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1. Introduction

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Steinhaus [17] and also independently by Fast [2] for real or complex sequences. Statistical convergence is a generalization of the usual notion of convergence, which parallels the theory of ordinary convergence.

Let $K$ be a subset of the set of positive integers $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, and let us denote the set $\{(m, n, k) \in K : m \leq u, n \leq v, k \leq w\}$ by $K_{uvw}$. Then the natural density of $K$ is given by $\delta (K) = \lim_{uvw \to \infty} \frac{|K_{uvw}|}{uvw}$, where $|K_{uvw}|$ denotes the number of elements in $K_{uvw}$. Clearly, a finite subset has natural density zero, and we have $\delta (K^c) = 1 - \delta (K)$ where $K^c = \mathbb{N} \setminus K$ is the complement of $K$. If $K_1 \subseteq K_2$, then $\delta (K_1) \leq \delta (K_2)$.

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the real of three dimensional space with metric $(X, d)$. Consider a triple sequence $x = (x_{mnk})$ such that $x_{mnk} \in \mathbb{R}, m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

A triple sequence $x = (x_{mnk})$ is said to be statistically convergent to $0 \in \mathbb{R}$, written as $st - \lim x = 0$, provided that the set
\{(m, n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 : |x_{mnk}, 0| \geq \epsilon\}

has natural density zero for any $\epsilon > 0$. In this case, 0 is called the statistical limit of the triple sequence $x$.

If a triple sequence is statistically convergent, then for every $\epsilon > 0$, infinitely many terms of the sequence may remain outside the $\epsilon$- neighbourhood of the statistical limit, provided that the natural density of the set consisting of the indices of these terms is zero. This is an important property that distinguishes statistical convergence from ordinary convergence. Because the natural density of a finite set is zero, we can say that every ordinary convergent sequence is statistically convergent.

If a triple sequence $x = (x_{mnk})$ satisfies some property $P$ for all $m, n, k$ except a set of natural density zero, then we say that the triple sequence $x$ satisfies $P$ for almost all $(m, n, k)$ and we abbreviate this by a.a. $(m, n, k)$.

Let $(x_{mi,nj,k\ell})$ be a sub sequence of $x = (x_{mnk})$. If the natural density of the set $K = \{(mi, nj, k\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^3 : (i, j, \ell) \in \mathbb{N}^3\}$ is different from zero, then $(x_{mi,nj,k\ell})$ is called a non thin sub sequence of a triple sequence $x$.

$c \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a statistical cluster point of a triple sequence $x = (x_{mnk})$ provided that the natural density of the set

\[\{(m, n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 : |x_{mnk} - c| < \epsilon\}\]

is different from zero for every $\epsilon > 0$. We denote the set of all statistical cluster points of the sequence $x$ by $\Gamma_x$.

A triple sequence $x = (x_{mnk})$ is said to be statistically analytic if there exists a positive number $M$ such that

$$\delta \left( \left\{ (m, n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 : |x_{mnk}|^{1/(m+n+k)} \geq M \right\} \right) = 0$$

The theory of statistical convergence has been discussed in trigonometric series, summability theory, measure theory, turnpike theory, approximation theory, fuzzy set theory and so on.

The idea of rough convergence was introduced by Phu [8], who also introduced the concepts of rough limit points and roughness degree. The idea of rough convergence occurs very naturally in numerical analysis and has interesting applications. Aytar [1] extended the idea of rough convergence into rough statistical convergence using the notion of natural density just as usual convergence was extended to statistical convergence. Pal et al. [7] extended the notion of rough convergence using the concept of ideals which automatically extends the earlier notions of rough convergence and rough statistical convergence.

A triple sequence $(x_{mnk})$ in $X$ is said to be weak statistically convergent to $x \in X$ (or WS-convergent) if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and each $\varphi \in X^*$
\[ \delta \left( \{ m \leq r, n \leq s, k \leq t : |\varphi (x_{mnk} - x)| \geq \epsilon \} \right) = 0. \]

In this case, we write \( ws - \lim_{mnk} x_{mnk} = x \) or \( x_{mnk} \to WS x. \)

Let \((X, \rho)\) be a metric space. For any non-empty closed subsets \(f, f_{mnk} \subset X (m, n, k \in I_{rst})\),
we say that the triple sequence of functions of \((f_{mnk})\) is Wijsman \(\lambda\) weak statistical convergent
of order \(\alpha\) to \(f\) is the triple sequence of functions \((d(f_{mnk}, x))\) is statistically convergent to
\(d(f, x)\), i.e., for \(\epsilon > 0\) and for each \(f \in X\)
\[ \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{(rst)^{\alpha}} \left| \{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq \epsilon\} \right| = 0. \]

In this case, we write \( wst - \lim_{mnk} f_{mnk} = f \) or \( f_{mnk} \rightarrow ws f. \) The triple sequence of
functions of \((f_{mnk})\) is bounded if \( \sup_{mnk} d(f_{mnk}, x) < \infty \) for each \(f \in X. \)

In this paper, we introduce the notion of Wijsman rough \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistical convergence
of order \(\alpha\) of triple sequence of functions. Defining the set of Wijsman rough \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistical convergence of order \(\alpha\) limit points of a triple sequence of functions, we obtain to
Wijsman \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistical convergence of order \(\alpha\) criteria associated with this set. Later,
we prove that this set of Wijsman \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistical convergence of order \(\alpha\) of cluster points
and the set of Wijsman rough \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistical convergence of order \(\alpha\) limit points
of a triple sequence of functions.

The \(\alpha\)-density of a subset \(E\) of \(\mathbb{N}\). Let \(\alpha\) be a real number such that \(0 < \alpha \leq 1\). The \(\alpha\)-density of a subset \(E\) of \(\mathbb{N}\) is defined by
\[ \delta_\alpha (E) = \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{(rst)^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ m \leq r, n \leq s, k \leq t : (m, n, k) \in E \right\} \right| \]
provided the limit exists, where \( \left| \left\{ m \leq r, n \leq s, k \leq t : (m, n, k) \in E \right\} \right| \) denotes the number of elements of \(E\) not exceeding \((rst)\).

It is clear that any finite subset of \(\mathbb{N}\) has a zero \(\alpha\) density and \( \delta_\alpha (E^c) = 1 - \delta_\alpha (E) \) does not
hold for \(0 < \alpha < 1\) in general, the equality holds only if \(\alpha = 1\). Note that the \(\alpha\)-density of any set reduces to the natural density of the set in case \(\alpha = 1\).

A triple sequence (real or complex) can be defined as a function \(x : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} (\mathbb{C})\),
where \(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}\) and \(\mathbb{C}\) denote the set of natural numbers, real numbers and complex numbers
respectively. The different types of notions of triple sequence was introduced and investigated
at the initial by Sahiner et al. [9,10], Esi et al. [2-4], Datta et al. [5], Subramanian et al. [11], Debnath et al. [6] and many others.

Throughout the paper let \(r\) be a nonnegative real number.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

2.1. Definition. A triple sequence of functions \((f_{mnk})\) and \(\alpha \in (0, 1]\) said to be Wijsman
\(r\lambda^\alpha\) weak statistically convergent to the function \(f\) denoted by \(f_{mnk} \rightarrow r\lambda^\alpha ws f\), provided
that \(\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists (m_\epsilon, n_\epsilon, k_\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}^3 : m \geq m_\epsilon, n \geq n_\epsilon, k \geq k_\epsilon \Rightarrow \)
\[ \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} |\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| < r + \epsilon\}| = 0 \]

The set
\[ LIM^{r\lambda} = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}^3 : f_{mnk} \to^{r\lambda\alpha WS} f \}\]

is called the Wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \)-weak statistical convergence limit set of the triple sequences of functions.

2.2. Definition. A triple sequence of functions \((f_{mnk})\) and \(\alpha \in (0, 1]\) said to be Wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \)-weak statistically convergent to the function \(f\) denoted by \( f_{mnk} \to^{r\lambda\alpha WS} f \), if \( LIM^{r\lambda} f \neq \phi \). In this case, \( r\lambda\alpha \) is called the Wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \) weak statistically convergent to the functions of degree of the triple sequence of functions \( f = (f_{mnk}) \). For \( r = 0 \), we get the ordinary convergence.

2.3. Definition. A triple sequence of functions \((f_{mnk})\) and \(\alpha \in (0, 1]\) said to be Wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \)-weak statistically convergent to the functions \( f \) denoted by \( f_{mnk} \to^{r\lambda\alpha ws} f \), provided that the set
\[ \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} |\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq r + \epsilon\}| = 0 \]
has natural density zero for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), or equivalently, if the condition
\[ wst - \limsup |\varphi (d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \leq r \]
is satisfied.

In addition, we can write \( f_{mnk} \to^{r\lambda\alpha ws} f \) if and only if the inequality
\[ \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} |\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| < r + \epsilon\}| = 0 \]
holds for every \( \epsilon > 0 \) and almost all \((m, n, k)\). Here \( r\lambda\alpha \) is called the wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \) roughness of degree. If we take \( r = 0 \), then we obtain the ordinary Wijsman statistical convergence of triple sequence of functions.

In a similar fashion to the idea of classic Wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \) rough convergence, the idea of Wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \) rough weak statistical convergence to the triple sequence spaces of functions can be interpreted as follows:

Assume that a triple sequence of functions \( f = (f_{mnk}) \) is Wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \) weak statistically convergent to the functions and cannot be measured or calculated exactly; one has to do with an approximated (or Wijsman \( r\lambda\alpha \) statistically approximated) triple sequence of functions of \( f = (f_{mnk}) \) satisfying \( |\varphi (d(f_{mnk}, x - y) - d(f, x - y))| \leq r \) for all \( m, n, k \) (or for almost all \( m, n, k \)), i.e.,
\[ \delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} |\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d(f_{mnk}, x - y) - d(f, x - y))| > r\}| \right) = 0. \]
Then the triple sequence of functions $f_{mnk}$ is not $r\lambda$ weak statistically convergent to the functions any more, but as the inclusion

\[(2.1) \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| \geq \epsilon \} \supseteq \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \}\]

holds and we have

$$\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| \geq \epsilon \} \right) = 0,$$

i.e., we get

$$\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \} \right) = 0,$$

i.e., the triple sequence spaces of functions of $f_{mnk}$ is Wijsman $r\lambda$--weak statistically convergent to the functions in the sense of definition (2.3).

In general, the Wijsman rough $r\lambda$ weak statistical convergence to the functions of limit of a triple sequence of functions may not unique for the Wijsman roughness degree $r > 0$. So we have to consider the so called Wijsman $r\lambda$--weak statistical convergence to the functions of limit set of a triple sequence of functions of $(f_{mnk})$, which is defined by

$$wst - LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} = \{ f \in \mathbb{R} : f_{mnk} \to^{r\lambda}_{wst} f \}.$$

The triple sequence of functions of $f_{mnk}$ is said to be Wijsman $r\lambda$--weak statistically convergent to the functions provided that $wst - LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} \neq \phi$. It is clear that if $wst - LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} \neq \phi$ for a triple sequence of functions $(f_{mnk})$ of real numbers, then we have

\[(2.2) \quad wst - LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} = [wst - \lim \sup f_{mnk} - r, st - \lim \inf f_{mnk} + r]\]

We know that $LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} = \phi$ for an unbounded triple sequence of functions of $(f_{mnk})$. But such a triple sequence of functions of might be Wijsman $r\lambda$ rough weak statistically convergent to the functions. For instance, define

$$d(f_{mnk}, x) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{mnk}, & \text{if } (m, n, k) \neq (i, j, \ell)^2 (i, j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}), \\ (mnk), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

in $\mathbb{R}$. Because the set $\{1, 64, 739, \cdots \}$ has natural density zero, we have

$$st - LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} = \begin{cases} \phi, & \text{if } r < 1, \\ [1 - r, r - 1], & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} = \phi$ for all $r \geq 0$.

As can be seen by the example above, the fact that $wst - LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} \neq \phi$ does not imply $LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} \neq \phi$. Because a finite set of natural numbers has natural density zero, $LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} \neq \phi$ implies $wst - LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} \neq \phi$. Therefore, we get $LIM^{r\lambda}_f f_{mnk} \subseteq wst -$
$\text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}}$. This obvious fact means
\[
\{ r \geq 0 : \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \neq \phi \} \subseteq \{ r \geq 0 : \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \neq \phi \}
\]
in this language of sets and yields immediately
\[
\inf \{ r \geq 0 : \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \neq \phi \} \geq \inf \{ r \geq 0 : \text{st} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \neq \phi \}.
\]

Moreover, it also yields directly $\text{diam} \left( \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \right) \leq \text{diam} \left( \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \right)$.

3. Main Results

3.1. **Theorem.** A triple sequence of functions $(f_{mnk})$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ be any real number of Wijsman $r\lambda^\alpha$ weak statistically convergence to the functions, we have
\[
\text{diam} \left( \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \right) \leq 2r.
\]

In general $\text{diam} \left( \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \right)$ has an upper bound.

**Proof:** Assume that $\text{diam} \left( \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} \right) > 2r$. Then there exist $w, y \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}}$ such that $|w - y| > 2r$. Take $\epsilon \in \left( 0, \frac{|w - y|}{2} - r \right)$. Because $w, y \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}}$, we have $\delta (K_1) = 0$ and $\delta (K_2) = 0$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ where
\[
K_1 = \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, w))| \geq r + \epsilon \} = 0 \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
K_2 = \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, y))| \geq r + \epsilon \} = 0.
\]

Using the properties of natural density, we get $\delta (K_1 \cap K_2^c) = 1$. Thus we can write
\[
|w - y| \leq |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, w))| + |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, y))| < 2 (r + \epsilon) = 2 \left( \frac{|w - y|}{2} \right) = |w - y|
\]
for all $(m, n, k) \in K_1^c \cap K_2^c$, which is a contradiction.

Now let us prove the second part of the theorem. Consider a Wijsman triple sequence of functions of real numbers of $(f_{mnk})$ such that $\text{wst} - \lim f_{mnk} = f$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then we can write
\[
\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, x))| \geq \epsilon \} \right) = 0.
\]

We have
\[
|\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, y))| \leq |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, x))| + |\varphi (d (f, y) - d (f, x))| \leq |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, x))| + r
\]
for each $d (f_{mnk}, y) \in \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha} (f) = \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, y) - d (f, x))| \leq r \}$.

Then we get $|\varphi (d (f, y) - d (f_{mnk}, y))| < r + \epsilon$ for each $(m, n, k) \in \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, x))| < \epsilon \} = 0$. Because the triple sequence of functions of real numbers of $f_{mnk}$ is Wijsman weak statistically convergent to the functions of $f$, we have
\[
\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi (d (f_{mnk}, x) - d (f, x))| < \epsilon \} \right) = 1.
\]

Therefore we get $y \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}}$. Hence, we can write
\[
\text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha}_{f_{mnk}} = \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha} (f).
\]
Because $\text{diam}(\bar{B}_{r,\lambda^\alpha}(f)) = 2r$, this shows that in general, the upper bound $2r$ of the diameter of the set $\text{wst} - \text{LIM}r^\lambda f_{mnk}$ is not an lower bound.

3.2. Theorem. Let $r > 0$. A triple sequence of functions $(f_{mnk})$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ be any real number of $(d(f_{mnk}, x))$ is Wijsman $r\lambda^\alpha$-weak statistically convergent to the functions of $f$ if and only if there exists a triple sequence of functions $(d(f_{mnk}, y))$ such that $\text{st} - \lim f_{mnk} = f$ and $|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x - y) - d(f, x - y))| \leq r$ for each $(m, n, k) \in I_{rst}$.

Proof: Necessity: Assume that $f_{mnk} \to_{rst} f$. Then we have

$$\text{wst - lim sup } |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \leq r.$$  

Now, define

$$d(f_{mnk}, y) = \begin{cases} f, \text{ if } |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \leq r, \\ d(f_{mnk}, x) + r \left( \frac{|d(f, x) - d(f_{mnk}, x)|}{|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))|} \right), \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Then, we write

$$|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| =$$

$$\begin{cases} |f - f|, \text{ if } |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \leq r, \\ |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| + r \left( \frac{|f - f - |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))|}{|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))|} \right), \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(i.e) $$|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| =$$

$$\begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \leq r, \\ |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| - r \left( \frac{|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))|}{|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))|} \right), \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

We have $|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| \geq |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| - r \implies |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x) - d(f_{mnk}, y) + d(f, y))| \leq r$

$$|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x - y))| \leq r$$

for all $(m, n, k) \in I_{rst}$. By equation (3.1) and by definition of $d(f_{mnk}, y)$, we get $\text{st - lim sup } |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| = 0.$

implies $\text{wst - lim } f_{mnk} \to_{rst} f$.

Sufficiency: Because $\text{st - lim } f_{mnk} = f$, we have

$$\delta \left( \lim_{\text{rst} \to \lambda^\alpha} \frac{1}{|\varphi|} \{ m, n, k \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| \geq \epsilon \} \right) = 0$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$. It is easy to see that the inclusion

$$\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| \geq \epsilon \} \supseteq$$

$$\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \}$$
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holds. Because \( \delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f, y))| \geq \epsilon \} \right) = 0 \), we get
\[
\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \} \right) = 0.
\]

3.3. **Remark.** If we replace the condition \( |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x - y))| \leq r \) for all \( (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} \) in the hypothesis of the Theorem (3.2) with the condition
\[
\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x - y))| > r \} \right) = 0
\]
is valid.

3.4. **Theorem.** For an arbitrary \( c \in \Gamma_x \) of Wijsman \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistically convergence to the functions of \( (d(f_{mnk}, x)) \) we have \( |f - c| \leq r \) for all \( f \in st - LIMr\lambda^\alpha f_{mnk} \).

**Proof:** Assume on the contrary that there exist a point \( c \in \Gamma_x \) and \( f \in wst - LIMr\lambda^\alpha f_{mnk} \) such that \( |f - c| > r \). Define \( \epsilon := \frac{|f - c| - r}{3} \). Then
(3.3)
\[
\{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f, x) - c)| < \epsilon \} \subseteq \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \}.
\]
Since \( c \in \Gamma_x \), we have
\[
\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - c)| < \epsilon \} \right) \neq 0.
\]
Hence, by (3.3), we get
\[
\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \} \right) \neq 0,
\]
which contradicts the fact \( f \in wst - LIMr\lambda^\alpha f_{mnk} \).

3.5. **Proposition.** If a Wijsman \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistically convergence to the functions of \( (d(f_{mnk}, x)) \) is analytic, then there exists a non-negative real number \( r \) such that \( wst - LIMr\lambda^\alpha f_{mnk} \neq \phi \).

**Proof:** If we take the Wijsman \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistically convergence to the functions to be Wijsman \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistically convergence to the functions of analytic, then the of proposition holds. Thus we have the following theorem.

3.6. **Theorem.** A triple sequence of functions \( (f_{mnk}) \) and \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) be any real number of \( (d(f_{mnk}, x)) \) is Wijsman \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistically convergence to the functions of analytic if and only if there exists a non-negative real number \( r \) such that \( wst - LIMr\lambda^\alpha f_{mnk} \neq \phi \).

**Proof:** Since the triple sequence of functions of \( d(f_{mnk}, x) \) is Wijsman \( r\lambda^\alpha \) weak statistically convergence to the functions of analytic, there exists a positive real number \( M \) such that
\[
\delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{\lambda_{rst}} \left\{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : \left| \varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x)) \right|^{1/m+n+k} \geq M \right\} \right) = 0.
\]
Define
\[
r' = \sup \left\{ \left| \varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x)) \right|^{1/m+n+k} : (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} \right\},
\]
where
Then the set $\text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk}$ contains the origin of $\mathbb{R}$. So we have $\text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk} \neq \phi$.

If $\text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk} \neq \phi$ for some $r \geq 0$, then there exists $d(f, x)$ such that $f \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk}$, i.e.,

$$
\delta \left( \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{r} \left\{ (m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(mnk, x)) - d(f, x)|^{1/m+n+k} \geq r + \epsilon \right\} \right) = 0.
$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$. Then we say that almost all $d(mnk, x)$ are contained in some ball with any radius greater than $r$. So the triple sequence of functions of $d(mnk, x)$ is Wijsman $r\lambda^\alpha$ weak statistically convergence to the functions of analytic.

3.7. Remark. If $f' = (f_{mi,nj,kj})$ is a sub sequence of functions of $(fnk)$, then $\text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk} \subseteq \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f'_{mnk}$. But it is not valid for Wijsman $r\lambda^\alpha$ weak statistical convergence to the functions. For

Example: Define

$$
d(mnk, x) = \begin{cases} (mnk), & \text{if } (m, n, k) = (i, j, \ell)^2 (i, j, \ell \in I_{rst}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

of real numbers. Then the triple sequence of functions of $f' = (1, 64, 739, \cdots)$ is a sub sequence of functions of $f$. We have $\text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk} = [-r, r]$ and $\text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f'_{mnk} = \phi$.

3.8. Theorem. Let $f' = (f_{mi,nj,kj})$ is a non thin sub sequence of functions of Wijsman $r\lambda^\alpha$ weak statistically convergence to the functions of $f = (fnk)$, then $st - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk} \subseteq st - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f'_{mnk}$.

Proof: Omitted.

3.9. Theorem. The Wijsman $r\lambda^\alpha$ – weak statistical convergence to the functions of limit set of a triple sequence to the functions of $(fnk)$ is closed.

Proof: If $\text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk} \neq \phi$, then it is true. Assume that $\text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk} \neq \phi$, then we can choose a triple sequence of functions of $(d(mnk, y)) \subseteq \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk}$ such that $d(mnk, y) \rightarrow^{r\lambda^\alpha} d(f, y)$ as $m, n, k \rightarrow \infty$. If we prove that $f \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r\lambda^\alpha} f_{mnk}$, then the proof will be complete.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Because $d(mnk, y) \rightarrow^{r\lambda^\alpha} d(f, y)$, $\exists (m, n, k) \in I_{rst}$ such that

$$
|\varphi(d(mnk, y) - d(f, y))| < \epsilon \text{ for all } m > m_\frac{1}{2}, n > n_\frac{1}{2}, k > k_\frac{1}{2}.
$$

Now choose an $(m_0n_0k_0) \in I_{rst}$ such that $m_0 > m_\frac{1}{2}, n_0 > n_\frac{1}{2}, k_0 > k_\frac{1}{2}$. Then we can write

$$
|\varphi(d(mnk, y) - d(f, y))| < \epsilon.
$$
On the other hand, because \((d(f_{mnk}, y)) \subseteq \text{wst} - \text{LIM} r^{\lambda^a} f_{mnk}\), we have \(d(f_{mnk}, y) \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM} r^{\lambda^a} f_{mnk}\), namely,

\[
(3.4) \quad \delta \left( \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left| \left\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f_{mnk}, y))| \geq r + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \right) = 0.
\]

Now let us show that the inclusion

\[
(3.5) \quad \left\{|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| < r + \epsilon \right\} \supseteq \left\{|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f_{mnk}, y))| < r + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}
\]

holds. Take \((i, j, \ell) \in \{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f_{mnk}, y))| < r + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \}\). Then we have

\[
|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f_{mnk}, y))| < r + \frac{\epsilon}{2}
\]

and hence

\[
|\varphi(d(f_{ij\ell}, x) - d(f, y))| \leq |\varphi(d(f_{ij\ell}, x) - d(f_{mnk}, y))| + |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, y) - d(f_0, y))| < r + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < r + \epsilon
\]

i.e., \((i, j, \ell) \in \{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| < r + \epsilon \}\) which proves the equation (3.5). Hence the natural density of the set on the LHS of equation (3.5) is equal to 1. So we get

\[
\delta \left( \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left| \left\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \right\} \right| \right) = 0.
\]

3.10. **Theorem.** The Wijsman \(r^{\lambda^a}\)-weak statistical convergence to the functions of limit set of a triple sequence of functions of \((d(f_{mnk}, x))\) is convex.

**Proof:** Let \(f_1, f_2 \in \text{st} - \text{LIM} r^{\lambda^a} f_{mnk}\) for the triple sequence of functions of \((d(f_{mnk}, x))\) and let \(\epsilon > 0\) be given. Define

\[
K_1 = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left| \left\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f_1, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \right\} \right| = 0 \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
K_2 = \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left| \left\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f_2, x))| \geq r + \epsilon \right\} \right| = 0.
\]

Because \(f_1, f_2 \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM} r^{\lambda^a} f_{mnk}\), we have \(\delta(K_1) = \delta(K_2) = 0\). Thus we have

\[
|\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - [(1 - \lambda^a) d(f_1, x) + \lambda d(f_2, x)])| =
\]

\[
|\varphi((1 - \lambda^a)(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f_1, x)) + \lambda(d(f_{mnk}, x) - d(f_2, x)))| < r + \epsilon, \text{ for each } (m, n, k) \in (K_1^c \cap K_2^c) \text{ and each } \lambda \in [0, 1], \text{ and } \alpha \in (0, 1].
\]

Because \(\delta(K_1^c \cap K_2^c) = 1\), we get

\[
\delta \left( \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left| \left\{(m, n, k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi(d(f_{mnk}, x) - [(1 - \lambda^a) d(f_1, x) + \lambda d(f_2, x)])| \geq r + \epsilon \right\} \right| \right) = 0.
\]

i.e., \([(1 - \lambda^a) d(f_1, x) + \lambda d(f_2, x)] \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM} r^{\lambda^a} f_{mnk}\), which proves the convexity of the set \(\text{wst} - \text{LIM} r^{\lambda^a} f_{mnk}\).

3.11. **Theorem.** A triple sequence of functions \((f_{mnk})\) and \(\alpha \in (0, 1]\) be any real number of \((d(f_{mnk}, x))\) of Wijsman \(r^{\lambda^a}\) weak statistically converges to the functions of \(f\) if and only if \(\text{wst} - \text{LIM} r^{\lambda^a} (d(f_{mnk}, x)) = B_{r^{\lambda^a}} (d(f, x))\).

**Proof:** We have proved the necessity part of this theorem in proof of the Theorem (3.1).
Sufficiency: Because \( \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^\lambda (d(f_{mnk}, x)) = B_{r\lambda} (d(f, x)) \neq \phi \), then by Theorem (3.5) we can say that the triple sequence spaces \( d(f_{mnk}, x) \) is Wijsman \( r\lambda \) weak statistically convergence to the functions of analytic. Assume on the contrary that the triple sequence of functions of \( (d(f, x)) \) has another Wijsman \( r\lambda \) weak statistical convergence to the functions of cluster point \( (d(f, x))' \) different from \( d(f, x) \). Then the point

\[
(d(f, x)) = d(f, x) + \frac{r\lambda}{\varphi(d(f,x)-(d(f,x)'))} (d(f, x) - (d(f, x))')
\]
satisfies

\[
(d(f, x)) - (d(f, x))' = d(f, x) - (d(f, x))' + \frac{r\lambda}{\varphi(d(f,x)-(d(f,x)'))} (d(f, x) - (d(f, x))')
\]

\[
\left| \varphi \left( (d(f, x)) - (d(f, x))' \right) \right| = \left| \varphi \left( (d(f, x) - (d(f, x)))' \right) \right| + \frac{r\lambda}{\varphi(d(f,x)-(d(f,x)'))} \left| (d(f, x) - (d(f, x))') \right| + \left| r > r. \right|
\]

Because \( (d(f, x))' \) is a Wijsman \( r\lambda \) weak statistical convergence to the functions of cluster point of the triple sequence of functions of \( d(f_{mnk}, x) \), by Theorem (2.4) this inequality implies that \( d(f, x) \notin \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^\lambda (d(f, x)) \). This contradicts the fact \( \left| \varphi (d(f, x) - d(f, x)) \right| = r \) and \( \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^\lambda (d(f, x)) = B_{r\lambda} (d(f, x)) \). Therefore, \( d(f, x) \) is the unique Wijsman \( r\lambda \) weak statistical convergence to the functions of cluster point of the triple sequence of functions of \( d(f_{mnk}, x) \). Hence the Wijsman \( r\lambda \) weak statistical convergence to the functions of cluster point of a Wijsman \( r\lambda \) weak statistically convergence to the functions of analytic is unique, then the triple sequence of functions \( d(f_{mnk}, x) \) is wijsman \( r\lambda \) weak statistically convergent to the functions of \( d(f, x) \).

3.12. Theorem. Let \((\mathbb{R}^3, |.|, .)\) be a strictly convex space and \((d(f_{mnk}, x))\) be a triple sequence of functions and \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) be any real number, if there exist \( y_1, y_2 \in \text{st} - \text{LIM}^\lambda (d(f, x)) \) such that \( |d(f, y_1) - d(f, y_2)| = 2r \) then this triple sequence of functions is Wijsman \( r\lambda \) weak statistically convergent to the functions of \( \frac{1}{2} (d(f, y_1) + d(f, y_2)) \).

Proof: Assume that \( z \in \Gamma(d(f_{mnk}, x)) \). Then \( y_1, y_2 \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^\lambda (d(f_{mnk}, x)) \) implies that

\[
|\varphi ((d(f, y_1)) - z)| \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi ((d(f, y_2)) - z)| \leq r,
\]

by Theorem 3.4. On the other hand, we have

\[
2r = |\varphi ((d(f, y_1)) - (d(f, y_2)))| \leq |\varphi ((d(f, y_1)) - z)| + |\varphi ((d(f, y_2)) - z)|
\]

combining the inequalities (3.6) and (3.7), we get \( |\varphi ((d(f, y_1)) - z)| = |\varphi ((d(f, y_2)) - z)| = r \). Because

\[
\frac{1}{2} ((d(f, y_2)) - (d(f, y_1))) = \frac{1}{2} [(z - (d(f, y_1))) + (-z + (d(f, y_2)))]
\]
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and \(|\varphi((d(f,y_1)) \setminus (d(f,y_2)))| = 2r\), we get \(|\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}((d(f,y_2)) \setminus (d(f,y_1)))\right)| = r\). By the strict convexity of the space and from the equality 3.8, we get
\[
\frac{1}{2}((d(f,y_2)) \setminus (d(f,y_1))) = (z - (d(f,y_1))) = (-z + (d(f,y_2)))
\]
which implies that \(z = \frac{1}{2}((d(f,y_1)) + (d(f,y_2)))\). Hence \(z\) is the unique Wijsman \(r\lambda^\alpha\) weak statistical convergence to the functions of cluster point of the triple sequence of functions of \((d(f,x))\).

On the other hand, the assumption \(y_1,y_2 \in st - LIM^{r\lambda^\alpha}_w (d(f_{mnk},y))\) implies that \(wst - LIM^{r\lambda^\alpha}_w f \neq 0\). By Theorem 3.6, the triple sequence of functions of \((d(f_{mnk},y))\) is \(r\lambda^\alpha\) weak statistically convergence to the functions of analytic. Consequently, the weak statistical convergence to the functions of cluster point of a Wijsman \(r\lambda^\alpha\) weak statistically convergence to the functions of analytic is unique, then the triple sequence of functions is Wijsman \(r\lambda^\alpha\) weak statistically convergent to the functions of \(wst - lim \ (d(f_{mnk},y)) = \frac{1}{2}((d(f,y_1)) + (d(f,y_2)))\).

3.13. **Theorem.** (a) If \(c \in \Gamma_x\) then
\[
wst - LIM^{r\lambda^\alpha}_w (d(f_{mnk},x)) \subseteq \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha}(c)
\]
(b)
\[
wst - LIM^{r\lambda^\alpha}_w (d(f_{mnk},x)) = \bigcap_{c \in \Gamma_x} \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha}(c) = \{(d(f,x)) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \Gamma_x \subseteq \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha}((d(f,x)))\}
\]

**Proof:** (a) Assume that \((d(f,x)) \in wst - LIM^{r\lambda^\alpha}_w (d(f_{mnk},x))\) and \(c \in \Gamma_x\). Then by Theorem 3.4, we have
\[|\varphi((d(f,x)) - c)| \leq r;
\]
other wise we get
\[
\delta\left(\lim_{x \to y} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{x-y}} \{(m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi((d(f_{mnk},x)) - (d(f,x)))| \geq r + \epsilon\}\right) \neq 0
\]
for \(\epsilon = \frac{|\varphi((d(f,x)) - c)| - r}{3}\). This contradicts the fact \((d(f,x)) \in wst - LIM^{r\lambda^\alpha}_w (d(f_{mnk},x))\).

(b) By the equation (3.9), we can write
\[
wst - LIM^{r\lambda^\alpha}_w (d(f_{mnk},x)) \subseteq \bigcap_{c \in \Gamma_x} \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha}(c).
\]
Now assume that \(A \in \bigcap_{c \in \Gamma_x} \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha}(c)\). Then we have
\[|\varphi((d(f,y)) - c)| \leq r\]
for all \(c \in \Gamma_x\), which is equivalent to \(\Gamma_x \subseteq \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha}((d(f,y)))\), i.e.,
\[
\bigcap_{c \in \Gamma_x} \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha}(c) \subseteq \{(d(f,y)) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \Gamma_x \subseteq \bar{B}_{r\lambda^\alpha}((d(f,y)))\}
\]
Now let \((d(f,y)) \notin wst - LIM^{r\lambda^\alpha}_w (d(f_{mnk},x))\). Then there exists an \(\epsilon > 0\) such that
\[ \delta \left( \lim_{rst} \frac{1}{N_{rst}} \{ (m,n,k) \in I_{rst} : |\varphi ((d(f,x)) - (d(f,y)))| \geq r + \epsilon \} \right) \neq 0 \]

the existence of a Wijsman \( r^{\lambda} \) weak statistical convergence to the functions of cluster point \( c \) of the triple sequence of functions of \( (d(f_{mnk},x)) \) with \( |\varphi ((d(f,y)) - c)| \geq r + \epsilon \), i.e., \( \Gamma_x \notin \bar{B}_{r^{\lambda}} ((d(f,y))) \) and

\[ (d(f_{mnk},y)) \notin \{ f \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \Gamma_x \subseteq \bar{B}_{r^{\lambda}} ((d(f,x))) \} . \]

Hence \( d(f_{mnk},y) \in \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r^{\lambda}} (d(f_{mnk},x)) \) follows from

\[ d(f_{mnk},y) \in \{ (d(f,x)) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \Gamma_x \subseteq \bar{B}_{r^{\lambda}} ((d(f,x))) \} , \text{ i.e.,} \]

\[ \{ (d(f,x)) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \Gamma_x \subseteq \bar{B}_{r^{\lambda}} ((d(f,x))) \} \subseteq \text{wst} - \text{LIM}^{r^{\lambda}} (d(f_{mnk},x)) . \]

Therefore the inclusions (3.11)-(3.13) ensure that (3.10) holds.
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