

# ON COINCIDENCE AND COMMON FIXED POINT FOR $(\phi, \psi)$ -WEAKLY CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS VIA $C$ . CLASS FUNCTIONS IN $G$ -METRIC SPACES

R. A. RASHWAN

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assuit University, Assuit 71516, Egypt

Email: rr\_rashwan54@yahoo.com

Received Dec 9, 2016

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we establish a common fixed point theorem of weakly compatible mappings under  $(\phi, \psi)$ -weakly contractive condition involving  $C$ -class functions in  $G$ -metric spaces. Our results improve and extend the results in [10, 14]. We also provide an example to support our results.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 54H25.

**Key words and phrases.** generalized metric space; common fixed point; weakly compatible mappings;  $C$ -class functions.

## 1. Introduction

In 2006, Mustafa and Sims [11], introduced the new concept of generalized metric spaces, named as  $G$ -metric spaces. After that many researcher extend the known contraction in  $G$ -metric space one of these is  $(\varphi - \psi)$ -weak contraction see [12, 13, 14]. The definitions and motivations are found in [11]:

**Definition 1.1** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and let  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow R^+$  be a function satisfying

$$(G_1) \quad G(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ if } x = y = z,$$

$$(G_2) \quad 0 < G(x, x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X \text{ with } x \neq y,$$

$$(G_3) \quad G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X \text{ with } z \neq y,$$

$$(G_4) \quad G(x, y, y) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) \text{ (symmetry in all three variables),}$$

$$(G_5) \quad G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z) \quad \forall a, x, y, z \in X \text{ (rectangle inequality).}$$

Then the function  $G$  is called a generalized metric or more specifically a  $G$ -metric on  $X$  and the pair is  $(X, G)$  called  $G$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 1.2** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ , a point  $x$  in  $X$  is said to be the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_n) = 0$  and one says the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ .

**Proposition 1.3** Let  $(X, G)$  be  $G$ -metric space, then for a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  and point  $x \in X$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$ -convergent to  $x$ ,
- (ii)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (iii)  $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (iv)  $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 1.4** In a  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i) the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence.
- (ii) For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \epsilon \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.5** A  $G$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  is said to be  $G$ -complete if every  $G$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$  is  $G$ -convergent in  $(X, G)$ .

**Proposition 1.6** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ , we have

- (i) if  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  then  $x = y = z$ ;
- (ii)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$ ,
- (iii)  $G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)$ ,
- (iv)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$ ,
- (v)  $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3}(G(x, y, a) + G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z))$ ,
- (vi)  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)$ .

In 1922 Banach [5] established a theorem known as Banach contraction. Banach contraction principle states "A contraction mapping in a complete metric space has a unique fixed point". After that many researchers generalized this principle in many directions using different contractive type conditions. Alber and Gurre [3] gave the concept of weak contraction and studied the existence of fixed points for self maps in Hilbert spaces. The concept of weak contraction extended by Rhoades [15] to metric spaces and defined  $\phi$ -weak contraction as following:

A self map  $T$  on metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be  $\phi$ -weak contraction if there exists a map  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \phi(d(x, y)) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

and proved the following theorem

**Theorem 1.7** Weak contractive self map in a complete metric space has a unique fixed point.

Dutta and Choudhury [6] generalized the concept of weak contractive as a  $(\varphi - \psi)$  weak contractive and established the following result

**Theorem 1.8** Let  $T$  be a self-map on a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying the following inequality

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) - \varphi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where  $\varphi, \psi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  is monotonic non-decreasing and continuous function such that  $\psi(0) = 0 = \varphi(0), \psi(t) > 0$  and  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Definition 1.9** [7] Let  $S$  and  $T$  be self mappings on a non empty set  $X$ .

1-A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a fixed point of  $T$  if  $Tx = x$ ,

2-A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a coincidence point of  $S$  and  $T$  if  $Sx = Tx$  and we shall called  $\omega = Sx = Tx$  that a point of coincidence of  $S$  and  $T$ ,

3-A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$  if  $x = Sx = Tx$ .

**Definition 1.10** [10] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $T, f : X \rightarrow X$ . The mapping  $T$  and  $f$  are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point (i.e.  $Tfx = fTx$  whenever  $Tx = fx$ ).

**Definition 1.11** [9] The following two classes of mappings are defined as:

$$\Psi = \{\psi : \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is continuous and nondecreasing with } \psi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0\}$$

$$\Phi = \{\phi : \phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is nondecreasing, } \phi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0\}$$

Aage and Salunke [1] proved the following result for weak contraction in  $G$ -metric spaces.

**Theorem 1.12** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be mapping satisfying

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(x, y, z) - \phi(G(x, y, z))$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous and non-decreasing with  $\phi^{-1}(0) = 0, \phi(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

E. S. Eke [8] generalized Theorem 1.12 in the following

**Theorem 1.13** Let  $(X, G)$  be  $G$ -metric space and  $Y$  a nonempty subset of  $X$ . Let  $T, S :$

$Y \rightarrow X$  be mapping satisfying

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(Sx, Sy, Sz) - \phi(G(Sx, Sy, Sz)),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous and nonincreasing with  $\phi^{-1}(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $S$  and  $T$  are weakly compatible with  $T(Y) \subseteq S(Y)$ . If  $S(Y)$  or  $T(Y)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ , then the mappings  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

For  $(\phi - \psi)$  weak contraction, Mohanta [13], proved the following theorem for two mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces

**Theorem 1.14** Let  $(X, G)$  be  $G$ -metric space and  $\phi, \psi$  be altering distance functions. Let the mappings  $T, f : X \rightarrow X$  satisfying

$$\psi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) \leq \psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) - \phi(G(fx, fy, fz)),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ . Then  $f$  and  $T$  have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover if  $F$  and  $T$  are weakly compatible. Then  $f$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

Recently, Khandagji et al. [10] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.15** Let  $(X, G)$  be  $G$ -metric space. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping on  $X$  satisfying the following condition

$$\Psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \psi(M(x, y, z)) - \phi(M(x, y, z)),$$

where

$$M(x, y, z) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, z), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), G(z, fz, fz), \\ \alpha G(x, fx, y) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, z), \\ \beta G(x, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(y, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ ,  $\psi$  is an altering distance function and  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function with  $\phi(t) = 0$  iff  $t = 0$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

Very recently, Rashwan and Saleh [14], removed the completeness of the space in Theorem 1.14 and proved the following theorem for two weakly compatible mappings in  $G$ -metric space

**Theorem 1.16** Let  $(X, G)$  be  $G$ -metric space. Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping

on  $X$  satisfying the following conditions

$$\psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \psi(N(x, y, z)) - \phi(N(x, y, z)),$$

where

$$N(x, y, z) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(gx, gy, gz), G(gx, fx, fx), G(gy, fy, fy), G(gz, fz, fz), \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, gy) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, gz), \\ \beta G(gx, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(gy, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  and  $\phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\phi, \psi \in \Psi$ .

If:

- (i)  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$
- (ii)  $f(x)$  or  $g(x)$  is a complete metric subspace of  $X$ .

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

Ansari [4] defined the concept of  $C$ -class functions and presented new fixed point results which improve and extend several results in the literature.

**Definition 1.17** A mapping  $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $C$ -class function if it is continuous and satisfies following axioms:

- (F<sub>1</sub>)  $F(s, t) \leq s$ ,
- (F<sub>2</sub>)  $F(s, t) = s$  implies that either  $s = 0$  or  $t = 0$  for all  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ .

Note that  $F(0, 0) = 0$ . We denote  $C$ -class functions as  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Example 1.18** [4] The following functions  $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ , for all  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$

- (1)  $F(s, t) = s - t$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$
- (2)  $F(s, t) = ks$ ,  $0 < k < 1$ , if  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$
- (3)  $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{(1+t)^r}$ ,  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$  or  $t = 0$
- (4)  $F(s, t) = (s + l)^{\log_{t+a}(a)} - l$ ,  $l > 1$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$
- (5)  $F(s, t) = \sqrt[n]{\log(1 + s^n)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$
- (6)  $F(s, t) = (s + l)^{\frac{1}{1+t}} - l$ ,  $l > 1$ ,  $r \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$
- (7)  $F(s, t) = s \log_{t+a} a$ ,  $a > 1$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$  or  $t = 0$
- (8)  $F(s, t) = s - \left(\frac{1+s}{2+s}\right)\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$
- (9)  $F(s, t) = s\beta(s)$ ,  $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$
- (10)  $F(s, t) = s - \frac{t}{k+t}$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$
- (11)  $F(s, t) = s - \varphi(s)$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ , here  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function such that  $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$

(12)  $F(s, t) = sh(s, t)$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ , here  $h : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function such that  $h(t, s) < 1$  for all  $t, s$

(13)  $F(s, t) = s - (\frac{2+t}{1+t})t$ ,  $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$

In this paper, we establish a coincidence and a common fixed point theorems of weakly compatible mappings under  $(\phi, \psi)$ -weakly contractive condition involving  $C$ -class functions in  $G$ -metric spaces. Our results improve and extend the main results in [10, 14].

## 2. Main Results

The following lemmas are fundamental in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1** [2] let  $f$  and  $g$  be weakly compatible mappings on a set  $X$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence  $\omega = fx = gx$ ,  $x \in X$ , then  $\omega$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $f, g : (G, X) \rightarrow (G, X)$  be two mappings such that

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq F(\psi(N(x, y, z)), \phi(N(x, y, z))),$$

where

$$N(x, y, z) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(gx, gy, gz), G(gx, fx, fx), G(gy, fy, fy), G(gz, fz, fz), \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, gy) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, gz), \\ \beta G(gx, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(gy, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$ . Then  $f, g$  have at most a point of coincidence.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $u = fp = gp$  and  $v = fq = gq$ . Then by (2.1), we obtain

$$\psi(G(fp, fp, fq)) \leq F(\psi(N(p, p, q)), \phi(N(p, p, q))),$$

where

$$N(p, p, q) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(gp, gp, gq), G(gp, fp, fp), G(gp, fp, fp), G(gq, fq, fq), \\ \alpha G(fp, fp, gp) + (1 - \alpha)G(fp, fp, gq), \\ \beta G(gp, fp, fp) + (1 - \beta)G(gp, fp, fp) \end{array} \right\}.$$

This yields

$$N(p, p, q) = \max \{G(u, u, v), 0, 0, 0, (1 - \alpha)G(u, u, v), 0\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(G(u, u, v)) &\leq F(\psi(G(u, u, v)) - \phi(G(u, u, v))) \\ &< \psi(G(u, u, v)),\end{aligned}$$

since  $\psi$  is nondecreasing, we obtain  $\psi(G(u, u, v)) = 0$ . Hence  $u = v$ .

The following lemma holds in every  $G$ -metric space, which similar to be used in prove of several fixed point theorems in the metric space setting.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$ , then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers such that the following four sequences tends to  $\epsilon$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$(2.2) \quad G(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}, x_{n_k}), G(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}), G(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k}, x_{n_k}), G(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k+1}, x_{m_k+1}).$$

Now, we are ready to state and prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $f, g : (G, X) \rightarrow (G, X)$  be two mappings satisfying inequality (2.1). If

- (i)  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$
- (ii)  $f(x)$  or  $g(x)$  is a complete  $G$ -metric subspace of  $X$ .

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$ , since  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$ , we choose  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $y_0 = fx_0 = gx_1$ . Continuing this process, we get

$$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}, \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $y_n = y_{n+1}$  for some  $n$ , then  $y_{n+1} = fx_{n+1} = gx_{n+1}$ .

This yields  $f$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point.

We may assume that  $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ .

Now we will prove the following:

- (1)  $G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (2)  $\{y_n\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence.

Indeed by (2.1), we have

$$(2.3)$$

$$\psi(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) = \psi(G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1})) \leq F(\psi(N(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})), \phi(N(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}))),$$

where,

$$N(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}), G(gx_n, fx_n, fx_n), G(gx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}), \\ G(gx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}), \\ \alpha G(fx_n, fx_n, gx_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha)G(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}), \\ \beta G(gx_n, fx_n, fx_n) + (1 - \beta)G(gx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} N(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n), G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n), G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), \\ \alpha G(y_n, y_n, y_n) + (1 - \alpha)G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_n), \\ \beta G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n) + (1 - \beta)G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \max \{G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n), G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $N(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$  for some  $n \in N$ , then from (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) &\leq F(\psi(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})), \phi(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))) \\ &< \psi(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})), \end{aligned}$$

and since the function  $\psi$  is nondecreasing, it follows that

$$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq G(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_n),$$

that is, there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = \delta \geq 0.$$

If  $\delta > 0$ , we get  $\psi(\delta) \leq \psi(\delta) - \phi(\delta)$ , that is  $\delta = 0$  which is a contradiction. Hence, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Further using Lemma 2.3, we shall prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence. Suppose this is not true. Then by Lemma 2.3 there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers such that the following sequences tends to  $\epsilon$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$G(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}, x_{n_k}), G(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k-1}, x_{n_k-1}), G(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k}, x_{n_k}), G(x_{n_k-1}, x_{m_k+1}, x_{m_k+1}).$$

Putting  $x = x_{m(k)}$ ,  $y = x_{m(k)}$  and  $z = x_{n(k)}$  in (2.1) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)})) &= \psi(G(fx_{m(k)}, fx_{m(k)}, fx_{m(k)+1})) \\ &\leq F(\psi(N(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})), \phi(N(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}))). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \epsilon, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) = \epsilon.$$

Therefore we find that

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(\epsilon) \leq F(\psi(N(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})), \phi(N(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}))).$$



Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\psi(\epsilon) \leq F(\psi(\epsilon), \phi(\epsilon))$ , that is  $\phi(\epsilon) = 0$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$ , we get  $\epsilon = 0$ , which is a contradiction, we have proved that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$ .

Suppose that  $g(X)$  is a  $G$ -complete subspace of  $X$ , so that there exists a point  $q \in g(X)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_{n+1} = q.$$

Also, we prove that  $fp = q$ , by (2.1), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \psi(G(fx_n, fp, fp)) \leq F(\psi(N(x_n, p, p)), \phi(N(x_n, p, p))),$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, p, p) = G(q, fp, fp).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (2.6), one gets

$$(2.7) \quad \psi(G(q, fp, fp)) \leq F(\psi(G(q, fp, fp)), \phi(G(q, fp, fp))),$$

hence  $\psi(G(q, fp, fp)) = 0$  or  $\phi(G(q, fp, fp)) = 0$  and then  $fp = q$ . Then  $q$  is a point of coincidence of  $f$  and  $g$ . Moreover if  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible then from Lemma 2.1,  $q$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ . The proof is similar when we assume that  $f(X)$  is complete since  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ .

If we take  $g = I_x$  (where  $I_x$  is the identity mapping) in Theorem 2.4 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -complete metric space and  $f : (G, X) \rightarrow (G, X)$  be a mapping such that

$$\psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq F(\psi(M(x, y, z)), \phi(M(x, y, z))),$$

where

$$M(x, y, z) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, z), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), G(z, fz, fz), \\ \alpha G(fx, fx, y) + (1 - \alpha)G(fy, fy, z), \\ \beta G(x, fx, fx) + (1 - \beta)G(y, fy, fy) \end{array} \right\},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

If we take  $F(s, t) = ks$ ,  $k \in (0, 1)$  in Theorem 2.4 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $f, g : (G, X) \rightarrow (G, X)$  be a self

mappings such that  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$  and  $f(x)$  or  $g(x)$  is complete subspace of  $X$  and the following condition holds

$$\psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq k\psi(N(x, y, z)),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

If we take  $F(s, t) = s - t$  in Theorem 2.4 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mappings such that  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$  and  $f(x)$  or  $g(x)$  is complete subspace of  $X$  and the following condition holds

$$\psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \psi(N(x, y, z)) - \phi(N(x, y, z)),$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

If we take  $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{1+t}$  in Theorem 2.4 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.4** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mappings such that  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$  and  $f(x)$  or  $g(x)$  is complete subspace of  $X$  and the following condition holds

$$\psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \frac{\psi(N(x, y, z))}{1 + \psi(N(x, y, z))},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence. Moreover, if  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

Now, we give an example to verify the conditions of Theorem 2.4.

**Example** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with  $G$ -metric  $G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$ ,  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f, g$  are mappings on  $X$  defined by  $f(x) = 2$  and  $g(x) = 2x - 2$ .

If we take  $\psi(t) = t$ ,  $\phi(t) = 1$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{1+t}$ ,  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ .

So we have

$$F(s, t) = \frac{s}{1+t} = \frac{\psi(N(x, y, z))}{1 + \psi(N(x, y, z))} = \frac{1}{2}N(x, y, z).$$

It is clear that  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$  and  $(f, g)$  is commuting and hence weakly compatible.

Also,

$$0 = \psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \leq \frac{1}{2}N(x, y, z), \quad x, y, z \in X.$$

Therefore condition (2.1) holds for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied and 2 is a unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

### 3. Conclusions

(i) Our results improve and extend Theorems 1.15 and 1.16 by using new  $(\phi, \psi)$ -weakly contraction mappings involving C-class function in the G-metric space setting

(ii) In  $(\phi, \psi)$ -weakly contraction mappings, the continuity of a function  $\phi$  need not be continuous.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. T. Aage and J. N. Salunke, Fixed points for weak contractions in G-metric spaces, Appl. Math. E-notes, 12 (2012), 23-28.
- [2] M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades, Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings in generalized metric spaces, Appl. Math. Computation, 215 (2009), 562-269.
- [3] I. Alber and S. Guerre-Delabriere, Principles of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces, New Results in Operator Theory. Advances Appl., 98 (1997), 7-32.
- [4] A. H. Ansari, Note on  $\phi$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point, The 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics and Applications, Payame Noor University, (2014), 377-380.
- [5] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, Funda. Mathemata, 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [6] P. N. Dutta and B. S. Choudhury, A generalization of contractive principle in metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2008 (2008), 1-8.
- [7] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, J. Math. Math. Sci., 9 (4), (1986), 771-779.
- [8] K. S. Eke, Common fixed point results of weakly compatible maps in G-metric spaces, British J. Math. Computer Sci., 5 (3) (2015), 341-348.
- [9] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distance between the points, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 30 (1984), 1-9.
- [10] M. Khandaqji, S. Al-Sharif and M. Al-Khaleel, Property P and some fixed point results on  $(\psi - \phi)$ -weakly contractive g-metric spaces, J. Math. Math. Sci., 2012 (2012), 1-11.
- [11] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, J. Linear and Convex Anal., 7 (2), (2006), 289-297.
- [12] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2008 (2008), Article ID 189870.
- [13] S. K. Mohanta, Common fixed points for generalized weakly contractive mappings in G-metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal. Trends Tech., 5 (2014), 88-96.
- [14] R. A. Rashwan and S. M. Salah, Property Q and a common fixed point theorem of  $(\psi - \phi)$ -weakly contractive maps in G-metric spaces, J. Ana. Num. Theor., 1 (1) (2013), 23-32.

- [15] B. E. Rhoades, Some theorems on weakly contractive maps, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* 47 (2001), 2683-2693.