

## SOME PROPERTIES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS OBTAINED BY USING A FRACTIONAL OPERATOR

ESZTER SZATMARI

Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
1 Kogălniceanu Street, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, ROMANIA  
Email address: szatmari.eszter@math.ubbcluj.ro

Received March 17, 2018

ABSTRACT. In this paper, geometric properties of analytic functions are obtained, by using a fractional operator introduced in [6]. The results are obtained in a similar manner to those given in [6]. Are also derived certain corollaries of the main results.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. analytic functions; fractional operator; Sălăgean operator; Ruscheweyh operator; fractional differintegral operator.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , of the following form:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+1} z^{k+1}, z \in U.$$

St. Ruscheweyh [4] defined the operator  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  for  $\lambda \geq -1$  by

$$\mathcal{R}^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\lambda}} * f(z), z \in U.$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  this operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z(z^{\lambda-1}f(z))^\lambda}{\lambda!}, z \in U.$$

G. Ş. Sălăgean [5] defined the operator  $\mathcal{D}^n$  of order  $n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , by

$$\mathcal{D}^0 f(z) = f(z)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^1 f(z) = \mathcal{D}f(z) = zf'(z)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^n f(z) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}^{n-1}f(z)), n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

S. Owa [2] defined the operator  $D_z^{-\mu}$  of order  $\mu, \mu > 0$ , for the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  by

$$D_z^{-\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\mu}} dt, z \in U,$$

where the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{\mu-1}$  is removed by imposing the condition  $\log(z-t)$  to be real when  $z-t > 0$ , and  $\Gamma(\mu)$  is the familiar Gamma function.

Also, is defined the operator  $D_z^\lambda$  of order  $\lambda, \lambda \geq 0$ , for the function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  by

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^\lambda} dt, & 0 \leq \lambda < 1 \\ \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^{\lambda-n} f(z), & n \leq \lambda < n+1 \end{cases}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{-\lambda}$  is removed by using the same condition as in the case of the above operator.

Using the above fractional integral and fractional derivative operators, S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava [3] defined the operator  $\Omega_z^\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, -\infty < \lambda < 2$ , by

$$\Omega_z^\lambda f(z) = \Gamma(2-\lambda) z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z), z \in U,$$

where  $D_z^\lambda f(z)$  is the fractional integral operator of order  $\lambda, -\infty < \lambda < 0$ , and a fractional derivative operator of order  $\lambda, 0 \leq \lambda < 2$ .  $\Omega_z^\lambda$  is called fractional differintegral operator.

P. Sharma, R. K. Raina, G. Ş. Sălăgean [6] defined the fractional operator  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  for  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2$  by

$$\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) = \mathcal{R}^\nu \mathcal{D}^n \Omega_z^\lambda f(z).$$

Hence, this operator is the composition of fractional differintegral operator, the Sălăgean operator and the Ruscheweyh operator:

The series expression of  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  of the form (1.1) is given by

$$\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu+1)_k}{(2-\lambda)_k} (k+1)^{n+1} a_{k+1} z^{k+1},$$

where  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, z \in U$ , and the symbol  $(x)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol, defined by

$$(x)_k = \begin{cases} 1, k=0 \\ x(x+1)\dots(x+k-1), k \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} = \frac{\Gamma(x+k)}{\Gamma(x)}, x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-.$$

**Remark 1.** [6] The fractional operator  $\mathbb{D}_0^{\nu,0}$  is the Ruscheweyh operator  $\mathcal{R}^\nu$  of order  $\nu, \nu > -1$ , and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{0,0}$  is the fractional differintegral operator  $\Omega_z^\lambda$  of order  $\lambda, -\infty < \lambda < 2$ , while  $\mathbb{D}_0^{0,n} = \mathcal{D}^n$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{1-\lambda,n} = \mathcal{D}^{n+1}$  are the Sălăgean operators, of order  $n$  and  $n+1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Remark 2.** [6] The operator  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}$  satisfies the following identity:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z) = \frac{\nu}{\nu+1} \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) + \frac{1}{\nu+1} z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))',$$

where  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2$ .

**Remark 3.** [7] The operator  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}$  satisfies the following identities:

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z) = z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))',$$

where  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2$ ,

and

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z) = -\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))',$$

where  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1$ .

**Definition 1.** [1, p. 4] Let  $f, F$  analytic functions in the open unit disk. The function  $f$  is said to be subordinate to  $F$ , written  $f \prec F$ , or  $f(z) \prec F(z)$ , if there exists an analytic function in the open unit disk  $w$ , with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1, z \in U$ , such that  $f(z) = F[w(z)], z \in U$ .

**Definition 2.** [1, p. 15] Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Delta$  be any sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $p$  be analytic in the unit disk  $U$  with  $p(0) = a$  and let  $\psi(r, s, t; z) : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . If

$$\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) | z \in U\} \subset \Omega \implies p(U) \subset \Delta,$$

then  $\psi$  is called admissible function.

**Definition 3.** [1, Case 1, p.33] Let  $k$  be a positive integer,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|a| < M, M > 0$ . The class of admissible functions  $\Psi_k[M, a]$ , consists of those functions  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the admissibility condition:

$$|\psi(r, s, t; z)| \geq M, z \in U,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r &= Me^{i\theta}, \\ s &= m \frac{M|M - \bar{a}e^{i\theta}|^2}{M^2 - |a|^2} e^{i\theta}, \\ \Re \frac{t}{s} + 1 &\geq m \frac{|M - \bar{a}e^{i\theta}|^2}{M^2 - |a|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $m \geq k$ .

**Definition 4.** [1, Case 2, p. 34] Let  $k$  be a positive integer,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re a > 0$ . The class of admissible functions  $\Psi_k[a]$ , consists of those functions  $\psi : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the admissibility condition:

$$\Re \psi(\rho i, \sigma, \mu + i\nu; z) \leq 0, z \in U,$$

where  $\rho, \sigma, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sigma \leq -\frac{k}{2} \frac{|a - i\rho|^2}{\Re a}, \sigma + \mu \leq 0.$$

We shall use the followings to prove our results.

Let  $\mathcal{H}[a, k]$  be the class of analytic functions of the form  $f(z) = a + a_k z^k + a_{k+1} z^{k+1} + \dots$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** [1, Theorem 2.3 h, (ii), p. 34] *Let  $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, k]$ . If  $\psi \in \Psi_k[M, a]$ , then*

$$|\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z)| < M \implies |p(z)| < M.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** [1, Theorem 2.3 i, (ii), p. 35] *Let  $p \in \mathcal{H}[a, k]$ . If  $\psi \in \Psi_k[a]$ , then*

$$\Re \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) > 0 \implies \Re p(z) > 0.$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition*

$$\left| \phi \left( Me^{i\theta}, \frac{mMe^{i\theta}}{\nu + Me^{i\theta}} + Me^{i\theta} \right) \right| \geq M.$$

*Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .*

*The inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

*implies*

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$(2.3) \quad p(z) = \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)},$$

then  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ . From (1.2), we have

$$\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z) = \frac{\nu}{\nu+1} \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) + \frac{1}{\nu+1} z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))',$$

which on using (2.3) gives

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z) = \frac{\nu + p(z)}{\nu + 1} \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z).$$

Differentiating we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad (\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z))' = \frac{p'(z)}{\nu + 1} \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) + \frac{\nu + p(z)}{\nu + 1} (\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'.$$

Using (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$\frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z)} = \frac{zp'(z)}{\nu + p(z)} + p(z).$$

If we put

$$p(z) = r, zp'(z) = s,$$

and use the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = \frac{s}{\nu+r} + r \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Let  $M > 1, m \geq 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we put  $r = Me^{i\theta}$  and  $s = mM e^{i\theta}$  in the above transformation, then we get  $\psi \in \Psi[M, 1]$ . Applying Lemma 1.1, we have:

$$|\psi(p(z), zp'(z))| = \left| \phi\left(\frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z)}\right) \right| < M \implies |p(z)| = \left| \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M.$$

□

**Remark 4.** Making use of (1.3), the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) from Theorem 2.1 become:

$$\left| \phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z)}\right) \right| < M$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M.$$

Taking, respectively,  $\nu = n = \lambda = 0$  and  $\nu = \lambda = 0, n = 1$  in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.1.1.** *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition*

$$|\phi(Me^{i\theta}, m + Me^{i\theta})| \geq M,$$

where  $M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , with  $f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}^1 f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathcal{R}^1 f(z))'}{\mathcal{R}^1 f(z)} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

**Corollary 2.1.2.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$|\phi(Me^{i\theta}, m + Me^{i\theta})| \geq M,$$

where  $M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , with  $\mathcal{D}^1 f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathcal{D}^1 f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}^1 f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathcal{R}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 f(z))'}{\mathcal{R}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 f(z)} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{z(\mathcal{D}^1 f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}^1 f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re \phi \left( \rho i, \frac{\sigma}{\nu + \rho i} + \rho i \right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$(2.6) \quad \Re \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$(2.7) \quad \Re \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Proceeding like in the proof of Theorem 2.1, and using the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = \frac{s}{\nu+r} + r \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Putting  $r = \rho i, s = \sigma$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi_1[1]$  and

$$b_1 = \rho i,$$

$$c_1 = \frac{\sigma}{\nu + \rho i} + \rho i,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ .

Applying Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$\Re\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) = \Re\phi\left(\frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}\right) > 0 \implies \Re p(z) = \Re \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0.$$

□

**Remark 5.** The inequalities (2.6) and (2.7) from Theorem 2.2 can be expressed:

$$\Re\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n+1}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}\right) > 0$$

and

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0.$$

Taking, respectively,  $\nu = n = \lambda = 0$  and  $\nu = \lambda = 0, n = 1$  in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.2.1.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re\phi\left(\rho i, \frac{\sigma}{\rho i} + \rho i\right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}^1 f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re\phi\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathcal{R}^1 f(z))'}{\mathcal{R}^1 f(z)}\right) > 0, z \in U$$

implies

$$\Re \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

**Remark 6.** Corollary 2.2.1 is a starlikeness criterion.

**Corollary 2.2.2.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re\phi\left(\rho i, \frac{\sigma}{\rho i} + \rho i\right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{D}^1 f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathcal{D}^1 f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}^1 f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathcal{R}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 f(z))'}{\mathcal{R}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U$$

implies

$$\Re \frac{z(\mathcal{D}^1 f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}^1 f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

**Remark 7.** Corollary 2.2.2 is a convexity criterion.

Taking  $\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) = p(z) + \delta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}$  in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.2.3.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, M > 1, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| (1 - \delta) \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} + \delta \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+2} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

**Corollary 2.2.4.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$

The inequality

$$\Re \left[ (1 - \delta) \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} + \delta \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+2} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)} \right] > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\left| \phi \left( Me^{i\theta}, m + Me^{i\theta} \right) \right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$



*Proof.* Let

$$(2.10) \quad p(z) = \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)},$$

then  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ . From (1.3), we have

$$\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z) = z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))',$$

which on using (2.10) gives

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z) = p(z)\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z).$$

Differentiating we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad (\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z))' = p'(z)\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) + p(z)(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'.$$

Using (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) we get

$$\frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)} = \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + p(z).$$

If we put

$$p(z) = r, zp'(z) = s,$$

and use the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = \frac{s}{r} + r \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Let  $M > 1, m \geq 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we put  $r = Me^{i\theta}, s = mMe^{i\theta}$  in the above transformation, then we get  $\psi \in \Psi[M, 1]$ . Applying Lemma 1.1, we have

$$|\psi(p(z), zp'(z))| = \left| \phi\left(\frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}\right) \right| < M \implies |p(z)| = \left| \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M.$$

□

**Remark 8.** The inequalities (2.8) and (2.9) from Theorem 2.3 can be expressed:

$$\left| \phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+2} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}\right) \right| < M$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re \phi \left( \rho i, \frac{\sigma}{\rho i} + \rho i \right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$(2.13) \quad \Re \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$(2.14) \quad \Re \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Proceeding like in the proof of Theorem 2.3, and using the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = \frac{s}{r} + r \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Putting  $r = \rho i, s = \sigma$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi_1[1]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \rho i, \\ c_1 &= \frac{\sigma}{\rho i} + \rho i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ .

Applying Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$\Re \psi(p(z), zp'(z)) = \Re \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)} \right) > 0 \implies \Re p(z) = \Re \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} > 0.$$

□

**Remark 9.** The inequalities (2.13) and (2.14) from Theorem 2.4 can be expressed:

$$\Re \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+2} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)} \right) > 0$$

and

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} > 0.$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\left| \phi \left( Me^{i\theta}, \frac{mMe^{i\theta}}{-\lambda + Me^{i\theta}} + Me^{i\theta} \right) \right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$(2.15) \quad \left| \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$(2.17) \quad p(z) = \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)},$$

then  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ . From (1.4), we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z) = -\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))',$$

which on using (2.17) gives

$$(2.18) \quad \mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z) = \frac{-\lambda + p(z)}{1-\lambda} \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z).$$

Differentiating we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad (\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z))' = \frac{p'(z)}{1-\lambda} \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) + \frac{-\lambda + p(z)}{1-\lambda} (\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'.$$

Using (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) we get

$$\frac{z(\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)} = \frac{zp'(z)}{-\lambda + p(z)} + p(z).$$

If we put

$$p(z) = r, zp'(z) = s,$$

and use the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = \frac{s}{-\lambda+r} + r \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Let  $M > 1, m \geq 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we put  $r = Me^{i\theta}, s = mMe^{i\theta}$  in the above transformation, then we get  $\psi \in \Psi[M, 1]$ . Applying Lemma 1.1, we have

$$|\psi(p(z), zp'(z))| = \left| \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right) \right| < M \implies |p(z)| = \left| \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M.$$

□

**Remark 10.** The inequalities (2.15) and (2.16) from Theorem 2.5 can be expressed:

$$\left| \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right) \right| < M$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re \phi \left( \rho i, \frac{\sigma}{-\lambda + \rho i} + \rho i \right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$(2.20) \quad \Re \phi \left( \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$(2.21) \quad \Re \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Proceeding like in the proof of Theorem 2.5, and using the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = \frac{s}{-\lambda+r} + r \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Putting  $r = \rho i, s = \sigma$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi_1[1]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \rho i, \\ c_1 &= \frac{\sigma}{-\lambda + \rho i} + \rho i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ .

Applying Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$\Re\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) = \Re\phi\left(\frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}\right) > 0 \implies \Re p(z) = \Re \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0.$$

□

**Remark 11.** The inequalities (2.20) and (2.21) from Theorem 2.6 can be expressed:

$$\Re\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}\right) > 0$$

and

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0.$$

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, M > 0, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\left| \phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, mMe^{i\theta}, mMe^{i\theta} + L\right) \right| \geq M,$$

where  $\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (m-1)mM$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi\left(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z), \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z), \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+2}f(z)\right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$|\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)| < M, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$(2.22) \quad p(z) = \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z),$$

then  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ . From (1.3), we have

$$\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z) = z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))',$$

which on using (2.22) gives

$$(2.23) \quad \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z) = zp'(z).$$

Replacing  $n$  by  $n+1$  in the relation (1.3), we obtain

$$\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+2}f(z) = z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z))',$$

and using (2.23), we have

$$\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+2}f(z) = zp'(z) + z^2p''(z).$$

If we put

$$p(z) = r, zp'(z) = s, z^2p''(z) = t,$$

and use the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = s \\ d_1 = s + t \end{cases},$$

we obtain

$$\phi(b_1, c_1, d_1) = \psi(r, s, t).$$

Let  $M > 0, m \geq 1, \Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (m-1)mM$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we put  $r = Me^{i\theta}, s = mM e^{i\theta}$  and  $t = L$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi_1[M, 0]$ . Applying Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z))| &= \left| \phi\left(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z), \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z), \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+2} f(z)\right) \right| < M \implies \\ |p(z)| &= |\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)| < M. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, M > 0, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition*

$$\left| \phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{-\lambda + m}{1 - \lambda} Me^{i\theta}\right) \right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi\left(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z), \mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)\right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$|\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)| < M, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$p(z) = \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z),$$

then  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ . From (1.4), we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z) = -\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} p(z) + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} zp'(z).$$

If we put

$$p(z) = r, zp'(z) = s,$$

and use the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} r + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} s \end{cases},$$

we obtain

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Let  $M > 0, m \geq 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we put  $r = Me^{i\theta}, s = mMe^{i\theta}$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi_1[M, 0]$ . Applying Lemma 1.1, we have

$$|\psi(p(z), zp'(z))| = \left| \phi \left( \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z), \mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu, n} f(z) \right) \right| < M \implies |p(z)| = |\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)| < M.$$

□

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\left| \phi \left( Me^{i\theta}, Me^{i\theta} \left( 1 + \frac{m}{(\nu+1)Me^{i\theta} - \nu - \lambda} \right) \right) \right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu, n} f(z)} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$(2.24) \quad p(z) = \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)},$$

then  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ . From (1.4) and (2.24), we obtain

$$(2.25) \quad \mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1, n} f(z) = -\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} p(z) \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} zp'(z) \mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} zp(z) (\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'.$$

We have

$$p(z) = \frac{\nu}{\nu+1} + \frac{1}{\nu+1} \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)},$$

which gives

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} = (\nu+1)p(z) - \nu.$$

Now, using (1.4) and (2.25), we get

$$\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu, n} f(z)} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{-\lambda + \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}},$$

which on using (2.26) gives

$$\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu, n} f(z)} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(\nu+1)p(z) - \nu - \lambda}.$$

If we put

$$p(z) = r, zp'(z) = s,$$

and use the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = r + \frac{s}{(\nu+1)r-\nu-\lambda} \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Let  $M > 1, m \geq 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we put  $r = Me^{i\theta}, s = mM e^{i\theta}$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi[M, 1]$ . Applying Lemma 1.1, we have

$$|\psi(p(z), zp'(z))| = \left| \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu, n} f(z)} \right) \right| < M \implies |p(z)| = \left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} \right| < M.$$

□

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition*

$$\Re \phi \left( \rho i, \rho i + \frac{\sigma}{(\nu+1)\rho i - \nu - \lambda} \right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu, n} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu, n} f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1, n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu, n} f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Proceeding like in the proof of Theorem 2.9, and using the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = r + \frac{s}{(\nu+1)r-\nu-\lambda} \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Putting  $r = \rho i, s = \sigma$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi_1[1]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \rho i, \\ c_1 &= \rho i + \frac{\sigma}{(\nu+1)\rho i - \nu - \lambda}, \end{aligned}$$



where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ .

Applying Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\Re\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) = \Re\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}\right) > 0 \implies \Re p(z) = \Re\frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0.$$

□

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 0, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition*

$$\left| \phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - (1 - \lambda)Me^{i\theta} - m)\right) \right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+2}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}\right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$(2.27) \quad p(z) = \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)},$$

then  $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ . Replacing  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda + 1$  in the relation (1.4), we obtain:

$$\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+2}^{\nu,n}f(z) = \frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda}\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z) - \frac{1}{\lambda}z(\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z))',$$

and using (2.27), we have

$$(2.28) \quad \mathbb{D}_{\lambda+2}^{\nu,n}f(z) = \frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda}p(z)\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z) - \frac{1}{\lambda}zp'(z)\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z) - \frac{1}{\lambda}zp(z)(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))'.$$

Now, using (2.27) and (2.28), we get

$$(2.29) \quad \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+2}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)} = \frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}.$$

We have

$$p(z) = -\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)},$$

which gives

$$(2.30) \quad \frac{z(\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z))'}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} = (1 - \lambda)p(z) + \lambda.$$

Using (2.30), (2.29) becomes

$$\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+2}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1 - (1-\lambda)p(z) - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right).$$

If we put

$$p(z) = r, zp'(z) = s,$$

and use the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - (1-\lambda)r - \frac{s}{r}) \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Let  $M > 1, m \geq 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we put  $r = Me^{i\theta}, s = mMe^{i\theta}$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi[M, 1]$ . Applying Lemma 1.1, we have

$$|\psi(p(z), zp'(z))| = \left| \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+2}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)} \right) \right| < M \implies |p(z)| = \left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)} \right| < M.$$

□

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 0, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition*

$$\Re \phi \left( \rho i, \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1 - (1-\lambda)\rho i - \frac{\sigma}{\rho i} \right) \right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+2}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

*Proof.* Proceeding like in the proof of Theorem 2.11, and using the transformation

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = r \\ c_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - (1-\lambda)r - \frac{s}{r}) \end{cases},$$

we get

$$\phi(b_1, c_1) = \psi(r, s),$$

where  $\psi(r, s) = \psi(r, s, 0; z)$ .

Putting  $r = \rho i, s = \sigma$  in the above transformation, we get  $\psi \in \Psi_1[1]$  and

$$b_1 = \rho i,$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1 - (1 - \lambda)\rho i - \frac{\sigma}{\rho i} \right),$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ .

Applying Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\Re\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) = \Re\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+2}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}\right) > 0 \implies \Re p(z) = \Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0.$$

□

We now give our next results which can be proved by following similar lines of proofs as given for the above theorems.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition*

$$\left| \phi\left( Me^{i\theta}, (1+m)Me^{i\theta}, (1+3m)Me^{i\theta} + L \right) \right| \geq M,$$

where  $\Re(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (m-1)mM$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi\left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n+2}f(z)}{z} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{z} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, \rho, \sigma, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition*

$$\Re\phi(\rho i, \rho i + \sigma, \rho i + 3\sigma + \mu + i\nu) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2), \sigma + \mu \leq 0$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n+2}f(z)}{z}\right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{z} > 0, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\left| \phi \left( Me^{i\theta}, \left( 1 + \frac{m}{1-\lambda} \right) Me^{i\theta} \right) \right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)}{z} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}{z} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.16.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re \phi \left( \rho i, \rho i + \frac{\sigma}{1-\lambda} \right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)}{z} \right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}{z} > 0, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.17.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\left| \phi \left( Me^{i\theta}, Me^{i\theta} \left( 1 + \frac{m}{(\nu+1)Me^{i\theta} - \nu} \right) \right) \right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\left| \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z)} \right) \right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.18.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 2, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re \phi \left( \rho i, \rho i + \frac{\sigma}{(\nu+1)\rho i - \nu} \right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n+1}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}\right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\Re\frac{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.19.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\left|\phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, Me^{i\theta}\left(1 + \frac{m}{(1-\lambda)Me^{i\theta} + \nu + \lambda}\right)\right)\right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\left|\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}\right)\right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left|\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}\right| < M, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.20.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re\phi\left(\rho i, \rho i + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\lambda)\rho i + \nu + \lambda}\right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1+\rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu+1,n}f(z)}\right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\Re\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.21.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, M > 1, m \geq 1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\left|\phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, Me^{i\theta}\left(1 + \frac{m}{(1-\lambda)Me^{i\theta} + \lambda}\right)\right)\right| \geq M.$$

Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\left|\phi\left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n}f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_\lambda^{\nu,n+1}f(z)}\right)\right| < M, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n} f(z)} \right| < M, z \in U.$$

**Theorem 2.22.** Let  $\nu > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, -\infty < \lambda < 1, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an admissible function that satisfies the condition

$$\Re \phi \left( \rho i, \rho i + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\lambda)\rho i + \lambda} \right) \leq 0,$$

where  $\sigma \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1+\rho^2)$ . Also, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n} f(z) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n+1} f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ .

The inequality

$$\Re \phi \left( \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n} f(z)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n+1} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n+1} f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U,$$

implies

$$\Re \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,n} f(z)}{\mathbb{D}_{\lambda}^{\nu,n} f(z)} > 0, z \in U.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics No. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [2] S. Owa, *On the distortion theorems I*, Kyungpook Math. J., **18** (1978), 53-59.
- [3] S. Owa, H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canad. J. Math., **39** (1987), 1057-1077.
- [4] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 109-115.
- [5] G. Ş. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer Verlag), **1013** (1983), 362-372.
- [6] P. Sharma, R. K. Raina, G. Ş. Sălăgean, *Some Geometric Properties of Analytic Functions Involving a New Fractional Operator*, Mediterr. J. Math., **13** (2016), 4591-4605.
- [7] E. Szatmari, *On a class of analytic functions defined by a fractional operator*, submitted.