

RESULTS OF SEMIGROUP OF LINEAR OPERATOR IN SPECTRAL THEORY

A. Y. AKINYELE^{1,*}, O. Y. SAKA-BALOGUN², M. A. GANIYU³

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria

²Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria

³Department of Physical Sciences, Al-hikmah University, Ilorin, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: olaakinyele04@gmail.com

Received Dec. 11, 2020

ABSTRACT. This paper consists of results ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping (ω - OCP_n) as a Semigroup of a linear operator in spectral theory. We consider $A \in \omega - OCP_n$ as the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup using the Spectral Mapping Theorem (SMT) to establish the relationships between the spectrum of A and the spectrum of each of the operators $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F15; 06F05; 20M05.

Key words and phrases: spectrum; $\omega - OCP_n$; C_0 -semigroup; Resolvent.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of spectral theory in mathematics is an inclusive term for theories extending the eigenvector and eigenvalue theory of a single square matrix to a much broader theory of the structure of operators in a variety of mathematical spaces. Assume $X_n \subseteq X$ is a finite set, $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ the C_0 -semigroup, $\omega - OCP_n$ the ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping, M_m be a matrix, $L(X)$ be a bounded linear operator on X , P_n a partial transformation semigroup, $\rho(A)$ a resolvent set, $\sigma(A)$ a spectrum of A and $A \in \omega - OCP_n$ is a generator of C_0 -semigroup. This paper consist of results of ω -preserving partial contraction mapping generating a spectral results. Balakrishnan [1], deduced some fractional powers of closed operators. Banach [2], established and introduced the concept of Banach spaces. Engel and Nagel [3], obtained one-parameter semigroup for linear evolution equations. Greiner *et al.* [4], proved some results on

the spectral bond generator of semigroup of positive operators. Hasegawa [5], presented some results on the convergence of resolvents of operators. Pazy [6], introduced semigroup of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Rauf and Akinyele [7], introduced ω -order-preserving partial contraction mapping and established its properties, also in [8], Rauf *et al.* deduced some results of stability and spectra properties on semigroup of linear operator. Slemrod [9], explained asymptotic behavior of C_0 -semigroup as determined by the spectrum of the generator. Vrabie [10], proved some results of C_0 -semigroup and its applications. Yosida [11], established and proved some results on differentiability and representation of one-parameter semigroup of linear operators.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 (C_0 -Semigroup) [10]

A C_0 -Semigroup is a strongly continuous one parameter semigroup of bounded linear operator on Banach space.

Definition 2.2 (ω - OCP_n) [7]

A transformation $\alpha \in P_n$ is called ω -order-preserving partial contraction mapping if $\forall x, y \in \text{Dom} \alpha : x \leq y \implies \alpha x \leq \alpha y$ and at least one of its transformation must satisfy $\alpha y = y$ such that $T(t+s) = T(t)T(s)$ whenever $t, s > 0$ and otherwise for $T(0) = I$.

Definition 2.3 (Resolvent Set) [3]

We define the resolvent set of A denoted by $\rho(A)$ set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda I - A$ is one-to-one with range equal to X

Definition 2.4 (Spectrum) [10]

The spectrum of A denoted by $\sigma(A)$ is defined as the complement of the resolvent set.

Example 1

Let X be the Banach space of Continuous function on $[0,1]$ which are equal to zero at $x = 1$ with the supremum norm. Define

$$(T(t)f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x+t) & \text{if } x+t \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x+t > 1 \end{cases}$$

$T(t)$ is obviously a C_0 -Semigroup of Contractions on X . Its infinitesimal generator $A \in \omega$ - OCP_n is given by

$$D(A) = \{f : f \in C'([0, 1]) \cap X_1, f' \in X\}$$

and

$$Af = f' \text{ for } f \in D(A).$$

one checks easily that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g \in X$ the equation $\lambda f - f' = g$ has a unique solution $f \in X$ given by

$$f(t) = \int_t^1 e^{\lambda(t-s)} g(s) ds.$$

Therefore $\sigma(A) = \phi$. on the other hand, since for every $t \geq 0$, $T(t)$ is a bounded linear operator, $\sigma(T(t)) \neq \phi$ for all $t \geq 0$ and the relation $\sigma(T(t)) = \exp\{t\sigma(A)\}$ does not hold for any $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.1(Hille-Yoshida) []

A linear operator $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ is the infinitesimal generator for a C_0 -semigroup of contraction if and only if

- i. A is densely defined and closed; and
- ii. $(0, +\infty) \subseteq \rho(A)$ and for each $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \|R(\lambda, A)\|_{L(X)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, differentiable and analytic results on ω - OCP_n in semigroup of linear operator (C_0 -semigroup) were established:

Theorem 3.1

This section presents results of spectral theory generated by ω - OCP_n which were established on semigroup of linear operator:

Theorem 3.1

Assume $T(t)$ is a C_0 -semigroup and let $A \in w - OCP_n$ be its infinitesimal generator. If

$$(3.1) \quad B_\lambda(t)x = \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} T(s)x ds$$

then

$$(3.2) \quad (\lambda I - A)B_\lambda(t)x = e^{\lambda t}x - T(t)x \text{ for every } x \in X$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad B_\lambda(t)(\lambda I - A)x = e^{\lambda t}x - T(t)x \text{ for every } x \in D(A)$$

and $A \in w - OCP_n$.

Proof:

For every fixed λ and t , $B_\lambda(t)$ defined by (3.1) is a bounded linear operator on X . Moreover, for every $x \in X$ and $A_1 B \in w - OCP_n$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{T(h) - 1}{h} B_\lambda(t)x &= \frac{e^{\lambda h} - 1}{h} \int_h^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} T(s)x ds \\ &+ \frac{e^{\lambda h}}{h} \int_t^{t+h} e^{\lambda(t-s)} T(s)x ds - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h e^{\lambda(t-s)} T(s)x ds. \end{aligned}$$

As $h \rightarrow 0$ in the right-hand side of (3.4) converges to $\lambda B_\lambda(t)x + T(t)x - e^{\lambda t}x$ and consequently $B_\lambda(t)x \in D(A)$ and

$$(3.5) \quad AB_\lambda(t)x = \lambda B_\lambda(t)x + T(t)x - e^{\lambda t}x$$

which implies (3.2). From the definition of $B_\lambda(t)$, it is clear that for $x \in D(A)$ and $A \in w - OCP_n$, we have

$$AB_\lambda(t)x = B_\lambda(t)Ax$$

which implies

$$B_\lambda(t)(\lambda I - A)x = e^{\lambda t}x - T(t)x.$$

Hence, the prove is completed.

Theorem 3.2

Let $T(t)$ be a C_0 -semigroup and $A \in w - OCP_n$ be the infinitesimal generator of semigroup of linear operator. Then

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma(T(t)) \supset e^{t\sigma(A)} \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

Proof

Let $e^{\lambda t} \in \rho(T(t))$ and let $Q = (e^{\lambda t}I - T(t))^{-1}$. The operators $B_\lambda(t)$, defined by (3.1), and Q clearly commute. From (3.2) and (3.3) we deduce

$$(3.7) \quad (\lambda I - A)B_\lambda(t)Qx = x$$

for every $x \in X$ and $A \in w - OCP_n$, and

$$(3.8) \quad QB_\lambda(t)(\lambda I - A)x = x$$

for every $x \in D(A)$ and $A \in w - OCP_n$.

Since $B_\lambda(t)$ and Q commute, we also have

$$(3.9) \quad B_\lambda(t)Q(\lambda I - A)x = x$$

for every $x \in D(A)$ and $A \in w - OCP_n$.

Therefore, $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, $B_\lambda(t)Q = (\lambda I - A)^{-1} = R(\lambda; A)$ and $\rho(T(t)) \subset \exp(t\rho(A))$ which implies

$$\sigma(T(t)) \supset e^{t\sigma(A)}$$

we recall that the spectrum of A consists of three mutually exclusive part; the point spectrum $\sigma_p(A)$, the continuous spectrum $Q_c(A)$ and the residual spectrum $\sigma_t(A)$. These are defined as follows: $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$ if $\lambda I - A$ is not one-to-one, $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A)$ if $\lambda I - A$ is one-to-one and its range is not dense in X . From these definitions it is clear that $\sigma_p(A)$, $\sigma_c(A)$ and $\sigma_t(A)$ are mutually exclusive and that their union is $\sigma(A)$, therefore the proof is achieved.

Theorem 3.3

Suppose $T(t)$ is a C_0 -semigroup and let $A \in w - OCP_n$ be its infinitesimal generator. Then

$$(3.10) \quad e^{t\sigma_p(A)} \subset \sigma_p(T(t)) \subset e^{t\sigma_p(A)} \cup \{0\}.$$

More precisely if $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$ then $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_p(T(t))$ and if $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_p(T(t))$ then there exists a $k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_k = \lambda + 2\pi ik/t \in \sigma_p(A)$.

Proof:

Suppose $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$ then there is $x_0 \in D(A)$, $x_0 \neq 0$, such that $(\lambda I - A)x_0 = 0$. From (3.3) it then follows that $(e^{\lambda t}I - T(t))x_0 = 0$ and therefore $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_p(T(t))$ which proves the first inclusion. To prove the second inclusion, let $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_p(T(t))$ and let $x_0 \neq 0$ satisfy $(e^{\lambda t}I - T(t))x_0 = 0$. This implies that the continuous function $s \rightarrow e^{-\lambda s}T(s)x_0$ is periodic with period t and since it does not vanish identically and one of its Fourier Coefficients must be different from zero. Therefore is a $k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad x_k = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t e^{-(2\pi ik/t)s} (e^{-\lambda s}T(s)x_0) ds \neq 0$$

We will show that $\lambda_k = \lambda + 2\pi ik/t$ is an eigen value of A . Let $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$. For $Re\mu > w$ we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\mu; A)x_0 &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu s}T(s)x_0 ds = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_{nt}^{(n+1)t} e^{-\mu s}T(s)x_0 ds \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty e^{n(\lambda-\mu)t} \int_0^t e^{-\mu s}T(s)x_0 ds \\ &= (1 - e^{(\lambda-\mu)t})^{-1} \int_0^t e^{-\mu s}T(s)x_0 ds \end{aligned}$$

where we used the periodicity of $e^{-\lambda s}T(s)x_0$. The integral on the right-hand side of (3.12) is clearly an entire function and therefore $R(\mu; A)x_0$ can be extended by (3.12) to a meromorphic

function with possible poles at

$$\lambda_n = \lambda + 2\pi in/t, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using (3.12), it is easy to show that

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda_k} (\mu - \lambda_k)R(\mu; A)x_0 = x_k$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda_k} (\lambda_k - A)[(\mu - \lambda_k)R(\mu; A)x_0] = 0$$

From the closedness of A and (3.13), (3.14) it follows that $x_k \in D(A)$ and that $(\lambda_k I - A)x_k = 0$, i.e; $\lambda_k \in \sigma_p(A)$. Hence, the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.4

Assume $T(t)$ is a C_0 -semigroup and let $A \in w - OCP_n$ be the infinitesimal generator of semigroup f linear operator. Then

- (i) if $\lambda \in \sigma_t(A)$ and none of the $\lambda_n = \lambda + 2\pi in/t, n \in \mathbb{N}$ is in $\sigma_p(A)$ then $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_t(T(t))$; and
- (ii) if $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_t(T(t))$ then none of the $\lambda_n = \lambda + 2\pi in/t, n \in \mathbb{N}$ is in $\sigma_p(A)$ and there exists a $K, K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_k \in \sigma_t(A)$.

Proof:

If $\lambda \in \sigma_t(A)$ then there is a $x^* \in X^*$, $x^* \neq 0$, such that $\langle x^*, (\lambda I - A)x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in D(A)$. From (3.2) it then follows that

$$\langle x^*, (e^{\lambda t} I - T(t))x \rangle = 0$$

for all $x \in X$ and therefore the range of $e^{\lambda t} I - T(t)$ is not dense in X . If $e^{\lambda t} I - T(t)$ is not one-to-one then by Theorem 3.3, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_k \in \sigma_p(A)$ contradicting our assumption that $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_p(A)$. Therefore $e^{\lambda t} I - T(t)$ is one-to-one and $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_t(T(t))$ which concludes the proof of (i).

To prove (ii), we note first that if for some k , $\lambda_k = \lambda + 2\pi ik/t \in \sigma_p(A)$ then by Theorem 3.3 $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_p(T(t))$ contradicting the assumption that $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_t(T(t))$. It suffices therefore to show that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_k \in \sigma_t(A)$. This follows at once if we show that $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \rho(A) \cup \sigma_p(A)$ is impossible. From (3.3) we have

$$(3.15) \quad (e^{\lambda_n t} I - T(t))x = B_{\lambda_n}(t)(\lambda_n I - A)x$$

for $x \in D(A)$, $A \in w - OCP_n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since by our assumption $e^{\lambda t} = e^{\lambda_n t} \in \sigma_t(T(t))$, the left-hand side of (3.15) belongs to a fixed

non dense linear subspace Y of X . On the other hand if $\lambda_n \in \rho(A) \cup \sigma_c(A)$ then the range of $\lambda_n I - A$ is dense in X which implies by (3.15) that the range of $B_{\lambda_n}(t)$ belongs to Y for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Writing the Fourier series of the continuous function $e^{-\lambda s} T(s)x$ we have

$$(3.16) \quad e^{-\lambda s} T(s)x \sim \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(2\pi in/t)} B_{\lambda_n}(t)x$$

and each term on the right-hand side of (3.16) belongs to Y . As in the classical numerical case the series (3.16) is summable to $e^{-\lambda s} T(s)x$ for $0 < s < t$ and therefore for $0 < s < t$, $e^{-\lambda s} T(s)x \in Y$. Letting $s \rightarrow 0$ it follows that every $x \in D(A)$ satisfies $x \in \bar{Y}$ which is impossible since \bar{Y} is a proper closed subspace of X and $D(A)$ is dense in X and the prove is achieved.

Theorem 3.5

Suppose $T(t)$ is C_0 -semigroup and let A be its infinitesimal generator. If $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A)$ and if none of the $\lambda_n = \lambda + 2\pi in/t$ in $\sigma_p(A) \cup \sigma_r(A)$ then $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_c(T(t))$.

Proof:

From 3.2 it follows that if $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A)$ then $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma(T(t))$.

If $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_p(T(t))$, then by Theorem 3.3 some $\lambda_k \in \sigma_p(A)$ and therefore $e^{\lambda t} \notin \sigma_p(T(t))$.

Similarly if $e^{\lambda t} \in \sigma_r(T(t))$ then some $\lambda_k \in \sigma_r(A)$ and again $e^{\lambda t} \notin \sigma_r(T(t))$.

Hence, the prof is complete.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, it has been established that ω -order preserving partial contraction mapping $(\omega-OCP_n)$ generates results on spectral theory as a semigroup of linear operator.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Balakrishnan, Fractional powers of closed operators and the semigroup generated by them, Pac. J. Math. 10 (1960), 419-437.
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les Operation Dam Les Eusembles Abstracts et lear Application Aus Equation integrals, Fund. Math. 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [3] K. Engel, R. Nagel, One-parameter Semigroups for Linear Equations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 194, Springer, New York, (2000).
- [4] G. Greiner, J. Voigt, and M. Wolf, On the Spectral Bond Generator of Semigroups of Positive Operators, J. Oper. 5 (1981), 245-256.
- [5] M. Hasegawa, On the Convergence of Resolvents of Operators, Pac. J. Math. 21 (1967), 35-47.

- [6] A. Pazy, *Semigroup of Linear Operators And Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 44, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin Heidelberg, Tokyo, (1983).
- [7] K. Rauf, A. Y. Akinyele, Properties of ω -Order-Preserving Partial Contraction Mapping and its Relation to C_0 -semigroup, *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 14 (2019), 61-68.
- [8] K. Rauf, A. Y. Akinyele, M. O. Etuk, R. O. Zubair, M. A. Aasa, Some Result of Stability and Spectra Properties on Semigroup of Linear Operator, *Adv. Pure Math.* 9 (2019), 43-51.
- [9] M. Slemrod, Asymptotic Behaviour of C_0 -semigroup as Determined by the Spectrum of the Generator, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 25 (1976), 783-792.
- [10] I. I. Vrabie, *$C_0 - Semigroup$ And Application*, Mathematics Studies, 191, Elsevier, North-Holland, (2003).
- [11] K. Yosida, On The Differentiability and Representation of One-Parameter Semigroups of Linear Operators, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 1 (1948), 15-21.