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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the concept of $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$ bitopological spaces. Furthermore, some characterizations of $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $R_{0}$ bitopological spaces are considered.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1943, Shanin [18] introduced the notion of $R_{0}$ topological spaces. Davis [8] introduced the concept of a separation axiom called $R_{1}$. These concepts are further investigated by Naimpally [16], Dube [12] and Dorsett [9]. Murdeshwar and Naimpally [15] and Dube [11] studied some of the fundamental properties of the class of $R_{1}$ topological spaces. As natural generalizations of the separations axioms $R_{0}$ and $R_{1}$, the concepts of semi- $R_{0}$ and semi- $R_{1}$ spaces were introduced and studied by Maheshwari and Prasad [14] and Dorsett [10]. In 2004, Caldas et al. [7] introduced and studied two new weak separation axioms called $\Lambda_{\theta}-R_{0}$ and $\Lambda_{\theta}-R_{1}$ by using the notions of $(\Lambda, \theta)$-open sets and the $(\Lambda, \theta)$-closure operator. In 2005, Cammaroto and Noiri [6] defined a weak separation axiom $m$ - $R_{0}$ in $m$-spaces which are equivalent to generalized topological spaces due to Lugojan [13]. Noiri [17] introduced the notion of $m-R_{1}$ spaces and investigated several characterizations of $m-R_{0}$ spaces and $m-R_{1}$ spaces. Thongmoon and Boonpok [20] introduced and investigated the concept of $(\Lambda, p)-R_{1}$ topological spaces. In [1], the present authors introduced and studied the notions of $\delta s(\Lambda, s)-R_{0}$ spaces and $\delta s(\Lambda, s)-R_{1}$ spaces. Furthermore, several characterizations of $\Lambda_{p}-R_{0}$ spaces and ( $\left.\Lambda, s\right)$ - $R_{0}$ spaces were established in [3] and [2], respectively. Recently, Thongmoon and Boonpok [19] introduced and studied the notion of
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sober $\delta p(\Lambda, s)$ - $R_{0}$ spaces. In this paper, we introduce the concept of $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $R_{0}$ bitopological spaces. Moreover, some characterizations of $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$ bitopological spaces are discussed.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, spaces $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ (or simply $X$ and $Y$ ) always mean bitopological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let $A$ be a subset of a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. The closure of $A$ and the interior of $A$ with respect to $\tau_{i}$ are denoted by $\tau_{i}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)$ and $\tau_{i}-\operatorname{Int}(A)$, respectively, for $i=1,2$. A subset $A$ of a bitopological space ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is called $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed [5] if $A=\tau_{1}-\mathrm{Cl}\left(\tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)\right)$. The complement of a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set is called $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open. The intersection of all $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed sets of $X$ containing $A$ is called the $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closure [5] of $A$ and is denoted by $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)$. The union of all $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open sets of $X$ contained in $A$ is called the $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-interior [5] of $A$ and is denoted by $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Int}(A)$. A subset $A$ of a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is said to be $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) r$ open [21] (resp. $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ s-open [4], $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ p-open [4], $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \beta$-open [4]) if $A=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Int}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)\right)$ (resp. $\left.A \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Int}(A)\right), A \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{-nt}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)\right), A \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Int}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)\right)\right)\right)$.

Lemma 1. [5] Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. For the $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closure, the following properties hold:
(1) $A \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)$ and $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)\right)=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)$.
(2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(A) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(B)$.
(3) $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed.
(4) $A$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed if and only if $A=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(A)$.
(5) $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Cl}(X-A)=X-\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Int}(A)$.

Lemma 2. For a subset $A$ of a bitopological space ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ), $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(A)$ if and only if $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set $U$ of $X$ containing $x$.

Definition 1. [5] Let A be a subset of a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. The set $\cap\left\{G \mid A \subseteq G\right.$ and $G$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open $\}$ is called the $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-kernel of $A$ and is denoted by $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(A)$.

Lemma 3. [5] For subsets $A, B$ of a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, the following properties hold:
(1) $A \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(A)$.
(2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(A) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(B)$.
(3) If $A$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open, then $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(A)=A$.
(4) $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-ker $(A)$ if and only if $A \cap H \neq \emptyset$ for every $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set $H$ containing $x$.

## 3. Characterizations of $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $R_{0}$ spaces

In this section, we introduce the concept of $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$ spaces. Moreover, some characterizations of $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$ spaces are discussed.

Definition 2. A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is said to be $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ - $R_{0}$ if for each $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set $U$ and each $x \in U, \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{x\}) \subseteq U$.

Lemma 4. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a bitopological space and $x, y$ be any points of $X$. Then, the following properties hold:
(1) $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})$ if and only if $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{y\})$.
(2) $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{y\})$ if and only if $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{y\})$.

Proof. (1) Let $x \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. Then, there exists a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set $U$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$. Thus, $y \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})$. The converse is similarly shown.
(2) Suppose that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{y\})$ for any points $x, y$. Since $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})$, $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{y\})$ and by $(1), y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. By Lemma 1, we have $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. Similarly, we have $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$ and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. Next, suppose that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. Since $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$, we have $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$ and by (1), $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})$. By Lemma 3, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{y\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})\right)=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})$. Similarly, we have $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})$ and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})$.

Theorem 1. For a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, the following properties are equivalent:
(1) $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.
(2) For each $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set $F$ and each $x \in X-F$, there exists a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set $U$ such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \notin U$.
(3) For each $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set $F$ and each $x \in X-F, \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{x\}) \cap F=\emptyset$.
(4) For any distinct points $x$, $y$ in $X, \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{y\})$ or $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-C l(\{y\})=\emptyset$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ : Let $F$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set and $x \in X-F$. Since $X-F$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open and by (1), $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq X-F$. Let $U=X-\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. Then, we have $U$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open, $F \subseteq U$ and $x \notin U$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3):$ Let $F$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set and $x \in X-F$. There exists a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set $U$ such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \notin U$. By Lemma 2, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap U=\emptyset$ and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap F=\emptyset$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$ : Let $x, y$ be distinct points of $X$. Suppose that

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset
$$

By (3), $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$ and $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. By Lemma 1,

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})
$$

Thus, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})=\tau_{2} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$.
$(4) \Rightarrow(1)$ : Let $U$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set and $x \in U$. For any $y \notin U$, by Lemma $2, \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \cap U=\emptyset$ and hence $x \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. Therefore, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. By (4), for each $y \notin U$,

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})=\emptyset
$$

Since $X-U$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed, $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq X-U$ and

$$
\cup_{y \in X-U} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})=X-U .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap(X-U) & =\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap\left[\cup_{y \in X-U} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})\right] \\
& =\cup_{y \in X-U}\left[\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})\right] \\
& =\emptyset
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$. This shows that $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.
Corollary 1. A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$ if and only if for each points $x$ and $y$ in $X$, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$ implies $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})=\emptyset$.

Proof. This is obvious by Theorem 1 (4).
Conversely, let $U$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set and $x \in U$. If $y \notin U$, then $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \cap U=\emptyset$. Therefore, $x \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$ and $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. By the hypothesis, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})=\emptyset$ and hence $y \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. Thus, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$. This shows that $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.

Theorem 2. A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$ if and only if for each points $x$ and $y$ in $X$, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \neq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})$ implies $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})=\emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$. Suppose that

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset
$$

Let $z \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})$. Then, $z \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$ and by Lemma $4, x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{z\})$. Thus, $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{z\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$ and by Corollary $1, \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{z\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. Similarly, we have $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{z\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$ and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. By Lemma 4, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})=$ $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})$.

Conversely, we show that sufficiency by using Corollary 1 . Suppose that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. By Lemma 4,

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \neq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})
$$

and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})=\emptyset$. Therefore,

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})=\emptyset .
$$

In fact, assume $z \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. Then, $z \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$ implies $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{z\})$ and hence

$$
x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{z\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) .
$$

By the hypothesis, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{z\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$ and by Lemma $4, \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{z\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. Similarly, we have

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{z\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})
$$

and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. This contradicts that

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})
$$

Therefore, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})=\emptyset$. Thus, $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.
Theorem 3. For a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, the following properties are equivalent:
(1) $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.
(2) $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$ if and only if $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. By Lemma 4, $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$ and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\}) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 2, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})$ and hence $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{y\})$. By Lemma $4, y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. The converse is similarly shown.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $U$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set and $x \in U$. If $y \notin U$, then $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \cap U=\emptyset$. Thus, $x \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$ and $y \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. This implies that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$. Therefore, $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.

Theorem 4. For a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, the following properties are equivalent:
(1) $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.
(2) For each nonempty set $A$ of $X$ and each $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set $U$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, there exists a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set $F$ such that $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and $F \subseteq U$.
(3) $F=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(F)$ for each $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set $F$.
(4) $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $A$ be a nonempty set of $X$ and $U$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set such that $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Then, there exists $x \in A \cap U$ and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$. Put $F=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$, then $F$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed, $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and $F \subseteq U$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ : Let $F$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set of $X$. By Lemma 3, we have $F \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(F)$. Next, we show $F \supseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ - $\operatorname{ker}(F)$. Let $x \notin F$. Then, $x \in X-F$ and $X-F$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open. By (2), there exists a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed set $K$ such that $x \in K$ and $K \subseteq X-F$. Now, put $U=X-K$. Then, $U$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open, $F \subseteq U$ and $x \notin U$. Thus, $x \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(F)$ and hence $F \supseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(F)$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$ : Let $x \in X$ and $y \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})$. There exists a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set $U$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$. Thus, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \cap U=\emptyset$. By (3), $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})\right) \cap U=\emptyset$. Since $x \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})\right)$, there exists a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set $G$ such that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \subseteq G$ and $x \notin G$. Therefore, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap G=\emptyset$. Since $y \in G$, we have $y \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$ and hence $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) & \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \\
& \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})\right) \\
& =\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$.
$(4) \Rightarrow(5)$ : This is obvious.
(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $U$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open set and $x \in U$. If $y \notin U$, then $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\}) \cap U=\emptyset$ and $x \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. By Lemma $4, y \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$ and by (5), $y \notin \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. Thus, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and hence $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.

Corollary 2. A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$ if and only if $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\}) \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. This is obvious by Theorem 4.
Conversely, let $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. Then by Lemma 4, $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})$ and hence $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$. Similarly, if $y \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$, then $x \in \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{y\})$. It follows from Theorem 3 that $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.

Definition 3. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a bitopological space and $x \in X$. Then, $\langle x\rangle_{\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)}$ is defined by $\langle x\rangle_{\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)}=$ $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})$.

Corollary 3. A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$ if and only if $\langle x\rangle_{\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)}=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{Cl}(\{x\})$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. By Theorem 4, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-k e r(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$.
Thus, $\langle x\rangle_{\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)}=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\})=\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\})$.
Conversely, let $x \in X$. By the hypothesis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) & =\langle x\rangle_{\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)} \\
& =\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) \\
& \subseteq \tau_{1} \tau_{2}-\operatorname{ker}(\{x\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Theorem 4 that $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)-R_{0}$.
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