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1. Introduction

It is a well-known fact that the mathematical results regarding fixed points of contraction type mappings are very useful for determining the existence and uniqueness of solutions to various mathematical models. Over the last 40 years, the theory of fixed points has been developed regarding the results that are related to finding the fixed points of self and nonself nonlinear mappings in a metric space.

The study of fixed points for multi-valued contraction mappings was initiated by Nadler[18] and Markin[8]. Several authors proved fixed point results in different types of generalized metric spaces[1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19].

Azam et al. [1] introduced the concept of a complex valued metric space and obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive type condition. Subsequently, Rouzkard and Imdad [6] established some common fixed point theorems for maps satisfying certain rational expressions in complex valued metric spaces to generalize the results of [1]. In the same way, Sintunavarat et al. [21, 22] obtained common fixed point results by replacing the constant of
contractive condition to control functions. Recently, Sitthikul and Saejung [9] and Klin-
eam and Suanoom [4] established some fixed point results by generalizing the contrac-
tive conditions in the context of complex valued metric spaces. Very recently, Ahmad et 
al.[7] obtained some new fixed point results for multi-valued mappings in the setting 
of complex valued metric spaces.

Throughout this paper, $N$ and $C$ denote the set of all positive integers and the set of 
all complex numbers respectively.

A complex number $z \in C$ is an ordered pair of real numbers, whose first co-ordinate 
is called $Re(z)$ and second co-ordinate is called $Im(z)$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in C$. Define a partial 
order $\preceq$ on $C$ as follows:

$z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2), Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$.

Thus $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following holds:

1. $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,
2. $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,
3. $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$,
4. $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$.

We will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (2), (3) and (4) is satisfied; also we will write 
$z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (4) is satisfied.

**Definition 1.1.** (1) Let $X$ be a non empty set. A function $d : X \times X \to C$ is called a 
complex valued metric on $X$ if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
3. $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

The pair $(X, d)$ is called a complex valued metric space.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $X$ and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in C$ with $0 \preceq c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ 
such that for all $n > n_0, d(x_n, x) \prec c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent to $x$ and $x$ is 
called the limit point of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. If 
for every $c \in C$ with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n > n_0, d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec c$, 
where $m \in N$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence in $(X, d)$. If every Cauchy sequence 
is convergent in $(X, d)$ then $(X, d)$ is called a complete complex valued metric space.

We require the following lemmas.

The following lemmas are very useful for further discussion.

**Lemma 1.2.** (11) Let $(X, d)$ be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence 
in $X$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to $x$ if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. 
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Lemma 1.3. ([1]) Let \((X, d)\) be a complex valued metric space and let \(\{x_n\}\) be a sequence in \(X\). Then \(\{x_n\}\) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if \(|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \to 0\) as \(n, m \to \infty\).

Now we follow the notations and definitions given in [7].

Let \((X, d)\) be a complex valued metric space. We denote
\[ s(z_1) = \{ z_2 \in C : z_1 \preceq z_2 \} \text{ for } z_1 \in C \text{ and } \]
\[ s(a, B) = \bigcup_{b \in B} s(d(a, b)) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{ z \in C : d(a, b) \preceq z \} \text{ for } a \in X \text{ and } B \in C(X). \]

For \(A, B \in C(X)\), we denote
\[ s(A, B) = \left( \bigcap_{a \in A} s(a, B) \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{b \in B} s(b, A) \right). \]

Remark 1.4. ([7]) Let \((X, d)\) be a complex valued metric space and let \(CB(X)\) be a collection of nonempty closed subsets of \(X\). Let \(T : X \to CB(X)\) be a multivalued function. For \(x \in X\) and \(A \in CB(X)\),
\[ W_x(A) = \{ d(x, a) : a \in A \}. \]
Thus , for \(x, y \in X\).
\[ W_x(Ty) = \{ d(x, u) : u \in Ty \}. \]

Definition 1.5. ([7]) Let \((X, d)\) be a complex valued metric space. A nonempty subset \(A\) of \(X\) is called bounded from below if there exists some \(z \in C\) such that \(z \preceq a\) for all \(a \in A\).

Definition 1.6. ([7]) Let \((X, d)\) be a complex valued metric space. A multivalued mapping \(F : X \to 2^C\) is called bounded from below if for each \(x \in X\) there exists \(z_x \in C\) such that \(z_x \preceq u\) for all \(u \in Fx\).

Definition 1.7. ([7]) Let \((X, d)\) be a complex valued metric space. The multivalued mapping \(T : X \to CB(X)\) is said to have the lower bound property (l.b.Property) on \((X, d)\) if for any \(x \in X\), the multi-valued mapping \(F_x : X \to 2^C\) defined by \(F_x(y) = W_x(Ty)\) is bounded from below. That is for \(x, y \in X\), there exists an element \(l_x(Ty) \in C\) such that \(l_x(Ty) \preceq u\) for all \(u \in W_x(Ty)\), where \(l_x(Ty)\) is called a lower bound of \(T\) associated with \((x, y)\).

Definition 1.8. ([7]) Let \((X, d)\) be a complex valued metric space. The multivalued mapping \(T : X \to CB(X)\) is said to have the greatest lower bound property (g.l.b.Property) on \((X, d)\) if the greatest lower bound of \(W_x(Ty)\) exists in \(C\) for all \(x, y \in X\). We denote \(d(x, Ty)\) by the g.l.b.Property of \(W_x(Ty)\). That is \(d(x, Ty) = \inf \{ d(x, u) : u \in Ty \}\).

Definition 1.9. ([20]) Let \(f : X \to X, S : X \to CB(X)\). \(f\) is said to be S-weakly commuting at \(x \in X\) if \(f^2x \in Sfx\).
2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \((X,d)\) be a complex valued metric space. Let \(S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)\) be multi valued mappings \(f, g : X \rightarrow X\) satisfying

\[
(2.1.1) Sx \subseteq g(X), Tx \subseteq f(X), \forall x \in X
\]

\[
(2.1.2) ad(\langle x, Ty \rangle + bd(\langle gy, Sx \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x, Ty \rangle + \langle gy, Sx \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x, gy \rangle)}) \leq s(Sx, Ty) \leq s(Sx, Ty)
\]

for all \(x, y \in X\) and \(a, b, c\) are non negative reals such that \(2a + 2b < 1\),

\[
(2.1.3) f \text{ is } S \text{ weakly commuting and } g \text{ is } T \text{ weakly commuting},
\]

\[
(2.1.4) f(X) \text{ is complete.}
\]

Then \((f,S)\) and \((g,T)\) have the same coincidence point.

**Proof.** Let \(x_1\) be an arbitrary point in \(X\). Write \(y_1 = f(x_1)\). Since \(Sx_1 \subseteq g(X)\), there exists \(x_2 \in X\) such that \(y_2 = gx_2 \in Sx_1\).

From (2.1.2), we have

\[
ad(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_1, gx_2 \rangle)} \leq s(Sx_1, Tx_2).
\]

\[
ad(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_1, gx_2 \rangle)} \in \bigcap_{x \in Sx_1} s(\langle x, Tx_2 \rangle).
\]

\[
ad(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_1, gx_2 \rangle)} \in s(\langle x, Tx_2 \rangle, \forall x \in Sx_1.
\]

\[
ad(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_1, gx_2 \rangle)} \leq s(gx_2, Tx_2).
\]

\[
ad(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_1, gx_2 \rangle)} \in \bigcup_{x \in Tx_2} s(\langle gx_2, x \rangle).
\]

Since \(T x_2 \subseteq f(X)\), there exists some \(x_3 \in X\) with \(y_3 = f x_3 \in Tx_2\) such that \(ad(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_1, gx_2 \rangle)} \in s(\langle gx_2, f(x_3) \rangle).

Hence

\[
d(\langle gx_2, x_3 \rangle \leq ad(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_1, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_1 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_1, gx_2 \rangle)}.
\]

\[
d(\langle y_2, y_3 \rangle \leq ad(\langle y_1, y_3 \rangle + bd(\langle y_2, y_3 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle y_1, y_3 \rangle + \langle y_2, y_3 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle)}.
\]

\[
|d(\langle y_2, y_3 \rangle | \leq a |d(\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle | + a |d(\langle y_2, y_3 \rangle |.
\]

\[
|d(\langle y_2, y_3 \rangle | \leq \frac{a}{1-a} |d(\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle | \quad \ldots \quad (1)
\]

Now,

\[
ad(\langle x_3, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_3 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_3, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_3 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_3, gx_2 \rangle)} \in s(\langle Sx_3, Tx_2 \rangle.
\]

\[
ad(\langle x_3, Tx_2 \rangle + bd(\langle gx_2, Sx_3 \rangle + \frac{cd(\langle x_3, Tx_2 \rangle + \langle gx_2, Sx_3 \rangle)}{1 + d(\langle x_3, gx_2 \rangle)} \in \left( \bigcap_{y \in Tx_2} s(\langle Sx_3, y \rangle \right).
\]
\[ ad(f_x, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(f_x, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(f_x, gx_2)} \in s(Sx_3, y), \forall y \in Tx_2 \]

\[ ad(f_x, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(f_x, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(f_x, gx_2)} \in s(Sx_3, f_x). \]

\[ ad(f_x, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(f_x, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(f_x, gx_2)} \in \bigcup_{y \in Sx_3} s(d(y, f_x)). \]

Since \( Sx_3 \subseteq g(X), \) there exists some \( x_4 \in X \) with \( y_4 = gx_4 \in Sx_3 \) such that \( ad(f_x, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(f_x, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(f_x, gx_2)} \in s(d(gx_4, f_x)) \).

Hence

\[ d(gx_4, f_x) \leq ad(f_x, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(f_x, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(f_x, gx_2)}. \]

\[ d(y_3, y_4) \leq ad(y_3, y_3) + bd(y_2, y_4) + \frac{cd(y_3, y_3)d(y_2, y_4)}{1+d(y_3, y_2)}. \]

\[ |d(y_3, y_4)| \leq b|d(y_2, y_3)| + b|d(y_3, y_4)|. \]

\[ d(y_3, y_4) \leq \frac{b}{1-b} \bigg| d(y_2, y_3) \bigg|. \] ....(2)

Putting \( h = max \{ \frac{a}{1-a}, \frac{b}{1-b} \} \) and we continuing in this way, we get

\[ |d(y_n, y_{n+1})| \leq h |d(y_{n-1}, y_n)| \]

\[ \leq h^2 |d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})| \]

\[ \leq \cdots \]

\[ \leq h^{n-1} |d(y_1, y_2)| \]

Now for \( m > n \) consider

\[ |d(y_n, y_m)| \leq |d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \ldots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m)| \]

\[ \leq h^{n-1} + h^n + \ldots + h^{m-2} |d(y_1, y_2)| \]

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{h^{n-1}}{1-h} \right] \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty. \]

Thus \( \{y_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( X \).

Since \( f(X) \) is complete, \( \{y_{2n+1}\} = \{f_x_{2n+1}\} \) is Cauchy, it follows that \( \{y_{2n+1}\} \) converges to \( u \in f(X) \). Hence there exists \( v \in X \) such that \( u = fv \).

Since \( \{y_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence and \( \{y_{2n+1}\} \to u \) it follow that \( \{y_{2n}\} \to u \).

\[ ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in s(Sv, Tx_{2n}). \]

\[ ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in \bigcap_{y \in Tx_{2n}} s(Sv, y). \]

\[ ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in s(Sv, y), \forall y \in Tx_{2n}. \]

\[ ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in s(Sv, y_{2n+1}). \]
ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in \bigcup_{u^1 \in Sv} s(d(u^1, y_{2n+1})).

There exists \( v_n \in Sv \) such that
\[ ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in s(d(v_n, y_{2n+1})). \]

Therefore \( d(v_n, y_{2n+1}) = \frac{1}{ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})}}. \)

Using g.l.b. property, we get
\[ d(v_n, y_{2n+1}) \leq ad(fv, y_{2n+1}) + bd(y_{2n}, v_n) + \frac{cd(fv, y_{2n+1})d(y_{2n}, v_n)}{1+d(fv, y_{2n})}. \]

Using triangular inequality, we obtain
\[ d(v_n, y_{2n+1}) \leq ad(fv, y_{2n+1}) + bd(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{bd(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})d(v_n, v_n)}{1+d(fv, y_{2n})} \]
\[ d(v_n, y_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{a}{1-b} d(fv, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{b}{1-b} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{c}{1-b} d(fv, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n}, v_n) \]
\[ |d(fv, v_n)| \leq \frac{a}{1-b} |d(fv, y_{2n+1})| + \frac{b}{1-b} |d(fv, y_{2n+1})| + \frac{c}{1-b} |d(fv, y_{2n})| |d(y_{2n}, v_n)|. \]

Letting \( n \to \infty \), we obtain
\[ |d(fv, v_n)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \]

By Lemma 1.2, we have \( v_n \to fv \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Since \( Sv \) is closed and \( \{v_n\} \subseteq Sv \), it follows that \( fv \in Sv \).

Now \( u = fv \in Sv \) and \( Sv \subseteq g(X) \) it follows that \( u = fv = gw \) for some \( w \in X \).

\[ ad(x_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(x_{2n-1}, Tw) d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \in s(Sx_{2n-1}, Tw). \]

\[ \left( \bigcap_{y^1 \in Sx_{2n-1}} s(y^1, Tw) \right) \]
\[ ad(x_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(x_{2n-1}, Tw) d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)}. \]

\[ s(y^1, Tw), \forall y^1 \in Sx_{2n-1}. \]

\[ ad(x_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(x_{2n-1}, Tw) d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \in s(y_{2n}, Tw). \]

\[ s(y_{2n}, Tw). \]

\[ ad(x_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(x_{2n-1}, Tw) d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \in \bigcup_{u^1 \in Tw} s(d(y_{2n}, u^1)). \]

There exists some \( w_n \in Tw \) such that
\[ ad(x_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(x_{2n-1}, Tw) d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \in s(d(y_{2n}, w_n)). \]
\[ d(y_{2n}, w_n) \leq ad(f x_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{c d(f x_{2n-1}, Tw) d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1 + d(f x_{2n-1}, gw)}. \]

Using g.l.b. property, we obtain
\[ d(y_{2n}, w_n) \leq ad(y_{2n-1}, w_n) + bd(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{c d(y_{2n-1}, w_n) d(gw, y_{2n})}{1 + d(y_{2n-1}, gw)}. \]

Using triangular inequality, we have
\[ d(y_{2n}, w_n) \leq ad(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + ad(y_{2n}, w_n) + bd(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{c d(y_{2n-1}, w_n) d(gw, y_{2n})}{1 + d(y_{2n-1}, gw)}. \]
\[ d(y_{2n}, w_n) \leq \frac{a}{1-a} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + \frac{b}{1-a} d(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{c}{1-a} d(y_{2n-1}, w_n) d(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{c}{1-a} d(y_{2n-1}, gw). \]

Now consider \( d(gw, w_n) \leq d(gw, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, w_n). \)
\[ |d(gw, w_n)| \leq |d(gw, y_{2n})| + \frac{a}{1-a} |d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})| + \frac{b}{1-a} |d(gw, y_{2n})| + \frac{c}{1-a} |d(y_{2n-1}, w_n) d(gw, y_{2n})| + \frac{c}{1-a} |d(y_{2n-1}, gw)|. \]

Letting \( n \to \infty \) we get
\[ |d(gw, w_n)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \]
By Lemma 1.2, we have \( w_n \to gw \text{ as } n \to \infty. \)
Since \( Tw \) is closed and \( \{ w_n \} \subseteq T w \), it follows that \( gw \in Tw. \)
We have \( u = fv = gw \in Tw. \)

Since \( f \) is \( S \)-weakly commuting and \( g \) is \( T \)-weakly commuting we have
\( f^2 v \in Sfv \Rightarrow fu \in Su \) and \( g^2 w \in Tgw \Rightarrow gu \in Tu. \)
Thus the pairs \( (f, S) \) and \( (g, T) \) have the same coincident point. \( \square \)
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