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#### Abstract

The ongoing study and the well-known idea of coefficient estimates for the classes of analytic and bi-univalent functions serve as our inspirations for this paper. We begin by outlining a brand-new subclass $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$ of analytical and bi-univalent functions connected to the four leaf domain. The Fekete-Szego issue is then solved for functions in class $\mathcal{F \mathcal { D } _ { \Sigma }}$ related to a four leaf domain, and bound estimates for the coefficients are provided. The upper bound estimate for the second hankel determinant is also calculated. We also demonstrate the sharpness of these boundaries.
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## 1. Introduction and Definitions

In the region $\lambda:=\{z \in \mathcal{C}:|z|<1\}$, let $\mathcal{H}$ represent the family of analytic functions. A collection of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ in the Taylor series form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)-z=\sum_{\lambda=2}^{\infty} n_{\lambda} z^{\lambda} \quad(z \in \lambda) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is denoted by the notation $\mathcal{A}$.
Analytic functions $\sigma_{1}(z)$ and $\sigma_{2}(z)$ shall exist. If there is an analytic function $\omega(z)(\omega)=0$ and $|\omega(z)|<1)$ such that $\sigma_{1}(z)=\sigma_{2}(\omega(z))$, then $\sigma_{1}(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $\sigma_{2}(z)$, denoted as $\sigma_{1}(z) \prec \sigma_{2}(z)$. We say

$$
\sigma_{1} \prec \sigma_{2} \Longleftrightarrow \sigma_{1}(0)=\sigma_{2}(0) \text { and } \sigma_{1}(\lambda) \subseteq \sigma_{2}(\lambda)
$$

suppose $\sigma_{2}(z)$ is univalent in $\lambda$.
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Despite the fact that the univalent function theory was founded in 1851, the coefficient conjecture, given by Bieberbach [1] in 1916 and subsequently verified by De-Branges [2] in 1985, elevated the theory to one of the growing areas of possible research. Several academics sought to verify or refute this conjecture between 1916 and 1985, resulting in the establishment of several subclasses of the class $\mathcal{S}$ that are based on the geometry of picture domains. $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are the most researched and essential subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$, containing starlike and convex functions, respectively.

In 1992, Ma and Minda [3] proposed the following generic form of the class

$$
\mathcal{S}^{*}(\beta)=\left[f \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \beta(z)\right],
$$

$\beta$ being an analytic function with $\Re(\beta(z))>0$ and $z \in \lambda$. Furthermore, the function $f$ is symmetric about the real axis and maps $\lambda$ onto a star-shaped domain with relation to $\beta(0)=1$.

Every function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ given by (1.1) has an inverse function $f^{-1}(z)$ defined as follows:

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z, z \in \lambda, f\left(f^{-1}(\eta)\right)=\eta, \eta \in \lambda_{t_{0}}=\left\{\eta \in \mathcal{C}:|\eta|<t_{0}(f)\right\}, 1 / 4 \leq t_{0}(f)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(\eta)=\eta+D_{2} \eta^{2}+D_{3} \eta^{3}+D_{4} \eta^{4}+\cdots, \quad, \quad \eta \in \lambda_{t_{0}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D_{2}=-n_{2}, D_{3}=2 n_{2}^{2}-n_{3}, D_{4}=-5 n_{2}^{3}+5 n_{2} n_{3}-n_{4}
$$

which is a well-known fact.
Additionally, it is widely known that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is referred to as a bi-univalent function in $\lambda$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are, respectively, univalent in $\lambda$ and $\lambda_{t_{0}}$. Let (1.1) be the collection of biunivalent functions in $\lambda$ denoted by $\Sigma$. See [4] for a brief history and examples of functions in the class $\Sigma$.

To proceed, Lewin [5] defined the class of bi-univalent functions and estimated $\left|n_{2}\right| \leq 1.51$. After that, Brannan and Clunie [6] expanded Lewin's findings to $\left|n_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $f \in \Sigma$. As a result, Netanyahu [7] shown that $\left|n_{2}\right| \leq \frac{4}{3}$. Brannan and Taha [8] previously introduced some subclasses of the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$, specifically the bi-starlike function of order $\xi$ denoted $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\xi)$ and the bi-convex function of order $\xi$ denoted $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\xi)$, which correspond to the function classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\xi)$. In $[6,8]$, nonsharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients were found for each of the function classes $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\xi)$. Many researchers (see $\left.[9,10]\right)$ have developed and investigated various intriguing subclasses of the bi-univalent function class, and they have discovered nonsharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients. However, the sharp estimates for each of the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|n_{k}\right|, k=2,3,4, \cdots$ remain an unsolved problem (see $[4,7,8]$ for additional information). Recently, Deniz et al. [11] achieved the upper bounds of $\left|H_{2}(2)\right|=\left|n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}\right|$ for the classes $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\xi)$ and
$\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\xi)$. Soon after, Orhan et al. [12] revisited the study of bounds for the second Hankel determinant of the bi-univalent function subclass $M_{\Sigma}^{\xi}(\beta)$, and Mustafa et al. [13] enhanced the [11] results.

Definition 1.1. [14] Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has given in (1.1). We denote by $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{T}_{4 l}$ the class of analytic functions meeting the condition

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\frac{5}{6} z+\frac{1}{6} z^{5} \quad z \in \lambda .
$$

Gandhi developed a family of starlike functions associated with a four-leaf function defined by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{4 l}^{*}=\left[f \in \mathcal{S}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+\frac{6}{5} z+\frac{1}{6} z^{5}, \quad(z \in \lambda)\right]
$$

and characterized it with several main attributes in [15].
Inspired by the aforementioned works, we define the subclass of bi-univalent functions represented by $\Sigma$.

Definition 1.2. $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$, suppose the following conditions are met:

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \prec \Omega(z)=1+\frac{5}{6} z+\frac{1}{6} z^{4}
$$

and

$$
\left(f^{-1}(\eta)\right)^{\prime} \prec \Omega(\eta)=1+\frac{5}{6} \eta+\frac{1}{6} \eta^{4}
$$

where $z, \eta \in \Phi$ and $f^{-1}(\eta)$ is given in (1.2).
In this research, motivated by the work of Mustafa and Murugusundaramoorthy [16], we solve the Fekete-Szegö problem for the functions in the class $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$ related to a four leaf domain and provide bound estimates for the coefficients.

We also calculate the upper bound estimate for the second Hankel determinant.

The following lemma is required to prove our main findings.
Lemma 1.3. $[17,18]$ Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all analytic functions $a$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z)=1+\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda} z^{\lambda} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $\Re(a(z))>0, z \in \lambda$ and $a(0)=1$. Then,

$$
\left|a_{\lambda}\right| \leq 2, \lambda=1,2,3, \cdots
$$

For any value of $\lambda=1,2,3, \cdots$, this inequality is sharp. For example, the function

$$
a(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}
$$

is equal for all $\lambda$.

Lemma 1.4. $[17,18]$ Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all analytic functions $a$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z)=1+\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda} z^{\lambda} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $\Re(a(z))>0, z \in \lambda$ and $a(0)=1$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 a_{2}=a_{1}^{2}+\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right) n \\
4 a_{3}=a_{1}^{3}+2\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right) a_{1} n-\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right) a_{1} n^{2}+2\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-|n|^{2}\right) z
\end{gathered}
$$

for some $n, z$ with $|n| \leq 1,|z| \leq 1$.
Lemma 1.5. [18] If and only if the Toeplitz determinants

$$
H_{j}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & a_{1} & a_{2} & \ldots & a_{j}  \tag{1.5}\\
a_{-1} & 2 & a_{1} & \ldots & a_{j-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\
a_{-j} & a_{-j+1} & a_{-j+2} & \ldots & 2
\end{array}\right|, j=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

and $a_{-j}=\overline{a_{j}}$ are all nonnegative, the power series given in (1.3) converges in $\lambda$ to the function $a \in \mathcal{P}$. Except for

$$
a(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{j} \rho_{j} a_{0}\left(a^{i x_{n} z}\right), \rho_{j}>0, x_{j} \text { real }
$$

and $x_{j} \neq x_{k}$ for $j \neq k$ in this example, they are all strictly positive. $H_{j}>0$ for $j<n-1$ and $H_{j}=0$ for $j \geq n$.
Notation 1.6. Since $a \in \mathcal{P}, H_{j} \geq 0$ and $a_{-1}=\overline{a_{1}} \geq 0$ are true, as stated by Lemma 1.5. This results in $H_{j}=\left|\begin{array}{rc}2 & a_{1} \\ a_{1} & 2\end{array}\right| \geq 0$ and $a_{1}=\overline{a_{1}}=a_{-1} \geq 0$. As a result, $4-a_{1}^{2} \geq 0$ and $a_{1} \geq 0$ are equal to $a_{1} \in[0,2]$. For these reasons, we will assume throughout our investigation that $\left|4-a_{1}^{2}\right|=\left|4-\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}\right|=4-\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}$ for $a_{1}$, the first coefficient in (1.3).

Proposition 1.7. [19] If the function

$$
a(z)=1+\sum_{j \geq 1} a_{j} z^{j}, \quad v(z)=1+\sum_{j \geq 1} v_{j} z^{j}
$$

which are in the class of $\mathcal{P}$ and $a_{1}=-v_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}-v_{2}=\frac{\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right)(n-y)}{2}  \tag{1.6}\\
& a_{2}+v_{2}=h_{1}^{2}+\frac{\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right)(n+y)}{2} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{3}-v_{3} & =\frac{a_{1}^{3}}{2}+\frac{\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right)(n+y)}{2} a_{1}-\frac{\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right)\left(n^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{4} a_{1} \\
& +\frac{\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right)\left[\left(1-|n|^{2}\right) z-\left(1-|y|^{2}\right) w\right]}{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|v_{1}\right| \in[0,2], z, n, w, y$ with $|z|,|n|,|w|,|y| \leq 1$.

## 2. Coefficients bound estimates

In this section, we show the following theorem regarding upper bound estimates for the few initial coefficients of the functions belonging within the class $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|n_{2}\right| \leq \frac{5}{12} \\
& \left|n_{3}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{5}{18}, \frac{25}{144}\right\}, \\
& \left|n_{4}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{5}{24}, \frac{25}{216}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result obtained here are sharp for

$$
f_{n}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{5}{6} t^{n}+\frac{1}{6} t^{5 n}\right) \cdot d t=z+\frac{5}{6(n+1)} z^{n+1}+\frac{1}{6(5 n+1)} z^{5 n+1}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$. Then, there are holomorphic functions $\rho: \lambda \longrightarrow \lambda, \varrho: \lambda_{t_{o}} \longrightarrow \lambda_{t_{o}}$ with $\rho(0)=0=\varrho(0),|\rho(z)| \leq 1,|\varrho(\varpi)|<1$ fulfilling the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\Omega(\rho(z))=1+\frac{5}{6} \rho(z)+\frac{1}{6}(\rho(z))^{4}, \quad z \in \lambda \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{-1}(\eta)\right)^{\prime}=\Omega(\varrho(\eta))=1+\frac{5}{6} \varrho(\eta)+\frac{1}{6}(\varrho(\eta))^{4}, \quad \eta \in \lambda . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $a, v \in \mathcal{P}$ are defined as follows:

$$
a(z)=\frac{1+\rho(z)}{1-\rho(z)}=1+\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda} z^{\lambda}, \quad z \in \lambda
$$

and

$$
v(\eta)=\frac{1+\varrho(\eta)}{1-\varrho(\eta)}=1+\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} v_{\lambda} \eta^{\lambda}, \quad \eta \in \lambda_{t_{o}} .
$$

As a result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(z)=\frac{a(z)-1}{a(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[a_{1} z+\left(a_{2}-\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2}+\frac{a_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) z^{3}+\cdots\right], z \in \lambda \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho(\eta)=\frac{v(\eta)-1}{v(\eta)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[v_{1} \eta+\left(v_{2}-\frac{v_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \eta^{2}+\left(v_{3}+v_{1} v_{2}+\frac{v_{1}^{3}}{4}\right) \eta^{3}+\cdots\right], \eta \in \lambda_{t_{0}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain by substituting the expressions for the functions $\rho(z)$ and $\varrho(\eta)$ in (2.1) and (2.2) with those in (2.3) and (2.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=1+\frac{5}{12} a_{1} z+\left[\frac{5}{12} a_{2}-\frac{5}{24} a_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\left[\frac{5}{12} a_{3}-\frac{5}{12} a_{1} a_{2}+\frac{5}{48} a_{1}^{3}\right] z^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{-1}(\eta)\right)^{\prime}=1+\frac{5}{12} v_{1} \eta+\left[\frac{5}{12} v_{2}-\frac{5}{24} v_{1}^{2}\right] \eta^{2}+\left[\frac{5}{12} v_{3}-\frac{5}{12} v_{1} v_{2}+\frac{5}{48} v_{1}^{3}\right] \eta^{3}+\cdots . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following equations are derived for $n_{2}, n_{3}$, and $n_{4}$ if the operations and simplifications on the left side of (2.5) and (2.6) are made and the coefficients of the terms of the same degree are equalized.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 n_{2}=\frac{5}{12} a_{1}  \tag{2.7}\\
& 3 n_{3}=\frac{5}{12} a_{2}-\frac{5}{24} a_{1}^{2}  \tag{2.8}\\
& 4 n_{4}=\frac{5}{12} a_{3}-\frac{5}{12} a_{1} a_{2}+\frac{5}{48} a_{1}^{3} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 n_{2} & =\frac{5}{12} v_{1}  \tag{2.10}\\
-n_{3}+6 n_{2}^{2} & =\frac{5}{12} v_{2}-\frac{5}{24} v_{1}^{2}  \tag{2.11}\\
-4 n_{4}+20 n_{2} n_{3}-20 n_{2}^{3} & =\frac{5}{12} v_{3}-\frac{5}{12} v_{1} v_{2}+\frac{5}{48} v_{1}^{3} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

On the basis of equations (2.7) and (2.10), we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{5 a_{1}}{24}=n_{2}=\frac{-5 v_{1}}{25} \Rightarrow n_{1}=-v_{1}, n_{1}^{2}=n_{1}^{2}, n_{1}^{3}=-v_{1}^{3} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first result of the theorem is evident based on this and Lemma 1.3.
By deducting (2.11) from (2.8) and taking into account the equivalence in (2.13), we obtain

$$
n_{3}=n_{2}^{2}+\frac{5\left[a_{2}-v_{2}\right]}{72} ;
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{3}=\frac{25 a_{1}^{2}}{576}+\frac{5\left[a_{2}-v_{2}\right]}{72} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, by reducing (2.12) by (2.9), taking into account the equalities (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{4}=\frac{5}{432} a_{1}^{3}+\frac{125}{3456} a_{1}\left(a_{2}-v_{2}\right)+\frac{5}{96}\left(a_{3}-v_{3}\right)-\frac{5}{96} a_{1}\left(a_{2}+v_{2}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equivalence in Preposition 1.7 is substituted into (2.14), and the resulting expression for the coefficient $n_{3}$ is as follows:

$$
n_{3}=\frac{25 a_{1}^{2}}{576}+\frac{5}{144}\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right)(n-y) .
$$

Take note that $\left|4-a_{1}^{2}\right|=\left|4-\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}\right|=4-\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}=\left|4-k^{2}\right|=4-k^{2}$ can be written if we take $\left|a_{1}\right|=k$. (see, also Notation (1.6)). That is, we may assume without restriction that $a \in[0,2]$. In that instance, we can express the inequality for $\left|n_{3}\right|$ by using a triangle inequality and setting $|n|=\alpha$ and $|y|=\delta$.

$$
\left|n_{3}\right| \leq \frac{25 k^{2}}{576}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144}(\alpha+\delta), \quad(\alpha, \delta) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

Let's now define the function $J: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
J(\alpha, \delta)=\frac{25 k^{2}}{576}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144}(\alpha+\delta), \quad(\alpha, \delta) \in[0,1]^{2} .
$$

The function $J$ on the closed square $\Delta=\left\{(\alpha, \delta):(\alpha, \delta) \in[0,1]^{2}\right\}$ needs to be maximized.
It is evident that the function $J$ reaches its highest value at the closed square's $\Delta$ boundary. When we differentiate the function $J(\alpha, \delta)$ with regard to parameter $\alpha$, we get:

$$
J_{\alpha}(\alpha, \delta)=\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144} .
$$

Since the function $J(\alpha, \delta)$ has a maximum value at $\alpha=1$ and $J_{\alpha}(\alpha, \delta) \geq 0$, it is an increasing function with regard to $\alpha$. Hence,

$$
\max \{J(\alpha, \delta): \alpha \in[0,1]\}=J(1, \delta)=\frac{25 k^{2}}{576}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144}(1+\delta)
$$

for each $\delta \in[0,1]$ and $k \in[0,2]$.
With the function $J(1, \delta)$ now differentiable, we have

$$
J^{\prime}(1, \delta)=\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144} .
$$

The function $J(1, \varsigma)$ is an increasing function because $J^{\prime}(1, \delta) \geq 0$, and maximum occurs at $\delta=1$, therefore

$$
\max \{J(1, \delta): \delta \in[0,1]\}=J(1,1)=\frac{25 k^{2}}{576}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{72}, \quad k \in[0,2] .
$$

Thus, we get

$$
J(\alpha, \delta) \leq \max \{J(\alpha, \delta):(\alpha, \delta) \in \Delta\}=J(1,1)=\frac{25 k^{2}}{576}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{72}
$$

Since $\left|n_{3}\right| \leq J(\alpha, \delta)$, we have

$$
\left|n_{3}\right| \leq c \times k^{2}+\frac{5}{18}
$$

where

$$
c=\frac{5}{72}\left[\frac{5-8}{8}\right] .
$$

Now, let calculate the maximum of the function $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define as follows

$$
\lambda(k)=c \times k^{2}+\frac{5}{18}
$$

in the range of $[0,2]$.

Differentiating the function $\lambda(k)$, we have $\lambda^{\prime}(k)=2 c k, k \in[0,2]$. Since $\lambda^{\prime}(k) \leq 0$ when $c \leq 0$, the function $\lambda(k)$ is a decreasing function and maximum occurs at $k=0$, therefore

$$
\max \{\lambda(k): k \in[0,2]\}=\lambda(0)=\frac{5}{18}
$$

and $\lambda^{\prime}(k) \geq 0$ when $c \geq 0$, the function $\lambda(k)$ is an increasing function and maximum occurs at $k=2$, which gives

$$
\max \{\lambda(k): k \in[0,2]\}=\lambda(2)=\frac{25}{144} .
$$

As a result, we arrive at the upper bound estimate for $\left|n_{3}\right|$ that is provided below:

$$
\left|n_{3}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{5}{18}, \frac{25}{144}\right\}
$$

The following inequality for $\left|n_{4}\right|$ is obtained from (2.15), using (1.6), (1.7), (1.8), and triangle inequality.

$$
\left|n_{4}\right| \leq t_{1}(k)+t_{2}(k)(\alpha+\delta)+t_{3}(k)\left(\alpha^{2}+\delta^{2}\right):=G(\alpha, \delta)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}(k)=\frac{25}{1728} k^{3}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{96}, \\
& t_{2}(k)=\frac{125\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{6912} k, \\
& t_{3}(k)=\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)(k-2)}{384} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $G$ for each $k \in[0,2]$ must now be maximized.
We must examine the maximum of the function $G$ for various values of the parameter $k$ since the coefficients $t_{1}(k), t_{2}(k)$, and $t_{3}(k)$ of the function $G$ depend on the parameter $k$.

Let $k=0$, since $t_{2}(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}(0)=\frac{5}{24} \text { and } \\
t_{3}(0)=-\frac{5}{48} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We then have

$$
G(\alpha, \delta)=\frac{5}{24}-\frac{5}{48}\left(\alpha^{2}+\delta^{2}\right), \quad(\alpha, \delta) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
G(\alpha, \delta) \leq \max \{Q(\alpha, \delta):(\alpha, \delta) \in \Delta\}=G(0,0)=\frac{5}{24}
$$

Let $k=2$. Then, since $t_{2}(2)=t_{3}(2)=0$ and

$$
t_{1}(2)=\frac{25}{216} .
$$

The following function $G$ is a constant.

$$
G(\alpha, \delta)=t_{1}(2)=\frac{25}{216} .
$$

We can quickly demonstrate that the function $G$ cannot have a maximum on the $\Delta$ in the case $k \in(0,2)$.
Thus, we attain

$$
\left|B_{4}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{5}{24}, \frac{25}{216}\right\}
$$

The following extremal functions can be used to calculate the best possible bounds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{5}{6} t+\frac{1}{6} t^{5}\right) \cdot d t=z+\frac{5}{12} z^{2}+\frac{1}{36} z^{6}+\cdots \\
& f_{2}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{5}{6} t^{2}+\frac{1}{6} t^{10}\right) \cdot d t=z+\frac{5}{18} z^{3}+\frac{1}{66} z^{11}+\cdots \\
& f_{3}(z)==\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{5}{6} t^{3}+\frac{1}{6} t^{15}\right) \cdot d t=z+\frac{5}{24} z^{4}+\frac{1}{96} z^{16}+\cdots, \\
& f_{4}(z)==\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{5}{6} t^{4}+\frac{1}{6} t^{20}\right) \cdot d t=z+\frac{1}{6} z^{5}+\frac{1}{126} z^{21}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. The second Hankel determinant and Fekete-Szegö inequality

For the function belonging to the class $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$ described by Definition 1.2, we provide an upper limit estimate for the second Hankel determinant and Fekete-Szegö inequality in this section.

First, we establish the following theorem on the second Hankel determinant's upper bound estimate.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$. Then:

$$
\left|n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{25}{324}, \frac{1625}{20736}\right\}
$$

The result obtained here are sharp for

$$
f_{2}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{5}{6} t^{2}+\frac{1}{6} t^{10}\right) \cdot d t=z+\frac{5}{18} z^{3}+\frac{1}{66} z^{11}+\cdots
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$. The following equality for $n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}$ is therefore written from equations (2.13), (2.14), and (2.15):

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2} & =\frac{25}{10368} a_{1}^{4}+\frac{625 a_{1}^{2}\left(a_{2}-v_{2}\right)}{82944}+\frac{25 a_{1}\left(a_{3}-v_{3}\right)}{2304}-\frac{25 a_{1}^{2}\left(a_{2}+v_{2}\right)}{2304} \\
& -\frac{625 a_{1}^{4}}{331776}-\frac{125 a_{1}^{2}\left(a_{2}-v_{2}\right)}{20736}-\frac{25\left(a_{2}-v_{2}\right)^{2}}{5184}
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the following estimate for $\left|n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}\right|$ by using equalities (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8), followed by triangle inequality and setting $\left|n_{1}\right|=k,|n|=\alpha$, and $|y|=\delta$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}\right| \leq T_{1}(k)+T_{2}(k)(\alpha+\delta)+T_{3}(k)\left(\alpha^{2}+\delta^{2}\right)+T_{4}(k)(\alpha+\delta)^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}(k)=\frac{1625}{331776} k^{4}+\frac{25\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{2304} k \geq 0, \\
& T_{2}(k)=\frac{125\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{165888} k^{2} \geq 0, \\
& T_{3}(k)=\frac{25\left(4-k^{2}\right)(k-2)}{9216} k \leq 0 \\
& T_{4}(k)=\frac{25\left(4-k^{2}\right)^{2}}{20736} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's now define the function $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\Psi(\alpha, \delta)=T_{1}(d)+T_{2}(k)(\alpha+\delta)+T_{3}(k)\left(\alpha^{2}+\delta^{2}\right)+T_{4}(k)(\alpha+\delta)^{2} \cdot(\alpha, \delta) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

for each $k \in[0,2]$.
The function $\Psi$ on $\Delta$ for each $k \in[0,2]$ must now be maximized.
We must examine the maximum of the function $\Psi$ for various values of the parameter $k$ since the coefficients $t_{1}(k), T_{2}(k), T_{3}(k)$ and $T_{4}(k)$ of the function $\Psi$ depend on the parameter $k$.
(1) Let $k=0$. Since $T_{1}(0)=T_{2}(0)=T_{3}(0)=0$ and

$$
T_{4}(0)=\frac{25}{1296}
$$

the function $\Psi(\alpha, \delta)$ written as follows:

$$
\Psi(\alpha, \delta)=\frac{25}{1296}(\alpha+\delta)^{2}, \quad(\alpha, \delta) \in \Delta .
$$

It is obvious that the function $\Psi$ reaches its maximum near the closed-square boundary $\Delta$. Now, applying some differentiation on the function $\Psi(\alpha, \delta)$ with respect to $\alpha$, we have

$$
\Psi_{\alpha}(\alpha, \delta)=\frac{25}{648}(\alpha+\delta)
$$

for each $\delta \in[0,1]$.
The function $\Psi(\alpha, \delta)$ is an increasing function with regard to $\alpha$ and reaches its maximum at $\alpha=1$ since $\Psi_{\alpha}(\alpha, \delta) \geq 0$. So

$$
\max \{\Psi(\alpha, \delta): \sigma \in[0,1]\}=\Psi(1, \delta)=\frac{25(1+\delta)^{2}}{1296}, \quad \delta \in[0,1] .
$$

Taking the differentiation of the function $\Psi(1, \delta)$, we get

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(1, \delta)=\frac{25(1+\delta)}{648}>0, \quad \delta \in[0,1] .
$$

Since $\Psi^{\prime}(1, \delta)>0$, the function $\Psi(1, \delta)$ is an increasing function and maximum occurs at $\delta=1$.
Hence,

$$
\max \{\Psi(1, \delta): \delta \in[0,1]\}=\Psi(1,1)=\frac{25}{324}
$$

Thus, in the instance of $d=0$, we get

$$
\Psi(\alpha, \delta) \leq \max \left\{\Psi(\alpha, \delta):(\alpha, \delta) \in[0,1]^{2}\right\}=\Psi(1,1)=\frac{25}{324}
$$

We know that $\left|n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \Psi(\alpha, \delta)$, we can have

$$
\left|n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{25}{324}
$$

(2) Now, taking $k=2$. Since $T_{2}(2)=T_{3}(2)=T_{4}(2)=0$ and

$$
T_{1}(2)=\frac{1625}{20736}
$$

the function $\Psi(\alpha, \delta)$ is a constant as follows

$$
\Psi(\alpha, \delta)=T_{1}(2)=\frac{1625}{20736} .
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\left|n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1625}{20736}
$$

in the case $k=2$.
(3) Let's say $k \in(0,2)$. In this instance, we must look into the maximum of the function $\Psi$ while accounting for the sign of $\Xi(\Psi)=\Psi_{\alpha \alpha}(\alpha, \delta) \Psi_{\delta \delta}(\alpha, \delta)-\left(\Psi_{\alpha \delta}(\alpha, \delta)\right)^{2}$.
The equation $\Xi(\Psi)=4 T_{3}(k)\left[T_{3}(k)+2 T_{4}(k)\right]$ is clear to see. We will look into two instances of the sign $\Xi(\Psi)$.
(a) Let $T_{3}(k)+2 T_{4}(k) \leq 0$ for same $k \in(0,2)$. In this case, since $\Psi_{\alpha, \delta}(\alpha, \delta)=\Psi_{\delta, \alpha}(\alpha, \delta)=$ $2 T_{4}(k) \geq 0$ and $\Xi(\Psi) \geq 0$, the function $\Psi$ (having a minimum) cannot have a maximum on the square $\Delta$ according to basic calculus.
(b) Now, let $T_{3}(k)+2 T_{4}(k) \geq 0$ for some $k \in(0,2)$. In this case, since $\Xi(\Psi) \leq 0$, the function $\Psi$ cannot have a maximum on the square $\Delta$.

Consequently, in light of all three instances, we write

$$
\left|n_{2} n_{4}-n_{3}^{2}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{25}{324}, \frac{1625}{20736}\right\}
$$

Theorem 3.1 has now been successfully proved.
We now provide the subsequent theorem on the Fekete-Szegö inequality.
Theorem 3.2. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}, \chi \in \mathcal{C}$. Then:

$$
\left|n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{5}{18} & |1-\chi| \leq \frac{5}{72} \\ \frac{25|1-\chi|}{144} & |1-\chi| \geq \frac{5}{72}\end{cases}
$$

The result obtained here are sharp for

$$
f_{2}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{5}{6} t^{2}+\frac{1}{6} t^{10}\right) \cdot d t=z+\frac{5}{18} z^{3}+\frac{1}{66} z^{11}+\cdots .
$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, from (2.13), (2.14), (1.6) and (1.7), we have the expression $n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}$ to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}=(1-\chi) \frac{25 a_{1}^{2}}{576}+\frac{5\left(4-a_{1}^{2}\right)}{144}(n-y) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $y, n$ with $|y| \leq 1$ and $|n| \leq 1$.
With $|n|=\alpha,|y|=\delta,\left|a_{1}\right|=k$ and the triangle inequality to the equality (3.2), we can estimate the upper bound of $\left|n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}\right|$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{25|1-\chi|}{576} k^{2}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144}(\alpha+\delta), \quad(\alpha, \delta) \in \Delta, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \in[0,2]$.
Let's now define the function $\Lambda: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\Lambda(\alpha, \delta)=\frac{25|1-\chi|}{576} k^{2}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144}(\alpha+\delta), \quad(\alpha, \delta) \in \Delta
$$

for each $k \in[0,2]$. The function $\Lambda$ on $\Delta$ for each $k \in[0,2]$ must now be maximized.
The function $\Lambda$ clearly reaches its maximum value along the boundary of the closed square $\Delta$.
Applying the concept of differentiation on the function $\Lambda(\alpha, \delta)$ with respect to $\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\alpha}(\alpha, \delta)=\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \in[0,2]$.
Since $\Lambda_{\alpha}(\alpha, \delta)>0$, the function $\Lambda(\alpha, \delta)$ is an increasing function with respect to $\alpha$ and maximum occurs at $\delta=1$. Hence,

$$
\max \{\Lambda(\alpha, \delta): \delta \in[0,1]\}=\Lambda(1, \delta)=\frac{|251-\chi|}{576} k^{2}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144}(1+\delta)
$$

for each $\delta \in[0,1]$ and $k \in[0,2]$.
Now, differentiating the function $\Lambda(1, \delta)$, we get

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}(1, \delta)=\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{144}
$$

for each $k \in[0,2]$.
Since $\Lambda^{\prime}(1, \delta)>0$, the function $\Lambda(1, \delta)$ is an increasing function and maximum occurs at $\delta=1$. Hence,

$$
\max \{\Lambda(1, \delta): \delta \in[0,1]\}=\Lambda(1,1)=\frac{25|1-\chi|}{576} k^{2}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{72}, \delta \in[0,2] .
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\Lambda(\alpha, \delta) \leq \max \{\Lambda(\alpha, \delta): \chi \in[0,1]\}=\Lambda(1,1)=\frac{25|1-\chi|}{576} k^{2}+\frac{5\left(4-k^{2}\right)}{72}
$$

Since $\left|n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \Lambda(\alpha, \delta)$, we have the following estimate

$$
\left|n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{25}{576}\left[|1-\chi|-\frac{576}{25} L\right] k^{2}+4 L
$$

where

$$
L=\frac{5}{72} .
$$

In such instance, finding the maximum of the following function, $\vartheta:[0,2] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, would be appropriate.
Differenting the function $\vartheta(k)$, we have

$$
\vartheta^{\prime}(k)=\frac{25\left[|1-\chi|-\frac{576}{25} L\right]}{288} k, \quad k \in[0,2] .
$$

If $|1-\chi| \leq L$ and maximum occur at $k=0$, then the function $\vartheta(k)$ is a decreasing function since $\vartheta^{\prime}(k) \leq 0$,

$$
\max \{\vartheta(k): \varsigma \in[0,2]\}=\vartheta(0)=4 L
$$

and $\vartheta^{\prime}(k) \geq 0$, the function $\vartheta(k)$ is an increasing function. If $|1-\chi| \geq L$ and maximum occurs at $d=2$, so

$$
\max \{\vartheta(k): \varsigma \in[0,2]\}=\vartheta(2)=\frac{25|1-\chi|}{144} .
$$

Consequently, we achieve

$$
\left|n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}4 L & |1-\chi| \leq L \\ \frac{25|1-\chi|}{144} & |1-\chi| \geq L\end{cases}
$$

The result reached in this instance is sharp for $|1-\chi| \geq \frac{5}{72}$.
If we set the function $f(z)$ as follows:

$$
f_{2}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{5}{6} t^{2}+\frac{1}{6} t^{10}\right) \cdot d t=z+\frac{5}{18} z^{3}+\frac{1}{66} z^{11}+\cdots .
$$

Theorem 3.2 is presented in the following manner for the case $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}, \chi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

$$
\left|n_{3}-\chi n_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{25(1-\chi)}{144} & \text { if } \chi \leq \frac{67}{72}  \tag{3.5}\\
\frac{5}{18} & \text { if } \frac{67}{72} \leq \chi \leq \frac{77}{72} \\
\frac{25(\chi-1)}{144} & \text { if } \frac{77}{72} \leq \chi
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$ and $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$. Since in the case $\chi \in \mathbb{R}$, the inequalities $|1-\chi| \geq L$ and $|1-\chi| \leq L$ are equivalent to:

$$
\chi \leq 1-L \text { or } \chi \geq 1+L
$$

and

$$
1-L \leq \chi \leq 1+L,
$$

respectively. The conclusion of the theorem is derived from Theorem 3.2.
Furthermore, we get the following conclusions for $\chi=1$ from Theorem 3.3.
Corollary 3.4. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$. Then:

$$
\left|n_{3}-n_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{5}{18}
$$

## 4. Conclusion

Recently, well-known mathematicians have been drawn to special domains and polynomials in Geometric Functions Theory due to their usefulness in various fields of mathematics and other sciences. In our paper, we addressed the Fekete-Szegö problem and provided solutions for functions belonging to the class $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$, which consists of analytic and bi-univalent functions that involve the four leaf domain. We also derived estimates for the coefficients and an upper bound estimate for the second Hankel determinant. The conclusions mentioned above, supported by references [20-39], can be expanded to include a specific class of analytic and bi-univalent functions.

## References

[1] L. Bieberbach, Über dié koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln, Sitz.ber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 138 (1916), 940-955. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1570854175571762560.
[2] L. Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Acta Math. 154 (1985), 137-152. https://doi.org/10.1007/ bf02392821.
[3] W.C. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992), Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 157-169.
[4] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), 1188-1192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.05.009.
[5] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 63-68.
[6] D.A. Brannan, J. Clunie, Aspects of contemporary complex analysis, Academic Press, London and New York, 1980.
[7] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z|<1$, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 32 (1969), 100-112. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00247676.
[8] D.A. Brannan, T.S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, in: Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications, Elsevier, 1988: pp. 53-60. https ://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-031636-9.50012-7.
[9] C. Zhang, B. Khan, T.G. Shaba, J.S. Ro, S. Araci, M.G. Khan, Applications of $q$-Hermite polynomials to subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Fractal Fract. 6 (2022), 420. https://doi . org/10.3390/fractalfract6080420.
[10] Q. Hu, T.G. Shaba, J. Younis, B. Khan, W.K. Mashwani, M. Caglar, Applications of $q$-derivative operator to Subclasses of bi-Univalent Functions involving Gegenbauer polynomial, Appl. Math. Sci. Eng. 30 (2022), 501-520. https://doi .org/ 10.1080/27690911.2022.2088743.
[11] E. Deniz, M. Çağlar, H. Orhan, Second Hankel determinant for bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order $\beta$, Appl. Math. Comput. 271 (2015), 301-307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2015.09.010.
[12] H. Orhan, N. Magesh, J. Yamini, Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions, Turk. J. Math. 40 (2016), 679-687. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1505-3.
[13] N. Mustafa, G. Mrugusundaramoorthy, T. Janani, Second Hankel determinant for a certain subclass of bi-univalent functions, Mediterr. J. Math. 15 (2018), 119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-018-1165-1.
[14] P. Sunthrayuth, Y. Jawarneh, M. Naeem, N. Iqbal, J. Kafle, Some sharp results on coefficient estimate problems for four-leaf-type bounded turning functions, J. Funct. Spaces. 2022 (2022), 8356125. https://doi . org/10.1155/2022/8356125.
[15] S. Gandhi, Radius estimates for three leaf function and convex combination of starlike functions, in: N. Deo, V. Gupta, A.M. Acu, P.N. Agrawal (Eds.), Mathematical Analysis I: Approximation Theory, ICRAPAM 2018, Springer, Singapore, 2020: pp. 173-184. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1153-0_15.
[16] N. Mustafa, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Second Hankel determinant for Mocanu type bi-starlike functions related to shell-shaped region, Turk. J. Math. 45 (2021), 1270-1286. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2101-97.
[17] P.L. Duren, Univalent functions, in: Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer, New York, 1983.
[18] U. Grenander, G. Szegö, Toeplitz form and their applications, California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences, University California Press, Berkeley, (1958).
[19] A. Lasode, T. Opoola, Hankel determinant of a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined by means of subordination and $q$-differentiation, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 13 (2022), 3105-3114. https://doi. org/10.22075/ ijnaa. 2022.24577.2775.
[20] S. Riaz, T.G. Shaba, Q. Xin, F. Tchier, B. Khan, S.N. Malik, Fekete-Szegö problem and second hankel determinant for a class of bi-univalent functions involving euler polynomials, Fractal Fract. 7 (2023), 295. https://doi.org/10.3390/ fractalfract7040295.
[21] A.B. Patil, T.G. Shaba, Sharp initial coefficient bounds and the Fekete-Szegö problem for some certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Ukr. Mat. Zhurn. 75 (2023), 198-206. https://doi. org/10.37863/umzh.v75i2. 6602.
[22] I. Al-shbeil, J. Gong, T.G. Shaba, Coefficients inequalities for the bi-univalent functions related to $q$-Babalola convolution operator, Fractal Fract. 7 (2023), 155. https://doi. org/10.3390/fractalfract7020155.
[23] I. Al-Shbeil, T.G. Shaba, A. Cătaş, Second Hankel determinant for the subclass of bi-univalent functions using $q$-Chebyshev polynomial and Hohlov operator, Fractal Fract. 6 (2022), 186. https://doi.org/10.3390/ fractalfract6040186.
[24] B. Khan, Z. Liu, T.G. Shaba, S. Araci, N. Khan, M.G. Khan, Applications of $q$-derivative operator to the subclass of bi-univalent functions involving $q$-Chebyshev polynomials, J. Math. 2022 (2022), 8162182. https://doi . org/10.1155/ 2022/8162182.
[25] H. Orhan, T.G. Shaba, M. Çağlar, $(P, Q)$-Lucas polynomial coefficient relations of bi-univalent functions defined by the combination of Opoola and Babalola differential operators, Afr. Mat. 33 (2022), 11. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13370-021-00953-y.
[26] B. Khan, Z.G. Liu, H.M. Srivastava, N. Khan, M. Darus, M. Tahir, A study of some families of multivalent $q$-starlike functions involving higher-order $q$-derivatives, Mathematics. 8 (2020), 1470. https://doi.org/10.3390/math8091470.
[27] B. Khan, Z.-G. Liu, H.M. Srivastava, S. Araci, N. Khan, Q.Z. Ahmad, Higher-order $q$-derivatives and their applications to subclasses of multivalent Janowski type $q$-starlike functions, Adv. Differ. Equ. 2021 (2021), 440. https://doi. org/ 10.1186/s13662-021-03611-6.
[28] Y. Taj, S. Zainab, Q. Xin, F.M.O. Tawfiq, M. Raza, S.N. Malik, Certain coefficient problems for $q$-starlike functions associated with $q$-analogue of sine function, Symmetry. 14 (2022), 2200. https://doi. org/10.3390/sym14102200.
[29] L. Shi, M. Arif, J. Iqbal, K. Ullah, S.M. Ghufran, Sharp bounds of Hankel determinant on logarithmic coefficients for functions starlike with exponential function, Fractal Fract. 6 (2022), 645. https://doi. org/10.3390/fractalfract6110645.
[30] S. Riaz, U.A. Nisar, Q. Xin, S.N. Malik, A. Raheem, On starlike functions of negative order defined by $q$-fractional derivative, Fractal Fract. 6 (2022), 30. https://doi. org/10.3390/fractalfract6010030.
[31] I. Al-shbeil, J. Gong, S. Khan, N. Khan, A. Khan, M.F. Khan, A. Goswami, Hankel and symmetric Toeplitz determinants for a new subclass of $q$-starlike functions, Fractal Fract. 6 (2022), 658. https://doi. org/10.3390/fractalfract6110658.
[32] T.G. Shaba, S. Araci, B.O. Adebesin, F. Tchier, S. Zainab, B. Khan, Sharp bounds of the Fekete-Szegö problem and second hankel determinant for certain bi-univalent functions defined by a novel $q$-differential operator associated with $q$-Limaçon domain, Fractal Fract. 7 (2023), 506. https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract7070506.
[33] T.G. Shaba, B.A. Aladeitan, S.E. Fadugba, Fekete-Szegö problem and second Hankel determinant for a class of $\tau$-pseudo bi-univalent functions involving Euler polynomials, Asia Pac. J. Math. 10 (2023), 16. https://doi. org/10. 28924/apjm/ 10-16.
[34] I. Al-Shbeil, A.K. Wanas, A. Saliu, A. Cătaş, Applications of beta negative binomial distribution and Laguerre polynomials on Ozaki bi-close-to-convex functions, Axioms. 11 (2022), 451. https://doi. org/10.3390/axioms11090451.
[35] E.A. Oyekan, T.A. Olatunji, A.O. Lasode, Applications of $(p, q)$-Gegenbauer polynomials on a family of bi-univalent functions, Earthline J. Math. Sci. 12 (2023), 271-284. https://doi. org/10.34198/ejms.12223. 271284.
[36] A. Saliu, K.I. Noor, S. Hussain, M. Darus, Some results for the family of univalent functions related with Limaçon domain, AIMS Math. 6 (2021), 3410-3431. https://doi.org/10.3934/math. 2021204.
[37] T.G. Shaba, M.G. Khan, B. Ahmad, Coefficients bounds for certain new subclasses of meromorphic bi-univalent functions associated with Al-Oboudi differential operator, Palestine J. Math. 9 (2020), 572-582.
[38] M.G. Khan, N.E. Cho, T.G. Shaba, B. Ahmad, W.K. Mashwani, Coefficient functionals for a class of bounded turning functions related to modified sigmoid function, AIMS Math. 7 (2022), 3133-3149. https://doi.org/10.3934/math. 2022173.
[39] H.M. Srivastava, T.G. Shaba, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, A.K. Wanas, G.I. Oros, The Fekete-Szegö functional and the Hankel determinant for a certain class of analytic functions involving the Hohlov operator, AIMS Math. 8 (2023) 340-360. https://doi.org/10.3934/math. 2023016.

