

ALGEBRAS OF PRIMITIVE FUNCTIONS

NARGIZA BOBOYAROVA*, JONIBEK ABDULLAYEV

Department of Mathematical analysis, Urgench State University, Khorezm, Uzbekistan

*Corresponding author: nargiza.b@urdu.uz

Received Oct. 19, 2023

ABSTRACT. In this paper a Banach space X is considered such that $A(\bar{U}) \subset X \subset Hol(U)$, where $A(\bar{U}) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{U}) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty, \text{ if } f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ in } U\}$. We shall define a norm in the space $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ of all primitive functions to $f \in X$ such that $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the pointwise multiplication. The maximal ideals in $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ are studied, too.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32A17, 94A11, 32M15.

Banach algebras; maximal ideals; embedding of spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $Hol(\Sigma^0)$ the set of all analytic functions on Σ^0 . Consider $Hol(U)$ with the topology of locally uniform convergence. Let X be a Banach space such that $A(\bar{U}) \subset X \subset Hol(U)$ and the both embeddings $A(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow Hol(U)$ are continuous. The pointwise multiplication of functions is a continuous operation both on $A(\bar{U})$ and $Hol(U)$. We shall suppose $f \cdot g \in X$ for $f \in A(\bar{U})$, $g \in X$ and

$$\|f \cdot g\|_X \leq \|f\|_{A(\bar{U})} \|g\|_X.$$

It follows, by the way, the pointwise multiplication " \cdot ": $A(\bar{U}) \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous. We shall construct a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ taking X as an initial point of the process. Firstly,

$$\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U}) = \{F \in Hol(U) : F' \in X\}$$

is a linear space. Suppose further $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U}) \subset A(\bar{U})$. Then for $F, G \in \mathcal{A}_X(U)$ we have $(F \cdot G)' = F'G + FG' \in X$ as $\{F'G; FG'\} \subset X$. So $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ is an algebra. Putting

$$\|F\| = \|F\|_{A(\bar{U})} + \|F'\|_X \tag{1}$$

for $F \in \mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ we invert $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ to a normed algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F \cdot G\| &= \|F \cdot G\|_{A(\overline{U})} + \|F'G + FG'\|_X \leq \|F\|_{A(\overline{U})}\|G\|_{A(\overline{U})} + \|G\|_{A(\overline{U})}\|F'\|_X + \|F\|_{A(\overline{U})}\|G'\|_X \\ &\leq (\|F\|_{A(\overline{U})} + \|F'\|_X)(\|G\|_{A(\overline{U})} + \|G'\|_X) = \|F\| \cdot \|G\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $F, G \in \mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ is complete as it follows from completeness of X and $A(\overline{U})$.

Let $\alpha \in \overline{U}$. Then we can write $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U}) = \mathbb{C} \oplus I_\alpha$, where

$$I_\alpha = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U}); f(\alpha) = 0\}$$

is an ideal in $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ for all $\alpha \in \overline{U}$. We are interested if no other maximal ideals in $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ exist (see e.g. Proposition 2). We have $I_\alpha \cong X$, $\alpha \in \overline{U}$, where $g \mapsto g'$ is the isometry. So $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ with the norm

$$\|F\|_\alpha = |F(\alpha)| + \|F'\|_X, \alpha \in \overline{U},$$

is a Banach space. Using the open mapping theorem (cf. [1], 5.10, p.116) we obtain the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ are equivalent on $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ for all $\alpha \in \overline{U}$. Note however, that the condition

$$\|F \cdot G\|_\alpha \leq \|F\|_\alpha \|G\|_\alpha, F, G \in \mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U}),$$

need not be satisfied for $\alpha \in \overline{U}$. So $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \overline{U}$, may be a Banach space only! An example will be mentioned later for a concrete X (see Remark 2).

We showed that the space $\mathcal{A}_X(\overline{U})$ is a Banach algebra with the norm (1). In the next sections we will investigate particular cases of X and consider maximal ideals on them. Some proofs had to be omitted due to space constraints. The detailed proofs will be given in our forthcoming publications.

2. THE CASES $X = \mathcal{H}(\overline{U})$ AND $X = H^\rho(U)$, $1 \leq \rho \leq \infty$

Let $f \in Hol(U)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Put

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{r \in (0,1)} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{it})|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Then we obtain using Jensen's inequality that

$$\|f\|_{p_1} \leq \|f\|_{p_2} \leq \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in U} |f(z)|$$

holds for all $f \in Hol(U)$, $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$. We define

$$H^p(U) = \{f \in Hol(U); \|f\|_p < \infty\}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

The linear spaces $H^p(U)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, are Banach spaces (cf. [1], [2] e.g.).

It follows $\mathcal{H}(\overline{U}) \subset H^\infty(U) \subset H^{p_2}(U) \subset H^{p_1}(U) \subset Hol(U)$, $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, every embedding given by any of these inclusion is continuous and the topology on a smaller space is always finer

(with the only exception: $\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ is a subspace of $H^\infty(U)$). We can verify our assumptions e.g. for $X = \mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ or $H^p(U)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Using Hardy's theorem (cf. [2], p. 71) and the first consequence on p. 91, [2], we obtain $F \in A(\bar{U})$ if $F' \in H^1(U)$. So $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U}) \subset A(\bar{U}) \subset \mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ for all considered X . As $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})} \leq \|f\|_{A(\bar{U})}$, it remains to prove the inequality $\|f \cdot g\|_X \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})} \|g\|_X$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ and $g \in X$. It is clear for $X = \mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ or $X = H^\infty(U)$. Let $p \in (1; +\infty)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$, $g \in H^p(U)$. Then g is defined almost everywhere on ∂U by its angle trace. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \cdot g\|_{H^p(U)} &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{it})g(e^{it})|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in (-\pi; \pi)} |f(e^{it})| \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(e^{it})|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})} \|g\|_{H^p(U)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved the next theorem.

Theorem 1. *The algebra $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U}) = \{F \in \text{Hol}(U); F' \in X\}$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the pointwise multiplication of functions and the norm*

$$\|F\| = \|F\|_{A(\bar{U})} + \|F'\|_X,$$

if $X = \mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ or $X = H^p(U)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

For the sake of brevity we shall denote $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})}(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U})$, $\mathcal{A}_{H^p(U)}(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{A}^p(\bar{U})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Currently, the questions of holomorphic continuation of functions belonging to the class H^p are very relevant [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11].

Remark 1. *The whole construction of $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ can be made using $\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ in the place of $\mathcal{A}(\bar{U})$. So $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ is Banach algebra with respect to the norm $\|F\|^\sim = \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})} + \|F'\|_X$, $F \in \mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$, for $X = \mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ or $X = H^p(U)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Since $\|F\|^\sim \leq \|F\|$, the norms $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent on $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ due to the open mapping theorem. Let $F \in A(\bar{U})$, $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. Then $\|F\|_{A(\bar{U})} = \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})}$ if and only if there are exist $\varphi_0, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_0 + n\varphi \in \arg a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where $\arg 0 = \mathbb{R}$. It follows a polynomial F , $\deg F = 2$, exists such that $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})} < \|F\|_{A(\bar{U})}$. So the norms $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are not equal on $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$.*

We prefer the construction with $A(\bar{U})$ which yields a sequence $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ different from this one described in Theorem 2.

Remark 2. *Let $F(z) = z - \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \bar{U}$. Then $F, F^2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})}(\bar{U})$, $F(\alpha) = F^2(\alpha) = 0$,*

$$F'(z) = 1, (F^2)'(z) = 2(z - \alpha), \|F'\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})} = 1, \|(F^2)'\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})} = 2(1 + |\alpha|) > 1 = \|F'\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})}^2.$$

Note $\|G\|_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})} = \|G\|_{A(\bar{U})}$, if G is polynomial, $\deg G \leq 1$. So, $\|F^2\|_{\alpha} > \|F\|_{\alpha}^2$ if the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ is used in $\mathcal{A}_{A(\bar{U})}(\bar{U})$. The space $\mathcal{A}_{A(\bar{U})}(\bar{U})$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ is a Banach space only (see the construction $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ for $X = l_p(U)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$), exactly like $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})}(\bar{U})$.

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}^1(\bar{U})$ if and only if $f(e^{it}) \in AC(\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle)$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\infty}(\bar{U})$ if and only if $f(e^{it}) \in Lip(\langle -\pi, \pi \rangle)$, we shall occasionally use the notation $AC(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{A}^1(\bar{U})$, $Lip(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{A}^{\infty}(\bar{U})$.

Using the inequality $\|f\|_{p_1} \leq \|f\|_{p_2}$, $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq \infty$, $f \in H^{p_2}(U)$ we obtain every embedding $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p_2}(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p_1}(\bar{U})$, $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$ is continuous and the topology on a smaller space is finer with the obvious exception: $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}(\bar{U})$. It is clear the embedding $\mathcal{A}^1(\bar{U}) = AC(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow A(\bar{U})$ is continuous.

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} z^{2^k}, \quad |z| \leq 1.$$

Then $f \in A(\bar{U}) \setminus AC(\bar{U})$. Denote

$$s_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} z^{2^k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $s_n \rightarrow f$ in $A(\bar{U})$, but $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not fundamental in $AC(\bar{U})$. It follows the topology of $AC(\bar{U})$ is a refinement of the topology of $A(\bar{U})$. So we have obtained a "long" sequence of continuous embeddings of Banach spaces

$$\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p_2}(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p_1}(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow A(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow H^{p_2}(U) \hookrightarrow H^{p_1}(U), \quad 1 \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq \infty,$$

where only the topologies on $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U})$, $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}(\bar{U})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$, $H^{\infty}(U)$ are the same.

Proposition 1. Every maximal ideal in $AC(\bar{U})$ (resp. in $AC(\partial U)$) is of the form

$$I_{\alpha} = \{f \in AC(\bar{U}) : f(\alpha) = 0\}, \quad \alpha \in \bar{U} \text{ (resp. } G(I_{\alpha}) = \{f|_{\partial U} : f \in I_{\alpha}\}).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that for every homomorphism $F : AC(\bar{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ some $\alpha \in \bar{U}$ exists such that $F(x) = x(\alpha)$ for all $x \in AC(\bar{U})$. Since the set of all polynomials is dense in $AC(U)$, we can repeat the proof of this fact from [1], p.400.

Remark. The norms $\|\cdot\|_{\sim}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ equivalent on $AC(\bar{U})$ and so complex homomorphisms and maximal ideals are the same in the both cases. However, it is easy to prove straightforward that $\sigma_n \rightarrow f$ in $A(\bar{U})$ for every $f \in A(\bar{U})$. It follows $\sigma_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U})$ for every $f \in \mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U}) \subset A(\bar{U})$. So the next proposition is true.

Proposition 2. Every maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U})$ (resp. in $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\partial U)$), is of the form

$$I_{\alpha} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}(\bar{U}); f(\alpha) = 0\}, \text{ (resp. } G(I_{\alpha}) = \{f|_{\partial U}; f \in I_{\alpha}\}),$$

where $\alpha \in \bar{U}$.

Similarly, using [1], p.388, Exercise 25, we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3. Let $p \in \langle 1; +\infty \rangle$. Every maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}^p(\bar{U})$ (resp. in $\mathcal{A}^p(\partial U)$) is also form

$$I_\alpha = \{f \in \mathcal{A}^p(\bar{U}); f(\alpha) = 0\}$$

(resp. $G(I_\alpha) = \{f|_{\partial U}; f \in I_\alpha\}$), where $\alpha \in \bar{U}$.

Problem 1. Are the ideals

$$I_\alpha = \{f \in \mathcal{A}^\infty(\bar{U}); f(\alpha) = 0\}, \alpha \in \bar{U}$$

the only maximal ideals in $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\bar{U})$?

It is clear that the mapping $T : AC(\bar{U}) \rightarrow AC(\bar{U})$ defined by $Tf = e^{i\beta} f \circ \tau$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and τ is a conformal mapping U onto itself, is an isometric isomorphism $AC(\bar{U})$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ onto itself. Probably there are no other isometric isomorphisms $AC(\bar{U})$ with this norm onto itself. This assertion can be proved by method of [3], p.202-211 if

$$\|(Tf)'\|_{H^1(U)} = \|f'\|_{H^1(U)}$$

for all $f \in AC(\bar{U})$, i.e. if $\tilde{T} : H^1(U) \rightarrow H^1(U)$ is isometric isomorphism where $\tilde{T}f = (TF)'$, $F' = f$. Maybe it can be proved taking $F \in I_{z_0} \subset AC(\bar{U})$ for an appropriate $z_0 \in \bar{U}$. We don't know whether $T : \mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ as an isometrical isomorphism in all remaining cases: for $X = \mathcal{H}(\bar{U})$ or $X = H^p(U)$, $1 < p \leq \infty$ if $\|\cdot\|^\sim$ is taken as the norm in $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ and generally for all X of Theorem 2, if $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U})$ is considered with the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

3. THE CASE $X = l_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$

Denote

$$l^p = \{\{a_n\}_0^\infty; \|\{a_n\}\|_p = \left(\sum |a_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$l_\infty = \{\{a_n\}_0^\infty; \|\{a_n\}\|_\infty = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |a_n| < \infty\}.$$

Then l_p is a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $l_{p_1} \subsetneq l_{p_2}$, the embedding $l_{p_1} \hookrightarrow l_{p_2}$ is continuous and the topology is finer for the smaller space if

$1 \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq \infty$. We shall consider these spaces as spaces of functions from $Hol(U)$:

$$l_p(U) = \{f; f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \|f\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\} \subset Hol(U), 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Put $X = l_p(U)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U}) \subset A(U)$. It is true for $p = 1$. For $1 < p < \infty$ let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, f \in l_p(U).$$

Then

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n-1}}{n} z^n, \quad z \in U,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{n-1}|}{n} \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n-1}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|f\|_p \left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{n^q} \right\} \right\|_q < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

by Hölder's inequality.

Remark 3. Note that for

$$F_0(z) = -\ln(1-z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n}, \quad |z| < 1,$$

we have

$$F_0'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{1}{1-z} = f_0(z)$$

and so $f_0 \in l_{\infty}(U)$, but F_0 is not defined in \bar{U} . Moreover, we cannot define the norm in $\mathcal{A}_{l_{\infty}(U)}(U)$ by

$$\|F\| = \sup_{|z|<1} |F(z)| + \|F'\|_{l_{\infty}(U)}$$

as F_0 is not bounded in U . It holds $F_0 \in H^1(U)$, only.

Theorem 2. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_X(\bar{U}) = \{F \in \text{Hol}(U); F' \in X\}$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the pointwise multiplication of functions and the norm

$$\|F\| = \|F\|_{A(\bar{U})} + \|F'\|_X,$$

if $X = l_p(U)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

For the sake of brevity we shall denote $\mathcal{A}_{l_p(U)}(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{A}_p(\bar{U})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Lemma 1. Let $f \in l_p(U)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \bar{U}.$$

Then $s_n \rightarrow f$ in $l_p(U)$, where $s_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$. The proof is trivial.

Proposition Every maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}_p(\bar{U})$ (resp. in $\mathcal{A}_p(\partial U)$), $1 \leq p < \infty$, is of the form

$$I_{\alpha} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_p(\bar{U}); f(\alpha) = 0\}, \quad (\text{resp. } G(I_{\alpha}) = \{f|_{\partial U}; f \in I_{\alpha}\}),$$

where $\alpha \in \bar{U}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 1 we can repeat the proof of Proposition 2.

Remark 4. a) We obtain a "long" sequence of continuous embedding also for $l_p(U)$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{p_1}(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{p_2}(\bar{U}) \hookrightarrow A(\bar{U}) = l_1(U) \hookrightarrow l_{p_1}(U) \hookrightarrow l_{p_1}(U), \quad 1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty.$$

The corresponding inclusions are strict, the topologies on (strictly) smaller spaces are finer.

b) Note that $p \mapsto l_p(U)$ is increasing, $p \mapsto H^p(U)$ is decreasing, both for $p \in \langle 1; +\infty \rangle$, in the sense

of inclusion. We have $l_2(U) = H^2(U)$ (see [1], 17.10(a), p.371). So $\mathcal{A}_2(\bar{U}) = \mathcal{A}^2(\bar{U})$ and we can describe maximal ideals in $\mathcal{A}^2(\bar{U})$ by any of Propositions 2, 3.

c) We have $l_p(U) \subset H^p(U)$, $p \in \langle 1; 2 \rangle$, $l_p(U) \supset H^p(U)$, $p \in \langle 2; +\infty \rangle$. Inclusions are strict, topologies on smaller spaces are finer. The same holds for the algebra $\mathcal{A}_p(\bar{U})$, $\mathcal{A}^p(\bar{U})$: $\mathcal{A}_p(\bar{U}) \subset \mathcal{A}^p(\bar{U})$, $p \in \langle 1; 2 \rangle$, $\mathcal{A}_p(\bar{U}) \supset \mathcal{A}^p(\bar{U})$, $p \in \langle 2; +\infty \rangle$, with the same relation between topologies.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Rudin, Real and complex analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- [2] P. Koosis, Introduction to H_p spaces, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [3] K. Hoffman, Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1962.
- [4] G.K. Khudayberganov, J.S. Abdullayev, Relationship between the kernels Bergman and Cauchy-Szegő in the domains $\tau^+(n-1)$ and \mathfrak{R}_{IV}^n , J. Sib. Fed. Univ., Math. Phys. 13 (2020), 559–567. <https://doi.org/10.17516/1997-1397-2020-13-5-559-567>.
- [5] J.S. Abdullayev, An analogue of Bremermann's theorem on finding the Bergman kernel for the Cartesian product of the classical domains $\mathfrak{R}_I(m, k)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{II}(n)$, Bul. Acad. Ştiinţe Repub. Mold. Mat. 3 (2020), 88–96.
- [6] G. Khudayberganov, J.S. Abdullayev, Holomorphic continuation into a matrix ball of functions defined on a piece of its skeleton, Vestn. Udmurt. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Komp. Nauki. 31 (2021), 296–310. <https://doi.org/10.35634/vm210210>.
- [7] G.K. Khudayberganov, J.S. Abdullayev, Laurent-Hua Luogeng series with respect to the matrix ball from space $\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m]$, J. Sib. Fed. Univ., Math. Phys. 14 (2021) 589–598. <https://doi.org/10.17516/1997-1397-2021-14-5-589-598>.
- [8] G.K. Khudayberganov, J.S. Abdullayev, The boundary Morera theorem for domain $\tau^+(n-1)$, Ufimsk. Mat. Zh. 13 (2021), 196–210. <http://dx.doi.org/10.13108/2021-13-3-191>.
- [9] G.K. Khudayberganov, A.M. Khalknazarov, J.S. Abdullayev, Laplace and Hua Luogeng operators, Russ. Math. 64 (2020), 66–71. <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1066369X20030068>.
- [10] J.S. Abdullayev, Estimates the Bergman kernel for classical domains É. Cartan's, Chebyshevskii Sbornik, 22 (2021), 20–31. <https://doi.org/10.22405/2226-8383-2018-22-3-20-31>.
- [11] J. Abdullayev, U. Rakhmonov, N. Mahmudova, Orthonormal system for a matrix ball of the second type $\mathbb{B}_{m,n}^{(2)}$ and its skeleton (Shilov's boundary) $\mathfrak{X}_{m,n}^{(2)}$, Asia Pac. J. Math. 10 (2023), 27. <https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/10-27>.