

DIFFERENT OPERATORS FOR THE POLYNOMIALS $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$

SAMAHER A. ABDUL-GHANI¹, MOHAMMED A. ABDLHUSEIN², HUSAM L. SAAD^{1,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Basrah, Iraq

²College of Education for Women, Shatrah University, Thi-Qar, Iraq

*Corresponding author: hus6274@hotmail.com

Received Mar. 7, 2024

ABSTRACT. In 2010, Saad and Sukhi defined the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$. They simply derived its generating function by utilizing the operator $L(b\theta_{xy})$. In this study, we provide Rogers' formula using the q -exponential operator $T(bD_q)$, Mehler's formulas using the operator $L(b\theta_{xy})$, and a linearization formula for the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$. In addition, we employ the Cauchy companion operator $E(a, b; \theta)$ to recover the generating function and provide the Rogers formula, Mehler's formula, and an extended Rogers formula for the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A30; 33D45.

Key words and phrases. q -operator; the generating function; Rogers formula; Mehler's formula; linearization formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

We assume that $0 < |q| < 1$. The q -shifted factorial is given for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$ as [10, 13]:

$$(\beta; q)_0 = 1, \quad (\beta; q)_r = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} (1 - \beta q^k), \quad (\beta; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \beta q^k).$$

$$(\beta; q)_r = (\beta; q)_{\infty} / (\beta q^r; q)_{\infty}.$$

$$(\beta; q)_{r+k} = (\beta; q)_k (\beta q^k; q)_r.$$

and [1]

$$(\beta q^{-r}; q)_n = (-1)^r \beta^r q^{\binom{r}{2} - r^2} (q/\beta; q)_r. \quad (1.1)$$

The multiple q -shifted factorials is [13]

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m; q)_r = (\beta_1; q)_r (\beta_2; q)_r \cdots (\beta_m; q)_r.$$

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m; q)_{\infty} = (\beta_1; q)_{\infty} (\beta_2; q)_{\infty} \cdots (\beta_m; q)_{\infty}.$$

The q -binomial coefficient is [2]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} r \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q; q)_r}{(q; q)_t (q; q)_{r-t}}.$$

The q -hypergeometric series is [13]:

$${}_i\phi_j \left(\begin{array}{c} \beta_1, \dots, \beta_i \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j \end{array}; q, x \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i; q)_r}{(q, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j; q)_r} \left[(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} \right]^{1+j-i} x^r.$$

The Cauchy identity is [13, 16]:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta; q)_k}{(q; q)_t} \mu^t = \frac{(\beta\mu; q)_{\infty}}{(\mu; q)_{\infty}}, \quad |\mu| < 1.$$

The Euler's identities are [13]:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^r}{(q; q)_r} = \frac{1}{(\mu; q)_{\infty}}, \quad |\mu| < 1. \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} \mu^r}{(q; q)_r} = (\mu; q)_{\infty}. \quad (1.3)$$

Cauchy polynomials are given by [17, 18, 20]:

$$P_n(w, t) = (w - t)(w - qt) \cdots (w - q^{n-1}t) = (t/w; q)_n w^n,$$

which has the generating function [4, 5, 7]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(w, t) \frac{\nu^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(t\nu; q)_{\infty}}{(w\nu; q)_{\infty}}, \quad |w\nu| < 1, \quad (1.4)$$

The q -differential operator, or q -derivative, is defined by [8, 15]:

$$D_q \{f(a)\} = \frac{f(a) - f(aq)}{a}.$$

The q -exponential operator was defined as follows [8, 22] as

$$T(\lambda D_q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda D_q)^n}{(q; q)_n}. \quad (1.5)$$

Chen et al. [11] presented the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda D_q) & \left\{ \frac{(z\alpha, x\alpha; q)_{\infty}}{(y\alpha; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \\ & = \frac{(x\alpha, z\alpha; q)_{\infty}}{(y\alpha; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} (y\alpha; q)_r (z\lambda)^r}{(q; q)_r (x\alpha, z\alpha; q)_r} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} x/y, 0 \\ x\alpha q^r \end{array}; q, y\lambda \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\max\{|y\alpha|, |y\lambda|\} < 1$.

A special type of Rogers-Szegö polynomials is [12]:

$$r_i(g, f) = \sum_{k=0}^i \begin{bmatrix} i \\ k \end{bmatrix} g^k f^{i-k},$$

such that

$$T(fD_q)\{g^n\} = r_n(g, f). \quad (1.7)$$

The operator θ is defined by [9, 14, 19]:

$$\theta\{f(a)\} = \frac{f(aq^{-1}) - f(a)}{aq^{-1}}.$$

Chen [6] defined the following Cauchy companion operator:

$$E(d, e; \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(d; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} (e\theta)^n. \quad (1.8)$$

Some operator identities was given [6]:

Proposition 1.1. [6]. We have

$$E(d, e; \theta)\{w^n\} = \sum_{t=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ t \end{bmatrix} (d; q)_t (-eq)^t w^{n-t} q^{\binom{t}{2}} q^{-nt}. \quad (1.9)$$

$$E(d, e; \theta)\{(wt; q)_{\infty}\} = \frac{(det, wt; q)_{\infty}}{(et; q)_{\infty}}, \quad |e| < 1. \quad (1.10)$$

$$E(d, e; \theta) \left\{ \frac{(wt; q)_{\infty}}{(wv; q)_{\infty}} \right\} = \frac{(wt; q)_{\infty}}{(wv; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} d, t/v \\ q/wv \end{matrix}; q, eq/w \right), \quad \max\{|wv|, |eq/w|\} < 1. \quad (1.11)$$

$$E(d, e; \theta) \left\{ \frac{(ws, wt; q)_{\infty}}{(wv; q)_{\infty}} \right\} = \frac{(deq/w, ws, wt; q)_{\infty}}{(eq/w, wv; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} d, q/ws, q/wt \\ deq/w, q/wv \end{matrix}; q, est/v \right), \quad (1.12)$$

where $\max\{|wv|, |eq/w|, |est/v|\} < 1$.

The homogeneous q -difference operator is [21]:

$$\theta_{xy}\{f(x, y)\} = \frac{f(q^{-1}x, y) - f(x, qy)}{q^{-1}x - y}.$$

The homogeneous q -shift operator is [21]:

$$L(b\theta_{xy}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{r}{2}} (b\theta_{xy})^r}{(q; q)_r}.$$

Proposition 1.2. [21]. We have

$$L(b\theta_{\alpha\beta})\{P_t(\beta, \alpha)\} = \sum_{k=0}^t \begin{bmatrix} t \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} b^k P_{t-k}(\beta, \alpha). \quad (1.13)$$

$$L(b\theta_{\alpha\beta}) \left\{ \frac{(\alpha t; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta t; q)_{\infty}} \right\} = \frac{(\alpha t, bt; q)_{\infty}}{(\beta t; q)_{\infty}}, \quad |\beta t| < 1. \quad (1.14)$$

Saad and Sukhi [21] defined the polynomials

$$S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) = \sum_{k=0}^j \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \lambda^k P_{j-k}(\zeta, \delta), \quad (1.15)$$

with the generating function

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} S_r(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) \frac{t^r}{(q;q)_r} = \frac{(\delta t, \lambda t; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta t; q)_{\infty}}, \quad |\zeta t| < 1. \quad (1.16)$$

They represented $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$ by the homogeneous q -shift operator as:

$$L(\lambda \theta_{\delta\zeta}) \{P_n(\zeta, \delta)\} = S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q). \quad (1.17)$$

Abdlhusein [3] provided the transformation

$${}_1\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} wt \\ dt \end{matrix}; q, ds \right) = \frac{(wt, ds; q)_{\infty}}{(dt; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} d/w, 0 \\ ds \end{matrix}; q, wt \right), \quad (1.18)$$

where $\max\{|dt|, |wt|\} < 1$.

The following describes the paper's structure: The generating function for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$ is recovered in section 2 by employing the operator $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$. In section 3, we present two Rogers formulations utilizing the operators $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$ and $T(tD_q)$, respectively. Our formula for linearizing $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$ is derived from the first Rogers formula. Additionally, by utilizing the operator $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$, we provide an extension for the Rogers formula for the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$. Using the operator $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$, we present two Mehlers formulas in section 4 for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$.

2. THE GENERATING FUNCTION FOR THE POLYNOMIALS $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q)$

The generating function for the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q)$ is recovered in this section.

The generating function for the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q)$ is recovered in this section by employing the Cauchy companion operator.

Suppose that $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$ acts on λ . We give the following representation:

Theorem 2.1. *We have*

$$E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \lambda^n\} = S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \lambda^n\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} (\delta/\zeta; q)_k (-\zeta q)^k \lambda^{n-k} q^{\binom{k}{2}-nk} \quad (\text{by using (1.9)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^{n+k} P_k(\zeta, \delta) \lambda^{n-k} q^{\binom{n}{2}+\binom{k}{2}+k-nk} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k P_{n-k}(\zeta, \delta) \lambda^k q^{\binom{n}{2}+\binom{n-k}{2}+(n-k)-n(n-k)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \lambda^k P_{n-k}(\zeta, \delta) \\
&= S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q) \quad (\text{by using (1.15)}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The generating function for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q)$ is recovered depending on the operator representation (2.1) with identity (1.10).

Theorem 2.2 (The generating function for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q)$). *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q) \frac{\nu^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(\delta\nu, \lambda\nu; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta\nu; q)_{\infty}}, \quad |\zeta\nu| < 1.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} S_r(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q) \frac{\nu^r}{(q; q)_r} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} \lambda^r\} \frac{\nu^r}{(q; q)_r} \quad (\text{by using (2.1)}) \\
&= E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} (\lambda\nu)^r}{(q; q)_r} \right\} \\
&= E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \{(\lambda\nu; q)_{\infty}\} \quad (\text{by using (1.10)}) \\
&= \frac{(\delta\nu, \lambda\nu; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta\nu; q)_{\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Two ROGERS FORMULAS FOR THE POLYNOMIALS $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$

In this section, two Rogers formulas are provided using the operators $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$ and $T(tD_q)$, respectively.

The first Rogers formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$ will be given by employing the operator $T(\lambda D_q)$.

Theorem 3.1 (First Rogers formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} S_{r+\ell}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) \frac{t^r}{(q; q)_r} \frac{v^{\ell}}{(q; q)_{\ell}} \\
&= \frac{(\delta v, \lambda v; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta v; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{\binom{\rho}{2}} (\zeta v; q)_{\rho} (\lambda t)^{\rho}}{(q; q)_{\rho} (\delta v, \lambda v; q)_{\rho}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, 0 \\ \delta v q^{\rho} \end{matrix}; q, \zeta t \right), \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\max\{|\zeta v|, |\zeta t|\} < 1$.

Proof. Let $\ell \rightarrow \ell - r$ in the L.H.S. of equation (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=r}^{\infty} S_{\ell}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{t^r}{(q; q)_r} \frac{v^{\ell-r}}{(q; q)_{\ell-r}} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} S_{\ell}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{t^r}{(q; q)_r} \frac{v^{\ell-r}}{(q; q)_{\ell-r}} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} S_{\ell}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\ell}} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} \begin{bmatrix} \ell \\ r \end{bmatrix} v^{\ell-r} t^r \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} S_{\ell}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\ell}} r_{\ell}(v, t) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} S_{\ell}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\ell}} T(tD_q)\{v^{\ell}\} \quad (\text{by using (1.7)}) \\
&= T(tD_q) \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} S_{\ell}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{v^{\ell}}{(q; q)_{\ell}} \right\} \\
&= T(tD_q) \left\{ \frac{(\delta v, \lambda v; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta v; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \quad (\text{by using (1.16)}) \\
&= \frac{(\delta v, \lambda v; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta v; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{\binom{\rho}{2}} (\zeta v; q)_{\rho} (\lambda t)^{\rho}}{(q; q)_{\rho} (\delta v, \lambda v; q)_{\rho}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, 0 \\ \delta v q^{\rho} \end{matrix}; q, \zeta t \right) \quad (\text{by using (1.6)}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The linearization formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q)$ can be derived from the first Rogers formula.

Theorem 3.2 (Linearization formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q)$). *We have*

$$S_{\ell+r}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) = \sum_{\rho=0}^{\ell} \begin{bmatrix} \ell \\ \rho \end{bmatrix} (-1)^{\rho} q^{\binom{\rho}{2} + \rho r} \lambda^{\rho} P_{\ell-\rho}(\zeta, \delta) S_r(\delta q^{\ell-\rho}, \zeta, \lambda | q). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Write equation (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} S_{\ell+r}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{t^{\ell}}{(q; q)_{\ell}} \frac{v^r}{(q; q)_r} \\
&= \frac{(\delta v, \lambda v; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta \ell; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{\binom{\rho}{2}} (\zeta v; q)_{\rho} (\lambda t)^{\rho}}{(q; q)_{\rho} (\delta v, \lambda v; q)_{\rho}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta/\zeta; q)_{\ell}}{(\delta v q^{\rho}; q)_{\ell} (q; q)_{\ell}} (\zeta t)^{\ell} \\
&= \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{\binom{\rho}{2}} (\lambda t)^{\rho}}{(q; q)_{\rho}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta/\zeta; q)_{\ell} (\zeta t)^{\ell}}{(q; q)_{\ell}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} S_r(\delta q^{\ell}, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{(v q^{\rho})^r}{(q; q)_r} \quad (\text{by using (1.16)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\ell \rightarrow \ell - \rho$, we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} S_{\ell+r}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda | q) \frac{t^{\ell}}{(q; q)_{\ell}} \frac{v^r}{(q; q)_r}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{\binom{\rho}{2}} \lambda^{\rho}}{(q;q)_{\rho}} \sum_{\ell=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta/\zeta; q)_{\ell-\rho} \zeta^{\ell-\rho}}{(q;q)_{\ell-\rho}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} S_r(\delta q^{\ell-\rho}, \zeta, \lambda; q) \frac{q^{\rho r}}{(q;q)_r} t^{\ell} v^r \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{\binom{\rho}{2}} \lambda^{\rho}}{(q;q)_{\rho}} \frac{P_{\ell-\rho}(\zeta, \delta)}{(q;q)_{\ell-\rho}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} S_r(\delta q^{\ell-\rho}, \zeta, \lambda; q) \frac{q^{\rho r}}{(q;q)_r} t^{\ell} v^r.
\end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficients of $t^{\ell} v^r$, we get the desired identity. \square

By combining the identity (1.11) with the representation (2.1), it is possible to get the second Rogers-type formula for the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$.

Theorem 3.3 (Second Rogers formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$). *We have*

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} S_{t+r}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) (-1)^t q^{-\binom{t}{2}-tr} \frac{\mu^t}{(q;q)_t} \frac{\nu^r}{(q;q)_r} = \frac{(\lambda\nu; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda\mu; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, \nu/\mu \\ q/\lambda\mu \end{matrix}; q, \zeta q/\lambda \right), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\max\{|\lambda\mu|, |\zeta q/\lambda|\} < 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} S_{t+r}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) (-1)^t q^{-\binom{t}{2}-tr} \frac{\mu^t}{(q;q)_t} \frac{\nu^r}{(q;q)_r} \\
&= E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\mu)^t}{(q;q)_t} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} (\lambda\nu)^r}{(q;q)_r} \right\} \quad (\text{by using (2.1)}) \\
&= E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \left\{ \frac{(\lambda\nu; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda\mu; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda\nu; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda\mu; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, \nu/\mu \\ q/\lambda\mu \end{matrix}; q, \zeta q/\lambda \right). \quad (\text{by using (1.11)})
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Using the representation (1.12) and equation (2.1), the extended Rogers formula of the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$ was discovered.

Theorem 3.4 (Extended Rogers formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} S_{t+r+s}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) (-1)^s q^{-\binom{s}{2}-s(t+r)-tr} \frac{\alpha^t}{(q;q)_t} \frac{\beta^r}{(q;q)_r} \frac{\gamma^s}{(q;q)_s} \\
&= \frac{(\delta q/\lambda, \lambda\beta, \lambda\alpha; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta q/\lambda, \lambda\gamma; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, q/\lambda\beta, q/\lambda\alpha \\ \delta q/\lambda, q/\lambda\gamma \end{matrix}; q, \zeta\alpha\beta/\gamma \right),
\end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\max\{|\zeta q/\lambda|, |\lambda\gamma|, |\zeta\alpha\beta/\gamma|\} < 1$.

Proof.

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} S_{t+r+s}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) (-1)^s q^{-\binom{s}{2}-s(t+r)-tr} \frac{\alpha^t}{(q;q)_t} \frac{\beta^r}{(q;q)_r} \frac{\gamma^s}{(q;q)_s}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \{(-b)^{t+r+s} q^{\binom{t+r+s}{2}}\} (-1)^s q^{-\binom{s}{2}-s(t+r)-tr} \frac{\alpha^t}{(q;q)_t} \frac{\beta^r}{(q;q)_r} \frac{\gamma^s}{(q;q)_s} \\
&\quad (\text{by using (2.1)}) \\
&= E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^t q^{\binom{t}{2}} (\lambda\alpha)^t}{(q;q)_t} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} (\lambda\beta)^r}{(q;q)_r} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda\gamma)^s}{(q;q)_s} \right\} \\
&= E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \left\{ \frac{(\lambda\beta, \lambda\alpha; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda\gamma; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \quad (\text{by using (1.2) and (1.3)}) \\
&= \frac{(\delta q/\lambda, \lambda\beta, \lambda\alpha; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta q/\lambda, \lambda\gamma; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, q/\lambda\beta, q/\lambda\alpha \\ \delta q/\lambda, q/\lambda\gamma \end{matrix}; q, \zeta\alpha\beta/\gamma \right). \quad (\text{by using (1.12)})
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Two MEHLER'S FORMULA FOR THE POLYNOMIALS $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$

Two Mehler's formulas are given in this section utilizing the operators $L(b\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta})$ and $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$, respectively.

Theorem 4.1. For $|\zeta s| < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L(b\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \frac{P_j(\zeta, \delta)}{(\delta s; q)_j} \frac{(\delta s; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta s; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda s, \delta sq^r; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta s; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^r \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{(\zeta s; q)_k (\delta/\zeta; q)_{r-k}}{(\lambda s; q)_k} \zeta^{r-k} \lambda^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Rewrite the L.H.S. of equation (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} S_{j+\rho}(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) \frac{r^j}{(q;q)_j} \frac{s^{\rho}}{(q;q)_{\rho}} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \{P_{j+\rho}(\zeta, \delta)\} \frac{r^j}{(q;q)_j} \frac{s^{\rho}}{(q;q)_{\rho}} \quad (\text{by using (1.17)}) \\
&= L(z\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j(\zeta, \delta) \frac{r^j}{(q;q)_j} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} P_{\rho}(\zeta, \delta q^j) \frac{s^{\rho}}{(q;q)_{\rho}} \right\} \\
&= L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j(\zeta, \delta) \frac{r^j}{(q;q)_j} \frac{(\delta sq^j; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta s; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \quad (\text{by using (1.4)}) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \frac{P_j(\zeta, \delta)}{(\delta s; q)_j} \frac{(\delta s; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta s; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \frac{r^j}{(q;q)_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $j \rightarrow j - \rho$ in the R.H.S. of equation (3.1), we get

$$\frac{(\delta s, \lambda s; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta s; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{\binom{\rho}{2}} (\zeta s; q)_{\rho}}{(q;q)_{\rho} (\delta s, z s; q)_{\rho}} \frac{(\delta/\zeta; q)_{j-\rho}}{(\delta sq^{\rho}; q)_{j-\rho} (q;q)_{j-\rho}} \zeta^{j-\rho} \lambda^{\rho} r^j$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda s; q)_\infty}{(\zeta s; q)_\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta s q^j; q)_\infty \sum_{\rho=0}^j \frac{(-1)^\rho q^{(\rho)}_2(\zeta s; q)_\rho}{(q; q)_\rho (\lambda s; q)_\rho} \frac{(\delta/\zeta; q)_{j-\rho}}{(q; q)_{j-\rho}} \zeta^{j-\rho} \lambda^\rho r^j.$$

The proof is finished after equating the coefficients of r^j . \square

The operator $L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta})$ will be used to provide the first Mehler's formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$.

Theorem 4.2 (First Mehler's formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} S_\rho(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) S_\rho(\alpha, v, \beta; q) \frac{(-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} e^\rho}{(q; q)_\rho} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda\beta e, \delta\beta e, \lambda\alpha e; q)_\infty}{(\zeta\beta e, \lambda v e; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, \alpha/v, q/\lambda\beta e \\ q/\zeta\beta e, q/\lambda v e \end{matrix}; q, q \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

provided that $\delta/\zeta = q^{-r}$, $\alpha/v = q^{-r}$ where $\max\{|\zeta\beta eq^{-n}|, |\lambda veq^{-n}|\} < 1$ of nonnegative integer r .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} S_\rho(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q) S_\rho(\alpha, v, \beta; q) \frac{(-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} e^\rho}{(q; q)_\rho} \\ &= \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \{P_\rho(\zeta, \delta)\} S_\rho(\alpha, v, \beta; q) \frac{(-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} e^\rho}{(q; q)_\rho} \quad (\text{by using (1.17)}) \\ &= L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} P_\rho(\zeta, \gamma) (-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} P_{\rho-k}(v, \alpha) \beta^k \frac{e^\rho}{(q; q)_\rho} \right\} \quad (\text{by using (1.9)}) \\ &= L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=k}^{\infty} P_\rho(\zeta, \delta) (-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} P_{\rho-k}(v, \alpha) \beta^k \frac{e^\rho}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{\rho-k}} \right\} \\ &= L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \sum_{\rho, k=0}^{\infty} P_{\rho+k}(\zeta, \delta) (-1)^{\rho+k} q^{-\binom{\rho+k}{2}} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} P_\rho(v, \alpha) \beta^k \frac{e^{\rho+k}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_\rho} \right\} \\ &= L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \sum_{\rho, k=0}^{\infty} P_\rho(v, \alpha) P_\rho(\zeta, \delta) P_k(\zeta, q^\rho \delta) (-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} \frac{\beta^k e^{\rho+k} q^{-\rho k}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_\rho} \right\} \\ &= L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} P_\rho(v, \alpha) P_\rho(\zeta, \delta) e^\rho}{(q; q)_\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(\zeta, q^\rho \delta) \frac{(\beta eq^{-\rho})^k}{(q; q)_k} \right\} \\ &= L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} P_\rho(v, \alpha) P_\rho(\zeta, \delta) e^\rho}{(q; q)_\rho} \frac{(\delta\beta e; q)_\infty}{(\zeta\beta eq^{-\rho}; q)_\infty} \right\} \quad (\text{by using (1.4)}) \\ &= \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} P_\rho(v, \alpha) e^\rho}{(q; q)_\rho} L(\lambda\theta_{\delta\zeta}) \left\{ \frac{P_\rho(\zeta, \delta) (\delta\beta eq^{-\rho}; q)_\infty}{(\delta\beta eq^{-\rho}; q)_\rho (\zeta\beta eq^{-\rho}; q)_\infty} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\rho q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} P_\rho(v, \alpha) e^\rho}{(q; q)_\rho} \frac{(\lambda\beta eq^{-\rho}, \delta\beta e; q)_\infty}{(\zeta\beta eq^{-\rho}; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{(\zeta\beta eq^{-\rho}; q)_k (\delta/\zeta; q)_{\rho-k}}{(\lambda\beta eq^{-\rho}; q)_k} \zeta^{\rho-k} \lambda^k \end{aligned}$$

(by using (4.1))

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\rho=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} P_{\rho}(v, \alpha) e^{\rho}}{(q; q)_{\rho} (q; q)_{\rho-k}} \frac{(\lambda \beta e q^{-\rho+k}, \delta \beta e; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta \beta e q^{-\rho+k}; q)_{\infty}} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} (\delta/\zeta; q)_{\rho-k} \zeta^{\rho-k} \lambda^k \\
&= \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}-\rho k} P_{\rho+k}(v, \alpha) e^{\rho+k}}{(q; q)_{\rho} (q; q)_k} \frac{(\lambda \beta e q^{-\rho}, \delta \beta e; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta \beta e q^{-\rho}; q)_{\infty}} (\delta/\zeta; q)_{\rho} \zeta^{\rho} \lambda^k \\
&= \frac{(\lambda \beta e, \delta \beta e; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta \beta e; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} (\lambda \beta e q^{-\rho}, \delta/\zeta; q)_{\rho} P_{\rho}(v, \alpha) (e \zeta)^{\rho}}{(q; q)_{\rho} (\zeta \beta e q^{-\rho}; q)_{\rho}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(v, \alpha q^{\rho}) \frac{(e \lambda q^{-\rho})^k}{(q; q)_k} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda \beta e, \delta \beta e, \alpha \lambda e; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta \beta e, v \lambda e; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\rho} q^{-\binom{\rho}{2}} (\lambda \beta e q^{-\rho}, \delta/\zeta; q)_{\rho} P_{\rho}(v, \alpha) (e \zeta)^{\rho}}{(q; q)_{\rho} (\zeta \beta e q^{-\rho}; q)_{\rho} (\lambda v e q^{-\rho}; q)_{\rho}}. \quad (\text{by using (1.4)})
\end{aligned}$$

By using (1.1), we get the required identity. \square

Using the operator $E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta)$, the second Mehler's formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$ can be determined.

Theorem 4.3 (Second Mehler's formula for $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q)$). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} S_t(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q) S_t(u, v, w; q) \frac{(-1)^t q^{-\binom{t}{2}} a^t}{(q; q)_t} \\
&= \frac{(\delta q/\lambda, \lambda u a, \lambda w a; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta q/\lambda, \lambda v a; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, q/\lambda u a, q/\lambda w a \\ \delta q/\lambda, q/\lambda v a \end{matrix}; q, \zeta u w a / v \right), \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\max\{|\zeta q/\lambda|, |\lambda v a|, |\zeta u w a/v|\} < 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} S_t(\delta, \zeta, \lambda; q) S_t(u, v, w; q) \frac{(-1)^t q^{-\binom{t}{2}} a^t}{(q; q)_t} \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \{(-1)^t q^{\binom{t}{2}} \lambda^t\} S_t(u, v, w; q) \frac{(-1)^t q^{-\binom{t}{2}} a^t}{(q; q)_t} \\
&= E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} S_t(u, v, w; q) \frac{(\lambda a)^t}{(q; q)_t} \right\} \\
&= E(\delta/\zeta, \zeta; \theta) \left\{ \frac{(\lambda u a, \lambda w a; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda v a; q)_{\infty}} \right\} \quad (\text{by using (1.16)}) \\
&= \frac{(\delta q/\lambda, \lambda u a, \lambda w a; q)_{\infty}}{(\zeta q/\lambda, \lambda v a; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \delta/\zeta, q/\lambda u a, q/\lambda w a \\ \delta q/\lambda, q/\lambda v a \end{matrix}; q, \zeta u w a / v \right) \quad (\text{by using (1.12)}).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

By using the following transformation [11], we can demonstrate that the two Mehler's formulas (4.2) and (4.3) are equivalent.

$${}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \zeta/\delta, q/a\lambda t, q/avt \\ \zeta q/a, q/aut \end{matrix}; q, \delta\lambda vt/u \right) = \frac{(\lambda\zeta t, \delta q/a)_\infty}{(\lambda\delta t, \zeta q/a)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \zeta/\delta, v/u, q/a\lambda t \\ q/\delta\lambda t, q/aut \end{matrix}; q, q \right). \quad (4.4)$$

5. CONCLUSIONS

For a single polynomial, several q -shift operators can be used. The generating function, Rogers formula, and Mehler's formula are just a few examples of significant polynomials identities that may be efficiently derived using the q -shift operators. The generating function, two Rogers formulas, the extension of one of Rogers formulas, the linearization formula, and two Mehler's formulas for the polynomials $S_n(\delta, \zeta, \lambda|q)$ have all been systematically supplied using the q -shift operators. We anticipate that this study will stimulate more investigation into q -polynomials and their practical uses.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript. The authors contributed equally to this work.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.A. Abdlhusein, Two operator representations for the trivariate q -polynomials and Hahn polynomials, Ramanujan J. 40 (2015), 491–509. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-015-9731-7>.
- [2] M.A. Abdlhusein, The generalized Hahn polynomials, TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math. 5 (2015), 231–248.
- [3] M.A. Abdlhusein, The Euler operator for basic hypergeometric series, Int. J. Adv. Appl. Math. Mech. 2 (2014), 42–52.
- [4] S.A. Abdul-Ghani, H.L. Saad, Applications of q -difference equation and homogeneous q -shift operator ${}_r\Phi_s(D_{xy})$ in q -polynomials, Part. Diff. Equ. Appl. Math. 8 (2023), 100536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.padiff.2023.100536>.
- [5] S.A. Abdul-Ghani, H.L. Saad, The generalized homogeneous q -shift operator ${}_r\Phi_s(D_{xy})$ for q -identities and q -integrals, Iraqi J. Sci. 64 (2023), 5815–5829. <https://doi.org/10.24996/ijss.2023.64.11.29>.
- [6] V.Y.B. Chen, q -difference operator and basic hypergeometric series, Ph.D. Thesis, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, 2009.
- [7] W.Y.C. Chen, A.M. Fu, B. Zhang, The homogeneous q -difference operator, Adv. Appl. Math. 31 (2003), 659–668. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0196-8858\(03\)00040-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0196-8858(03)00040-x).
- [8] W.Y.C. Chen, Z.G. Liu, Parameter augmentation for basic hypergeometric series, II, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A. 80 (1997), 175–195. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcta.1997.2801>.
- [9] W.Y.C. Chen, Z.G. Liu, Parameter augmentation for basic hypergeometric series, I, in: B.E. Sagan, R.P. Stanley (Eds.), Mathematical Essays in Honor of Gian-Carlo Rota, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998: pp. 111–129. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4108-9_5.
- [10] W.Y.C. Chen, H.L. Saad, On the Gosper-Petkovsek representation of rational functions, J. Symbol. Comp. 40 (2005), 955–963. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2005.01.007>.

- [11] W.Y.C. Chen, H.L. Saad, L.H. Sun, An operator approach to the Al-Salam-Carlitz polynomials, *J. Math. Phys.* 51 (2010), 043502. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3321603>.
- [12] J. Cigler, Elementare q -identitäten, *Publ. L'inst. Rech. Math. Avancée.* 53 (1982), 23–57.
- [13] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 2004.
- [14] R.H. Jaber, H.L. Saad, Applications of the finite operator ${}_3\mathcal{E}_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-N}, a, b \\ c, d \end{matrix}; q, -f\theta \right)$ for the polynomials $B_n(a, b, c, d, f, x, y|q)$, *Iraqi J. Sci.* 64 (2023), 783–797. <https://doi.org/10.24996/ijjs.2023.64.2.24>.
- [15] F.A. Reshem, H.L. Saad, Generalized q -difference equation of the generalized q -operator ${}_r\Phi_s(\theta)$ and its application in q -integrals, *Part. Diff. Equ. Appl. Math.* 7 (2023), 100517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.padiff.2023.100517>.
- [16] H.L. Saad, M.A. Abdllhusein, New application of the Cauchy operator on the homogeneous Rogers-Szegö polynomials, *Ramanujan J.* 56 (2021), 347–367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-021-00432-9>.
- [17] H.L. Saad, H.J. Hassan, Applications of the operator ${}_r\Phi_s$ in q -polynomials, *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* 13 (2023), 696–709.
- [18] H.L. Saad, R.H. Jaber, Application of the operator $\phi \left(\begin{matrix} a, b, c \\ d, e \end{matrix}; q, fD_q \right)$ for the polynomials $Y_n(a, b, c; d, e; x, y|q)$, *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* 12 (2022), 691–702.
- [19] H.L. Saad, S.M. Khalaf, The generalized q -operator ${}_r\Phi_s$ and its applications in q -identities, *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.* 13 (2023), 1137–1149.
- [20] H.L. Saad, F.A. Reshem, The operator $\mathbb{S}(a, b; \theta_x)$ for the polynomials $Z_n(x, y, a, b|q)$, *Iraqi J. Sci.* 63 (2022), 4397–4409. <https://doi.org/10.24996/ijjs.2022.63.10.26>.
- [21] H.L. Saad, A.A. Sukhi, Another homogeneous q -difference operator, *Appl. Math. Comp.* 215 (2010), 4332–4339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2009.12.061>.
- [22] H.M. Srivastava, M.A. Abdllhusein, New forms of the Cauchy operator and some of their applications, *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* 23 (2016), 124–134. <https://doi.org/10.1134/s1061920816010118>.