

ON STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF COMPLEX ORDER WITH FIXED SECOND COEFFICIENT

H. M. HOSEN

Jazan University , Faculty of Education, S. D. (Girls), Department of Mathematics, 6811-Arroudah,
Unit No. 1, Jazan 82724-3750, KSA

ABSTRACT. Let $F_p(b, M)$ denote the class of functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and satisfy the inequality

$$\left| \frac{b - 1 + \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}}{b} - M \right| < M$$

for $b \neq 0$, complex, $M > \frac{1}{2}$, $|a_2| = 2p$, $0 \leq p \leq \left(\frac{1+m}{2}\right) |b|$,

$m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$ and for all $z \in U$. Further $f(z)$ is in the class $G_p(b, M)$ if $z f'(z)$ is in the class $F_p(b, M)$. In the present paper, we obtain lower bounds for the classes introduced above and apply them to determine γ -spiral radius for functions of the class $F_p(b, M)$ and γ -convex radius for functions of the class $G_p(b, M)$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic; bounded starlike function; complex order; γ -spiral radius; γ -convex radius.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (k \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}),$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and let S denote the subclass of A . In [17] Nasr and Aouf denote the class of bounded starlike functions of complex order $F(b, M)$ ($b \neq 0$, complex, M fixed, $M > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$) by

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \frac{b - 1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}}{b} - M \right| < M, \quad (z \in U)$$

Also in [18] Nasr and Aouf denote and introduced the class of bounded convex function of complex order $G(b, M)$ ($b \neq 0$, complex, M fixed and $M > \frac{1}{2}$) by

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \frac{b + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}}{b} - M \right| < M, \quad (z \in U).$$

.From equations (1.2) and (1.3) , we get

$$(1.4) \quad f(z) \in G(b, M) \text{ if and only if } zf'(z) \in F(b, M).$$

By specializing b and M , we obtain the following subclasses studied by earlier authors:

$$(1) \quad F(b, \infty) = S(1 - b) \quad (\text{Nasr and Aouf [19] });$$

$$(2) \quad G(b, \infty) = C(b) \quad (\text{Wiatrowski [29], Nasr and Aouf [16], and Aouf [2] });$$

$$(3) \quad F((1 - \alpha)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, \infty) = S^\lambda(\alpha) \quad (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1) \quad (\text{Libera [11] and Patil and Thakare [21] });$$

$$(4) \quad G((1 - \alpha)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, \infty) = C^\lambda(\alpha) \quad (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1) \quad (\text{Chichra [7] and Sizuk [28] });$$

$$(5) \quad F(1 - \alpha, 1) = \bar{S}_\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1) \quad (\text{Wright [30] and MaCarty [13] });$$

$$(6) \quad F(1, 1) = S^* \quad (\text{Singh [26] });$$

$$(7) \quad F(1, M), \quad (M > \frac{1}{2}) \quad (\text{Singh and Singh [27] });$$

$$(8) \quad F(e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, (\cos \lambda)^{-1}) = H(\lambda)(|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}) \quad (\text{Geol [9] });$$

$$(9) \quad F(e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, M) = F_{\lambda, M} \text{ and } ; G(e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, M) = G_{\lambda, M} \quad (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, M > \frac{1}{2}) \quad (\text{Kulshrestha [10] });$$

$$(10) \quad F((1 - \alpha)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, M) = F_M(\lambda, \alpha), \text{ and } G((1 - \alpha)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, M) = G_M(\lambda, \alpha) \quad (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, M > \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1) \quad (\text{Aouf [3] });$$

$$(11) \quad F\left(1 - a - d, \frac{d}{a + d - 1}\right) = S(a, d), \quad (a + d \geq 1, d \leq a < d + 1) \quad (\text{Silverman [23]});$$

$$(12) \quad F\left((1 - a - d)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, \frac{d}{a + d - 1}\right) = S(\lambda, a, d) \quad (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, a + d \geq 1, d \leq a \leq d + 1) \quad (\text{Silvia [25]});$$

Definition 1. A functions $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is said to be in the class $F_p(b, M)$ ($b \neq 0$, complex, $M > \frac{1}{2}$, $|a_2| = 2p$, $0 \leq p \leq (\frac{1+m}{2})|b|$, $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$) if it satisfies (1.2).

Definition 2. A functions $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) is said to be in the class $G_p(b, M)$ ($b \neq 0$, complex, $M > \frac{1}{2}$, $|a_2| = p$, $0 \leq p \leq (\frac{1+m}{2})|b|$, $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$) if it satisfies (1.3).

In [11] Libera (see also [14]) introduced the concept of " γ -spiral radius" for the classes of univalent functions as follows

Definition 3. If $f \in S$ and $|\gamma| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then γ -spiral radius of f given by

$$(1.4) \quad \gamma - s.r.\{f\} = \sup\{r : \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\gamma} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0, \quad |z| < r\},$$

and if $F \subset S$, then γ -spiral radius of F is

$$(1.5) \quad \gamma - s.r.F = \inf_{f \in F} [\gamma - s.r.\{f\}].$$

In this paper, we obtain convolution conditions for the classes $K(A, B, p, \alpha)$, $S^*(A, B, p, \alpha)$, $K_\lambda(A, B, p, \alpha)$ and $S_\lambda^*(A, B, p, \alpha)$ as described below:

Definition 4. If $f \in A$ and $|\gamma| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then the γ -convex radius of f

$$(1.6) \quad \gamma - c.r.\{f\} = \sup\{r : \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\gamma}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right\} > 0, z \in U\}.$$

Definition 5. If $E \subset A$, and $|\gamma| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then the γ -convex radius of E is

$$(1.7) \quad \gamma - c.r.E = \inf_{f \in E} [\gamma - c.r.\{f\}].$$

Results in terms of a fixed second coefficient have been obtained for varoius subclasses of S. Finkelstein [8] investigated the classes $F_p(1, \infty)$ and $G_p(1, \infty)$ the starlike

and convex functions with pre-assigned second coefficient. Extensions of these results can be found in ([1], [4], [5], [6], [14], [23] and [34]).

In the present paper, we obtain lower bounds for the classes introduced above and apply them to determine γ -spiral radii for functions of the class $F_p(b, M)$ and γ -convex radius for functions of the class $G_p(b, M)$.

2. GROWTH ESTIMATES

To prove our results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $w(z) = d_1z + d_2z^2 + \dots$, be an analytic map of the unit disc into itself. Then*

$$|d_1| \leq 1$$

and

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{r(r + |d_1|)}{(1 + |d_1|r)} \quad (|z| = r).$$

Equality holds at some point $z(z \neq 0)$ if and only if

$$w(z) = \frac{e^{-it}z(z + d_1e^{it})}{1 + \overline{d_1}e^{-it}z} \quad (t \geq 0).$$

This lemma is an iterated form of Schwarz's Lemma [20] and is due to Lowner [12].

To obtain growth estimates for the classes $F_p(b, M)$ and $G_p(b, M)$ it is useful to consider the following class.

Definition 6. *A function $h(z) = 1 + 2a_2z + \dots$, analytic in the unit disc U , is in the class $H_p(b, M)$ ($b \neq 0$, complex, $M > \frac{1}{2}$, $|a_2| = p$, $0 \leq p \leq (\frac{1+m}{2})|b|$, $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$) if the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \frac{b-1+h(z)}{b} - M \right| < M$$

holds for $b \neq 0$, complex, $M > \frac{1}{2}$, and for all $z \in U$.

Observe that $f(z) \in F_p(b, M)$ if and only if $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in H_p(b, M)$ and $f(z) \in G_p(b, M)$ if and only if $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \in H_p(b, M)$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $h(z) \in H_p(b, M)$, $|\gamma| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and*

$$(2.2) \quad u = pr + \left(\frac{1+m}{2}\right)|b|, \quad v = \left(\frac{1+m}{2}\right)|b|r + p.$$

Then, for $|z| = r < 1$ and for all $b, M, p (b \neq 0, \text{complex}, M > \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq p \leq (\frac{1+m}{2})|b|, m = 1 - \frac{1}{M})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\gamma}h(z)\} &\geq \frac{1}{u^2 - m^2v^2r^2} \{u^2 \cos \gamma - (1+m)|b|uvr \\ &\quad + mv^2[(m+1)(\operatorname{Re}\{b\} \cos \gamma - \operatorname{Im}\{b\} \sin \gamma) - m \cos \gamma]r^2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Since $h(z) \in H_p(b, M)$, an application of Schwarz's Lemma [20] gives

$$(2.4) \quad h(z) = \frac{1 + [(1+m)b - m]w(z)}{1 - mw(z)}, \quad m = 1 - \frac{1}{M},$$

where $w(z) = d_1z + \dots (|d_1| = \frac{2p}{(1+m)|b|})$ satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma. Thus

$$(2.5) \quad |w(z)| \leq \frac{v}{u}r,$$

where u and v are given by (2.2) and $|z| = r$. Let $B(z) = e^{-i\gamma}h(z)$ and $|\gamma| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then (2.4) may be written as

$$(2.6) \quad w(z) = -\frac{e^{i\gamma} - B(z)}{mB(z) + e^{i\gamma}[(1+m)b - m]}.$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \frac{e^{i\gamma} - B(z)}{mB(z) + e^{i\gamma}[(1+m)b - m]} \right| \leq \frac{v}{u}r.$$

Setting $B(z) = \zeta + i\eta$ and simplifying, (2.7) gives

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \zeta + i\eta - e^{i\gamma} \left[1 + \frac{m(1+m)bv^2r^2}{u^2 - m^2v^2r^2} \right] \right| \leq \frac{(1+m)|b|uvr}{u^2 - m^2v^2r^2}.$$

From (2.8) it follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \operatorname{Re}\{B(z)\} \geq \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\gamma} \left[1 + \frac{m(1+m)bv^2r^2}{u^2 - m^2v^2r^2} \right] \right\} - \frac{(1+m)|b|uvr}{u^2 - m^2v^2r^2}.$$

Now the result follows immediately from (2.9).

The bound in (2.3) is sharp for the function

$$(2.10) \quad h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{u + [m - (1 + m)b]vz}{u + mvz}, & m \neq 0, \\ 1 - \frac{bv}{u}z, & m = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \zeta = \begin{cases} \frac{r[mvr - \sqrt{\frac{b}{b}} e^{-i\gamma}u]}{u - m\sqrt{\frac{b}{b}} e^{-i\gamma}vr}, & m \neq 0, \\ r\sqrt{\frac{b}{b}} e^{-i\gamma}, & m = 0. \end{cases}$$

3. THE γ -SPIRAL AND γ -CONVEX RADIUS.

Theorem 3. $\gamma - s.r.F_p(b, M)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &u^2 \cos \gamma - (1 + m) |b| uvr + mv^2[(1 + m)(\operatorname{Re}\{b\} \cos \gamma - \\ &\operatorname{Im}\{b\} \sin \gamma) - m \cos \gamma]r^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where u and v are given by (2.2). The result is sharp for all admissible values of b , M and p .

Proof. Setting $h(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$, in Theorem 1, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\gamma} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} &> \frac{1}{u^2 - m^2v^2r^2} \{u^2 \cos \gamma - (1 + m) |b| uvr \\ &+ mv^2[(1 + m)(\operatorname{Re}\{b\} \cos \gamma - \\ &\operatorname{Im}\{b\} \sin \gamma) - m \cos \gamma]r^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (1.5) and the inequality (3.2), $f(z)$ is γ -spiral in $|z| < r_0$, where r_0 is the smallest positive root of the equation (3.1). Hence the theorem.

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(3.3) \quad f(z) = \begin{cases} z(u + mvz)^{-\left(\frac{1+m}{m}\right)b}, & m \neq 0, \\ z \exp(-b\frac{v}{u}z), & m = 0, \end{cases}$$

where ζ is given by (2.11).

Corollary 4. $\gamma - s.r.S_p(1 - b)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation

$$(3.4) \quad u^2 \cos \gamma - 2|b| uvr + v^2[2(\operatorname{Re}\{b\} \cos \gamma - \operatorname{Im}\{b\} \sin \gamma) - \cos \gamma]r^2 = 0,$$

where $u = pr + |b|$ and $v = |b|r + p$. The result is sharp.

The above result is obtained by fixing $m = 1$ in Theorem 2. Further, taking $p = |b|$ in Corollary 1, we get the following result.

Corollary 5. $\gamma - s.r.S(1 - b)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation

$$(3.5) \quad \cos \gamma - 2|b|r + [2(\operatorname{Re}\{b\} \cos \gamma - \operatorname{Im}\{b\} \sin \gamma) - \cos \gamma]r^2 = 0.$$

The result is sharp.

Corollary 6. $\gamma - s.r.S_p^\lambda(\alpha)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation

$$u^2 \cos \gamma - 2(1 - \alpha)ruv \cos \lambda +$$

$$(3.6) \quad v^2 [2(1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda \cos(\gamma - \lambda) - \cos \gamma]r^2 = 0,$$

where $u = pr + (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda$ and $v = (1 - \alpha)r \cos \lambda + p$. The result is sharp.

The above result is obtained by fixing $b = (1 - \alpha)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and $m = 1$ in Theorem 3.1. This result was also obtained by Mogra [14, Corollary 1]. Further, taking $p = (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda$ in Corollary 3.4, we get the result obtained by Mogra and Ahuja [15] and Mogra [14].

Corollary 7. $\gamma - s.r.F_{M,p}(\lambda, \alpha)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation

$$u^2 \cos \gamma - (1 + m) uvr (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda +$$

$$(3.7) \quad mv^2 [(1 + m) (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda \cos(\gamma - \lambda) - m \cos \gamma]r^2 = 0,$$

where $u = pr + \left(\frac{1 + m}{2}\right) (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda$ and $v = \left(\frac{1 + m}{2}\right) r (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda + p$. The result is sharp.

The above result is obtained by choosing $b = (1 - \alpha)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, in Theorem 3.1.

Further, taking $p = \left(\frac{1 + m}{2}\right) (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda$ in Corollary 3.5, we get the following result:

Corollary 8. $\gamma - s.r.F_M(\lambda, \alpha)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation

$$(3.8) \quad \cos \gamma - (1 + m) r(1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda + m[(1 + m)(1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda \cos(\gamma - \lambda) - m \cos \gamma] r^2 = 0$$

The result is sharp

Remark 1. (1) Putting $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 3.5, we get the corresponding result for the class $F_{\lambda, M, p}(\lambda, 0) = F_{\lambda, M, p}$.

(2) Putting $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 3.6, we get the result obtained by Mogra and Ahuja [15, Corollary 6] for the class $F_M(\lambda, 0) = F_{\lambda, M}$

Corollary 9. $\gamma - s.r.H_p(\lambda)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation

$$u^2 \cos \gamma - (2 - \cos \lambda) r u v \cos \lambda + (1 - \cos \lambda) v^2 [(2 - \cos \lambda) \cos \lambda \cos(\gamma - \lambda)$$

$$(3.9) \quad -(1 - \cos \lambda) \cos \gamma] r^2 = 0,$$

where $u = pr + \left(\frac{2 - \cos \lambda}{2}\right) \cos \lambda$ and $v = \left(\frac{2 - \cos \lambda}{2}\right) r \cos \lambda + p$. The result is sharp.

The above result is obtained by choosing $b = e^{i\lambda} \cos \lambda$ and $M = (\cos \lambda)^{-1}$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$) in Theorem 3.1. Further, taking $p = \left(\frac{2 - \cos \lambda}{2}\right) \cos \lambda$ in corollary 7, we get the result obtained by Mogra and Ahuja [15, Corollary 7].

Corollary 10. $\gamma - s.r.S_p(\lambda, a, d)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation

$$u^2 \cos \gamma - \left(\frac{\rho}{d} u v \cos \lambda\right) r - \left(\frac{1 - a}{d}\right) v^2 \left[\frac{\rho}{d} \cos \lambda \cos(\gamma - \lambda)$$

$$(3.10) \quad + \left(\frac{1 - a}{d}\right) \cos \gamma \right] r^2 = 0,$$

where $\rho = d^2 - (1 - a)^2$, $u = pr + (a + d - 1) \cos \lambda$ and $v = (a + d - 1) r \cos \lambda + p$. The result is sharp.

The above result obtained by choosing

$b = (1 - a - d)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$ and $M = \frac{d}{a + d - 1}$ ($|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $a + d \geq 1$, $d \leq a < d + 1$) in Theorem 3.1. Further, taking $p = (a + d - 1) \cos \lambda$ in Corollary 3.7 get the following result:

Corollary 11. $\gamma - s.r.S(\lambda, a, d)$ is the smallest positive root r_0 , of the equation

$$(3.11.) \quad \cos \gamma - \left(\frac{\rho}{d} \cos \lambda\right) r - \left(\frac{1 - a}{d}\right) \left[\frac{\rho}{d} \cos \lambda \cos(\gamma - \lambda) + \left(\frac{1 - a}{d}\right) \cos \gamma \right] r^2 = 0,$$

The result is sharp.

Remark 2. (1) Putting $\gamma = 0$ in Corollary 3.9, we get the result obtained by Silvia [25, Theorem 3].

(2) Putting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.8 and Corollary 3.9, respectively, we get the corresponding results for the classes $S_p(a, d)$ and $S(a, d)$, respectively.

Setting $h(z) = 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$ in Theorem 2.3 and using Definition 1.4, we get the following result for the class $G_p(b, M)$.

Theorem 12. γ -c.r. $G_p(b, M)$. is the smallest positive root r_0 of the equation (3.1), where u and v are given by (2.2). The result is sharp for the functions $f(z)$ given by

$$(3.12) \quad f'(z) = \begin{cases} (u + mvz)^{-\left(\frac{1+m}{m}\right)b} & m \neq 0, \\ \exp\left(-b\frac{v}{u}z\right), & m = 0 \end{cases}$$

where ζ is given by (2.11).

Remark 3. On taking the appropriate values of b and M the above theorem can give γ -c.r. for the functions in the different classes.

Acknowledgements

I extend my thanks to the dean of the university and head of the department to encourage scientific research department and Colleges.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.S.Al-Amiri, On p-close-to-star functions of order α , Proc.Amer. Math. Soc. 29(1971), 103-108.
- [2] M.K.Aouf, p-Valent classes related to convex functions of complex order, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 15(1985), 853-863.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, Bounded p-valent Robertson functions of order α , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 16(7)(1985), 775-790.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, Bounded spiral-like functions with fixed second coefficient, Internat J. Math. Math.Sci. 12(1989), no. 1, 113-118.
- [5] M. K. Aouf, On spirallike functions of order α and type β with fixed second coefficient, Mat. Vesnik 40(1988), 109-115.
- [6] M.K.Aouf, p-Valent spiral-like functions with fixed second coefficient, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 16(1988), no. 2, 157-162.
- [7] P. N. Chichra, Regular functions $f(z)$ for which, $zf'(z)$ is θ - spirallike, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49(1975), 151-160.
- [8] M. Finkelstein, Growth estimates of convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18(1967), 412-418.
- [9] R. M. Goel, A subclass of α - spiral functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen 23(1976),79-84.
- [10] P. Kulshrestha, Bounded Robertson functions, Rend. Mat. (6) 9 (1976), 137-150.

- [11] R. J. Libera, Univalent α - spiral functions, *Canad. J. Math.* 19 (1967), 449-456.
- [12] K. Löwner, Untersuchungen über schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises I, *Math. Ann.* 89(1923), 103-121.
- [13] C. P. MaCarthy, Starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 43(1974), no. 2, 361-366.
- [14] M. L. Mogra, Spirallike and Robertson functions with fixed second coefficient, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* (4) 9 (1983), 411-419.
- [15] M. L. Mogra and O. P. Ahuja, On spirallike functions of order α and type β , *Yokohama Math. J.* 29(1981), 145-156.
- [16] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, On convex functions of complex order, *Mansoura Sci. Bull. Egypt* 9 (1982), 565-582.
- [17] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Bounded starlike functions of complex order, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* 92 (1983), 97-102.
- [18] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Bounded convex functions of complex order, *Mansoura Sci. Bull. Egypt* 10(1983), 513-527.
- [19] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, *J. Natur. Sci. Math.* 25 (1985), 1-12.
- [20] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1952.
- [21] D. A. Patil and N. K. Thakare, On coefficient bounds of p -valent λ -spiral functions of order α , *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 10(1979), 842-853.
- [22] H. Silverman, On a class of close-to-convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36(1972), 477-484.
- [23] H. Silverman, Subclasses of starlike functions, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* 23(1978), no. 7, 1093-1099.
- [24] H. Silverman and D. N. Telega, Spiral functions and related classes with fixed second coefficient, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 1(1977), no. 7, 111-116.
- [25] E. M. Siliva, Subclasses of spiral-like functions, *Tamkang J. Math.* 14(1983), no. 2, 161-169.
- [26] R. Singh, on a class of starlike functions, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 32(1968), 208-213.
- [27] R. Singh and V. Singh, On a class of bounded starlike functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 5 (1974), 733-754.
- [28] P. I. Sizuk, Regular functions $f(z)$ for which $zf'(z)$ is θ -spiral shaped of order α , *Sibirsk. Math. Z.* 16(1975), 1286-1290, 1371.
- [29] P. Wiatrowski, The coefficients of a certain family of holomorphic functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Univ. Lodzk. Nauk. Math. Przyrod. Ser. II, Zeszyt* (39) *Math.* (1971), 75 - 85.
- [30] D. J. Wright, On a class of starlike functions, *Compositio Math.* 21(1969), 122-124