(4 - c^2)]\delta^2 \\ +|(1 + 2\alpha)^2A + [8(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - 5(1 + 2\alpha)^2]B|(4 - c^2)c^2\delta \\ +|(1 + 2\alpha)^2AB + [4(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2]B^2|c^4 \\ +4(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)c(4 - c^2) \end{array} \right].$$

Therefore

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)^2}{C(\alpha)} F(\delta),$$

where $\delta = |x| \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F(\delta) = & [2(4 - c^2)(8(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - (2(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - (1 + 2\alpha)^2)c^2) \\ & -4(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)c(4 - c^2)]\delta^2 \\ & +|(1 + 2\alpha)^2A + [8(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - 5(1 + 2\alpha)^2]B|(4 - c^2)c^2\delta \\ & +|(1 + 2\alpha)^2AB + [4(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2]B^2|c^4 \\ & +4(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)c(4 - c^2) \end{aligned}$$

is an increasing function.

Therefore $\text{Max}F(\delta) = F(1)$.

Consequently

$$(2.12) \quad |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)^2}{C(\alpha)} G(c),$$

where $G(c) = F(1)$.

So

$$G(c) = S(\alpha)c^4 + T(\alpha)c^2 + 64(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + \alpha)$$

where

$$S(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} |(1+2\alpha)^2 AB + [4(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 3(1+2\alpha)^2] B^2| \\ -|(1+2\alpha)^2 A + [8(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 5(1+2\alpha)^2] B| \\ +2[2(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - (1+2\alpha)^2] \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} 4|(1+2\alpha)^2 A + [8(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 5(1+2\alpha)^2] B| \\ -8[4(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - (1+2\alpha)^2] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$G'(c) = 4S(\alpha)c^3 + 2T(\alpha)c$$

and

$$G''(c) = 12S(\alpha)c^2 + 2T(\alpha).$$

$G'(c) = 0$ gives

$$c[2S(\alpha)c^2 + T(\alpha)] = 0.$$

$G''(c)$ is negative at $c = 0$.

So $\text{Max}G(c) = G(1)$.

Hence from (2.12), we obtain (2.2).

The result is sharp for $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$ and $c_3 = 0$.

For $A = 1, B = -1$, Theorem 2.1 gives the following result due to Singh [13].

Corollary 2.1. If $f(z) \in M_s(\alpha)$, then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{(1+2\alpha)^2}.$$

For $\alpha = 0, A = 1, B = -1$, Theorem 2.1 gives the following result due to Janteng et al. [5].

Corollary 2.2. If $f(z) \in S_s^*$, then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1.$$

For $\alpha = 1, A = 1, B = -1$, Theorem 2.1 gives the following result due to Janteng et al. [5].

Corollary 2.3. If $f(z) \in K_s$, then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{9}.$$

For $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 2.1 gives the following result.

Corollary 2.4. If $f(z) \in S_s^*(A, B)$, then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)^2}{4}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 2.1 gives the following result.

Corollary 2.5. If $f(z) \in K_s(A, B)$, then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)^2}{36}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. N. Das and P. Singh, On subclasses of schlicht mappings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8(1977), 864-872.
- [2] R. M. Goel and B. S. Mehrook, A subclass of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 13(1)(1982), 11-24.
- [3] Aini Janteng, Suzeini Abdul Halim and Maslina Darus, Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7(2) (2006), 1-5, Art. 50.
- [4] Aini Janteng, Suzeini Abdul Halim and Maslina Darus, Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 1(13) (2007), 619-625.
- [5] Aini Janteng, Suzeini Abdul Halim and Maslina Darus, Hankel determinant for functions starlike and convex with respect to symmetric points, *J. Quality Measurement and Anal.*, 2(1)(2006), 37-43.
- [6] R. J. Libera and E-J. Zlotkiewicz, Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 85(1982), 225-230.
- [7] R. J. Libera and E-J. Zlotkiewicz, Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in P, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 87(1983), 251-257.
- [8] B. S. Mehrook and Gagandeep Singh, Estimate of second Hankel determinant for certain classes of analytic functions, *Scientia Magna*, 8(3)(2012), 85-94.
- [9] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, On the second Hankel determinant of a really mean p-valent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 223(2)(1976), 337-346.
- [10] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent functions*, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht., 1975.
- [11] K. Sakaguchi, On a certain univalent mapping, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 11(1959), 72-80.
- [12] C. Selvaraj and N. Vasanthi, Subclasses of analytic functions with respect to symmetric and conjugate points, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 42(1)(2011), 87-94.
- [13] Gagandeep Singh, Hankel determinant for new subclasses of analytic functions with respect to symmetric points, *Int. J. of Modern Math. Sci.*, 5(2)(2013), 67-76.
- [14] Gagandeep Singh, Hankel determinant for a new subclass of analytic functions, *Scientia Magna*, 8(4)(2012), 61-65.