

ON $F\pi$ -REGULAR RINGS

FARAHAT ALY^{1,2}, MAJED ALBAITY¹, RASHA ALYOUSEF^{1,3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 22254, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Mathematics, Al-Azhar University, Egypt

³Department of Mathematics, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author: rhalyousef@imamu.edu.sa

Received Apr. 2, 2024

ABSTRACT. This paper aims to examine $F\pi$ -regular rings and identify some of their properties. We introduce the characterization of $F\pi$ -regular rings under duo rings and FGP -injective rings. Additionally, we offer the motivating set for any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ as $M(\alpha) = \{0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A} : \alpha c = \alpha c b \alpha \text{ for some } b \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and demonstrate its connection to $F\pi$ -regular rings. Moreover, we define $F^*\pi$ -regular rings which leads to $F\pi$ -regular rings. We also investigate the classification of 2-primal $F^*\pi$ -regular rings and its relationship to strongly π -regular and π -regular rings.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16D25; 16D50; 16E50.

Key words and phrases. regular ring (RG-R); π -regular ring (π -RR); $F\pi$ -regular ring ($F\pi$ -RR); $F^*\pi$ -regular ring ($F^*\pi$ -RR); 2-primal ring (2-PR); strongly π -regular ring ($S\pi$ -RR); FGP -injective ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

The pioneering work of Emmy Noether on commutative algebra during the 1900s [17,28] laid the foundation for what became the current notion of regularity. Noether defined many of the properties of commutative rings that enabled their classification into types, although none of those types were in exact correspondence with the modern definition of regular rings. Towards the middle of the 20th century, the properties of algebraic structures and the generalizations that could be made based on their commutative or non-commutative properties, began to be explored in great depth. One of the foremost mathematicians of the period was Irving Kaplansky, who made seminal contributions to our understanding of associative rings and particularly to regular rings under conditions of non-commutativity.

Near the mid of the 1900s, one of the greatest contributors to algebra and the increasing interest in regular rings was the outstanding mathematician and polymath, Von Neuman. Neuman was highly influential, with his work on regular rings resulting in a class of rings named after him 'Regular Rings'. Regular rings were receiving considerable attention at this time and their relations to other mathematical structures gradually being uncovered. This enabled the generalizations and extensions of regular rings to be discovered, including the characterization of rings into different levels of regularity. For example, extensions of regularity that impose further conditions to the essential properties, led to classes of rings being identified as having strong or weak regularity. Such generalizations were furthered by McCoy's 1939 [23] generalization of regular rings to π -RR. π -regularity has been extensively explored by mathematicians [5,27] since McCoy made the generalization. Interest in associative rings continued to increase during the 1950's, typified by Kaplansky's work [4] on regular rings that continues to be influential in Ring theory.

Kaplansky's work led to the definition of a $S\pi$ -RR. Formal proof that all $S\pi$ -RR are also π -RR was first given by Azumaya [5], while El-Astal [1] showed the equality of all $S\pi$ -RR and π -RR that form a duo. Examples of $S\pi$ -RR are also given in [15]. Further extensions of π -RR [2,12,21,26] and $S\pi$ -RR have been investigated by many mathematicians [9,10,14,18]. Interest subsequently focused on the similarities between different classes of π -RR and regular rings and the further properties that could be derived from the fact that a ring was π -RR or regular [6,7]. The relations between properties of idempotents in π -RR and regular rings, and their implications for module theory [20], received much attention. Mathematicians then began exploring the connection between these rings and group rings, FGP -injective rings, as well as other algebraic structures [3,4,11,19,24].

The work presented in this paper characterises a new type of regular ring that represents an extension of both π -RR and regular rings and is called $F\pi$ -RR. The properties of these rings are investigated under both duo rings and FGP -injective rings. $F^*\pi$ -RR are defined here and how these relate to $F\pi$ -RR is also presented.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article, \mathcal{A} indicates an associated ring with identity and $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$. For a subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$, its right and left annihilators are denoted by $\mathbf{r}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathbf{l}(\mathcal{X})$ respectively. The abbreviation $\mathbf{r}(\alpha)$ (or $\mathbf{l}(\alpha)$) is utilized if $\mathcal{X} = \{\alpha\}$. $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the prime radical of \mathcal{A} and $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the nilpotent elements of \mathcal{A} . In addition, $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the set of all unit elements of \mathcal{A} and $\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the set of all zero divisors in \mathcal{A} . The greatest common divisor of $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is denoted by $\gcd(x, n)$. The idempotent element in \mathcal{A} is denoted by ϵ .

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a ring then:

- (i) \mathcal{A} is RG-R if for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, an $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha = \alpha\mathfrak{b}\alpha$ exists [25].
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is π -RR if for every element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a positive integer n where α^n is regular [23].
- (iii) \mathcal{A} is $S\pi$ -RR in which every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there are $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ and an m where $\alpha^m = \alpha^{m+1}\mathfrak{b}$ [5].
- (iv) \mathcal{A} is called reduced, if \mathcal{A} contains no non-zero nilpotent elements [2].
- (v) \mathcal{A} is called a right (left) duo if and only if every right (left) ideal of \mathcal{A} is a two sided ideal [22].
- (vi) \mathcal{A} is called a duo if and only if it is a right and left duo ring [22].
- (vii) \mathcal{A} is 2-PR if $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A})$, or equivalently, if $\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is a reduced ring [21].
- (viii) \mathcal{A} is NI-ring if $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A})$ forms a two sided ideal. Notice that a right (or left) duo ring is 2-PR. Moreover, every 2-PR is an NI-ring [21].

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a ring, and $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is an ideal in \mathcal{A} , then:

- (i) \mathbf{K} is regular if for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$, there is $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbf{K}$ where $\alpha = \alpha\mathfrak{b}\alpha$ [13].
- (ii) \mathbf{K} is called pure if for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$, there is $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbf{K}$ where $\alpha = \alpha\mathfrak{b}$ [2].
- (iii) \mathbf{K} is completely prime if $\alpha\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbf{K}$ implies either $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$ or $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbf{K}$ where $\alpha, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ [8].

Definition 2.3. [3] A ring \mathcal{A} is FGP-injective ring if for any $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ where $0 \neq \alpha c = c\alpha$ and any right \mathcal{A} -homomorphism from $\alpha c\mathcal{A}$ to \mathcal{A} extends to an endomorphism of \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 2.4. [16] If \mathbf{I} is an ideal in a ring \mathcal{A} , then

$$\{\text{All ideals of } \mathcal{A} \text{ containing } \mathbf{I}\} \xrightarrow{1-1} \{\text{All ideals of } \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I}, \text{ given by } \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}/\mathbf{I}\}.$$

In view of the above assertion, every ideal in \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I} can be written as \mathbf{J}/\mathbf{I} , where \mathbf{J} is an ideal of \mathcal{A} containing \mathbf{I} .

Lemma 2.5. [22] Let \mathcal{A} be a reduced ring. Then $\mathbf{r}(\alpha) = \mathbf{l}(\alpha)$ for all non-zero element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 2.6. [8] A ring \mathcal{A} is 2-PR if and only if every minimal prime ideal is completely prime.

3. $F\pi$ -REGULAR RINGS

In this section, we define $F\pi$ -RR as well as $F^*\pi$ -RR and outline some of their basic properties.

Definition 3.1. An element α in a ring \mathcal{A} is said to be $F\pi$ -regular if there exist $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha\mathfrak{b}\alpha c$. A ring \mathcal{A} is said to be an $F\pi$ -RR if and only if every element of \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -regular element.

Example 3.2. The following rings are $F\pi$ -RR:

- (1) Boolean rings.
- (2) $\mathbb{Z}_6, \mathbb{Z}_{10}$, and \mathbb{Z}_{14} .
- (3) $\mathcal{A}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$, the ring of 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Clearly, RG-R and π -RR are $F\pi$ -RR; however, the opposite is untrue. For example, \mathbb{Z}_4 is an $F\pi$ -RR that is not RG-R. In addition, if $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_6$, then \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR which is not π -RR.

Theorem 3.3. *A ring \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR if and only if for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the principle right (left) ideal $\alpha c\mathcal{A}$ ($\mathcal{A}\alpha c$) of \mathcal{A} is generated by an idempotent.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be $F\pi$ -RR and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, there exist $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathbf{b} \alpha c$. Set $\epsilon = \alpha c \mathbf{b}$. Let $x \in \alpha c\mathcal{A}$, then $x = \alpha cr$ for some $r \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, $x = \alpha c \mathbf{b} \alpha cr = \epsilon \alpha cr \in \epsilon\mathcal{A}$, and therefore, $\alpha c\mathcal{A} \subseteq \epsilon\mathcal{A}$. Conversely, if $d \in \epsilon\mathcal{A}$, then $d = \epsilon s$ for some $s \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, $d = \alpha c \mathbf{b} s \in \alpha c\mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\epsilon\mathcal{A} \subseteq \alpha c\mathcal{A}$, and therefore, $\alpha c\mathcal{A} = \epsilon\mathcal{A}$. To prove that $\mathcal{A}\alpha c = \mathcal{A}\epsilon$, put $\epsilon = \mathbf{b} \alpha c$ in \mathcal{A} . Conversely, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Choose $\epsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c\mathcal{A} = \epsilon\mathcal{A}$ for some $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, $\epsilon = \alpha c \mathbf{b}$ for some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha c = \epsilon m$ for some $m \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\epsilon \alpha c = \alpha c \mathbf{b} \alpha c$ and $\epsilon \alpha c = \epsilon m = \epsilon m = \alpha c$. Therefore, $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathbf{b} \alpha c$. Thus, \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be an $F\pi$ -RR. Then for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the principle right (left) ideal $\alpha c\mathcal{A}$ ($\mathcal{A}\alpha c$) of \mathcal{A} is a right (left) annihilator of an element of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{A} be an $F\pi$ -RR, with $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exist $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathbf{b} \alpha c$. Set $\epsilon = \alpha c \mathbf{b}$. Now, $1 - \epsilon$ is also an element in \mathcal{A} , and hence $\alpha c\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{r}(1 - \epsilon)$. To prove that $\alpha c\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{r}(1 - \epsilon)$, let $y \in \alpha c\mathcal{A}$ and then $y = \alpha cr$ for some $r \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $y = \alpha c \mathbf{b} \alpha cr = \epsilon \alpha cr = \epsilon y$ and this means that $y - \epsilon y = (1 - \epsilon)y = 0$. Therefore, $y \in \mathbf{r}(1 - \epsilon)$. Conversely, let $x \in \mathbf{r}(1 - \epsilon)$. Then $(1 - \epsilon)x = 0$ and this implies $x = \epsilon x = \alpha c \mathbf{b} x$. Therefore, $x \in \alpha c\mathcal{A}$. If $\epsilon = \mathbf{b} \alpha c$, then we can easily prove that $\mathcal{A}\alpha c = \mathbf{l}(1 - \epsilon)$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *If \mathcal{A} is a reduced ring where every maximal ideal of \mathcal{A} is a right annihilator, then \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR.*

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Theorem 3.6. *If \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR without zero divisors, then \mathcal{A} is a division ring.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Since \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR, there exist $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathbf{b} \alpha c$. Then, $(1 - \alpha c \mathbf{b})\alpha c = 0$ implies $1 - \alpha m = 0$, where $m = c \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $1 = \alpha m$. In addition, $\alpha(1 - c \mathbf{b} \alpha)c = 0$ implies $1 = c \mathbf{b} \alpha = m \alpha$, and hence α is invertible. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is a division ring. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be an integral domain. If \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR, then \mathcal{A} is a field.*

Theorem 3.8. *The direct product of rings with identities is an $F\pi$ -RR if at least one of them is an $F\pi$ -RR.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be rings where \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR. It is enough to show that $\mathfrak{T} = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ is $F\pi$ -RR. Let $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathfrak{T}$ where $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. Take $(0, 0) \neq c = (c_1, 0) \in \mathfrak{T}$ with $c_1 \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2) \in \mathfrak{T}$.

Now, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)(c_1, 0)(b_1, b_2)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)(c_1, 0) = (\alpha_1 c_1 b_1 \alpha_1 c_1, 0) = (\alpha_1 c_1, 0) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)(c_1, 0)$. Therefore, \mathfrak{I} is an $F\pi$ -RR. □

If both rings are not $F\pi$ -RR, then the direct product is not an $F\pi$ -RR. For example, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is not an $F\pi$ -RR.

The homomorphic image of an $F\pi$ -RR is not $F\pi$ -RR. For example, if $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ and $\mathbf{I} = \{(0, x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}_6\}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Then, \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR. Now, $\mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ which is not an $F\pi$ -RR.

Theorem 3.9. *Let \mathbf{I} be an ideal of a ring \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I} is $F\pi$ -RR and \mathbf{I} is regular, then \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{I} be a regular ideal of \mathcal{A} and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I}$. If $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbf{I}$, then $\alpha \in \mathbf{I}$ and \mathbf{I} is regular. Thus, there is $d \in \mathbf{I}$ where $\alpha = \alpha d \alpha$; hence, \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR. If $\bar{\alpha} \notin \mathbf{I}$, then $\alpha \notin \mathbf{I}$, and this implies that $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I}$. As \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I} is $F\pi$ -RR, there exist $\bar{0} \neq \bar{c} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I}$ and $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I}$ with $\bar{\alpha}\bar{c} = \bar{\alpha}\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{\alpha}\bar{c}$. Thus, $\alpha c - \alpha c b \alpha c \in \mathbf{I}$ and since \mathbf{I} is regular, there is $z \in \mathbf{I}$ satisfying $(\alpha c - \alpha c b \alpha c) = (\alpha c - \alpha c b \alpha c)z(\alpha c - \alpha c b \alpha c)$. Hence, $\alpha c = \alpha c(b + z - z \alpha c b - b \alpha c z + b \alpha c z \alpha c b)\alpha c$. Set $h = b + z - z \alpha c b - b \alpha c z + b \alpha c z \alpha c b$, and since $\bar{c} \neq \bar{0}$, then $c \neq 0$. Consequently, for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha c = \alpha c h \alpha c$. Hence, \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR. □

Definition 3.10. *A ring \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR if for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \notin \mathbf{I}$ for some ideal \mathbf{I} of \mathcal{A} , there exist $c \notin \mathbf{I}$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha c b \alpha c$.*

Clearly, $F^*\pi$ -RR is $F\pi$ -RR, but the converse is not true. For example, \mathbb{Z}_{12} is an $F^*\pi$ -RR, while \mathbb{Z}_{16} is an $F\pi$ -RR which is not $F^*\pi$ -RR.

Theorem 3.11. *Let \mathbf{I} be an ideal of a ring \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, then \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I} is $F^*\pi$ -RR.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be $F^*\pi$ -RR. Suppose $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I}$ and $\bar{\alpha} \notin \bar{\mathbf{J}}$, where $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I} . Then, $\bar{\mathbf{J}} = \mathbf{J}/\mathbf{I}$ with $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{J}$. Thus, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\alpha \notin \mathbf{J}$ as \mathbf{J} is an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Since \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, there exist $c \notin \mathbf{J}$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha c b \alpha c$. Hence, $\bar{\alpha}\bar{c} = \bar{\alpha}\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{\alpha}\bar{c}$. Since $c \notin \mathbf{J}$, we have $\bar{c} \notin \bar{\mathbf{J}}$ and $\bar{b} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I}$. Therefore, \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{I} is $F^*\pi$ -RR. □

Theorem 3.12. $\prod \mathcal{A}_i$ is $F^*\pi$ -RR if and only if \mathcal{A}_i is $F^*\pi$ -RR for all i .

Proof. Let $\prod \mathcal{A}_i$ is $F^*\pi$ -RR. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\alpha \notin \mathbf{J}$ where \mathbf{J} is ideal in \mathcal{A}_i . Then, $\bar{\mathbf{J}} = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots) : \alpha_j \in \mathcal{A}_j, \forall j \neq i, \alpha_i \in \mathbf{J}\}$ is an ideal of $\prod \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\bar{\alpha} = (0, \dots, \alpha, 0, \dots) \notin \bar{\mathbf{J}}$. Since $\prod \mathcal{A}_i$ is $F^*\pi$ -RR, then there exist $\bar{c} \notin \bar{\mathbf{J}}$ with $\bar{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_i, \dots)$, and $\bar{b} \in \prod \mathcal{A}_i$ where $\bar{\alpha}\bar{c} = \bar{\alpha}\bar{c}\bar{b}\bar{\alpha}\bar{c}$. As $\bar{c} \notin \bar{\mathbf{J}}$, we have $c_i \notin \mathbf{J}$; otherwise a contradiction. Thus, $\alpha c_i = \alpha c_i b_i \alpha c_i$ in \mathcal{A}_i . Hence \mathcal{A}_i is $F^*\pi$ -RR. Conversely, let \mathcal{A}_i is $F^*\pi$ -RR, for all i . Suppose $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots) \in \prod \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\alpha \notin \bar{\mathbf{J}}$ where $\bar{\mathbf{J}}$ is an ideal in $\prod \mathcal{A}_i$. Since $\alpha \notin \bar{\mathbf{J}}$, there is at least $\alpha_i \notin \mathbf{J}_i = \{j_i : (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_i, \dots) \in \bar{\mathbf{J}}\}$. Since \mathcal{A}_i is $F^*\pi$ -RR, there is $c_i \notin \mathbf{J}_i$ and

$b_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ where $\alpha_i c_i = \alpha_i c_i b_i \alpha_i c_i$. Hence, $\alpha c = \alpha c b \alpha c$ where $c = (0, \dots, c_i, 0, \dots) \notin \bar{\mathbf{J}}$; otherwise, $c_i \in \mathbf{J}_i$ a contradiction. \square

4. THE MOTIVATING SET AND $F\pi$ -REGULAR RINGS

In this section, we introduce the motivating set for any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ as $M(\alpha) = \{0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A} : \alpha c = \alpha c b \alpha c \text{ for some } b \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and demonstrate its connection to $F\pi$ -RR. Let us pave the way to explore such a connection by presenting the following two assertions.

proposition 4.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a ring, then the following holds:*

- (1) *If $a \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$, then a is regular [13].*
- (2) *If $a \in \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$, then a is π -regular [5].*
- (3) *If $a \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A})$, then a is $F\pi$ -regular.*
- (4) *If $a \in \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A}) \subset M(a)$.*

Corollary 4.2. \mathbb{Z}_n is $F\pi$ -RR for all positive integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. If $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{U}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, then x is regular by Proposition 4.1, and then \mathbb{Z}_n $F\pi$ -RR. Otherwise, if $0 \neq x \notin \mathbb{U}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, then $\gcd(x, n) = d \neq 1$. Thus, $x = sd$ and $n = td$ where s, t are positive integers and $t < n$. Hence, $tx = std = sn$ and then x is a zero divisor which proves that \mathbb{Z}_n is $F\pi$ -RR by Proposition 4.1. \square

proposition 4.3. \mathcal{A} is a RG-R if and only if $M(\alpha) = \mathcal{A}^*$, for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a RG-R and $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, $M(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{A}^*$. To prove that $\mathcal{A}^* \subset M(\alpha)$, let $y \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Then $\alpha y \in \mathcal{A}$. Since \mathcal{A} is RG-R, there is $b \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha y = \alpha y b \alpha y$. Therefore, $y \in M(\alpha)$. Hence, $M(\alpha) = \mathcal{A}^*$. Conversely, let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ where $M(x) = \mathcal{A}^*$. Since \mathcal{A} is a ring with identity, we have $1 \in M(x)$. Therefore, $x.1 = x.1.b.x.1$ for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $x = xbx$ which proves that \mathcal{A} is RG-R. \square

proposition 4.4. A ring \mathcal{A} is π -RR if and only if for all $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a positive integer n where $\alpha^n \in M(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be π -RR and $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\alpha^n = \alpha^n b \alpha^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, $\alpha^{n-1} \in M(\alpha)$. Conversely, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$, then by Proposition 4.1, α is π -regular. If $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\alpha^n \in M(\alpha)$ for some positive integer n , then $\alpha^{n+1} = \alpha^{n+1} b \alpha^{n+1}$ for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$ which proves that \mathcal{A} is π -RR. \square

proposition 4.5. A ring \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR if and only if for all $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$, $M(\alpha) \neq \phi$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be $F\pi$ -RR and $x \notin \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Then for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $xc = xcbxc$. Thus, $c \in M(x)$ and hence $M(x) \neq \phi$. Conversely, let $x \in \mathcal{A}$. If $x \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$, then x is $F\pi$ -regular by Proposition 4.1. If $x \notin \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{A})$, and since $M(x) \neq \phi$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $xc = xcbxc$. Thus, \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR. \square

Corollary 4.6. *If \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR, and $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A})$, then $M(\alpha)$ is a regular set.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be $F\pi$ -RR and $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. By Proposition 4.5, $M(\alpha) \neq \phi$. Let $x \in M(\alpha)$. Then $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha x = \alpha x \mathfrak{b} \alpha x$ for some $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\alpha(x - x \mathfrak{b} \alpha x) = 0$. Since $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A})$, $x = x \mathfrak{b} \alpha x = x r x$ where $r = \mathfrak{b} \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $M(\alpha)$ is regular. \square

Corollary 4.7. *A ring \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR if and only if for all $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A})$, there exist $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ where $c = c \mathfrak{b} \alpha c$.*

Theorem 4.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a ring such that for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $c \in M(\alpha)$ where $\mathbf{l}(\alpha c) = \mathbf{l}(\alpha)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{A} is RG-R.
- (2) \mathcal{A} is a π -RR.
- (3) \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR.

Proof. It is clear that (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3). We only prove (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let \mathcal{A} be an $F\pi$ -RR where $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $c \in M(\alpha)$ with $\mathbf{l}(\alpha c) = \mathbf{l}(\alpha)$. Then $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathfrak{b} \alpha c$. Therefore, $(1 - \alpha c \mathfrak{b}) \alpha c = 0$ implies $(1 - \alpha c \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbf{l}(\alpha c)$. Hence $(1 - \alpha c \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbf{l}(\alpha)$, and $\alpha - \alpha c \mathfrak{b} \alpha = 0$. Set $m = c \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, $\alpha = \alpha m \alpha$ and then \mathcal{A} is a RG-R. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a ring and for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist $d \in M(\alpha)$ and $d \notin \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Then \mathcal{A} is RG-R.*

5. DUO RINGS AND $F\pi$ -REGULAR RINGS

This section provides some properties of $F\pi$ -RR under duo rings.

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a duo ring. Then \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR if and only if for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the principle ideal $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is an idempotent.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{A} be an $F\pi$ -RR. Let us show that $(\alpha c \mathcal{A})^2 = (\alpha c)^2 \mathcal{A}$ for all $\alpha, c \in \mathcal{A}$. Since \mathcal{A} is a unital ring, we have $(\alpha c)^2 \mathcal{A} \subset \alpha c \mathcal{A} \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, let $y \in (\alpha c \mathcal{A})^2$. So, $y = \alpha c m \alpha c n$ for some $m, n \in \mathcal{A}$. Since \mathcal{A} is duo, then $y = (\alpha c)^2 \bar{m} n \in (\alpha c)^2 \mathcal{A}$. Since \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR, then for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ there exist $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathfrak{b} \alpha c$. To prove that $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is an idempotent its enough to show that $\alpha c \mathcal{A} = (\alpha c)^2 \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, $(\alpha c)^2 \mathcal{A} \subseteq \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. To prove that $\alpha c \mathcal{A} \subseteq (\alpha c)^2 \mathcal{A}$, let $x \in \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. So, $x = \alpha c r$ for some $r \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $x = \alpha c \mathfrak{b} \alpha c r = (\alpha c)^2 \bar{\mathfrak{b}} r = (\alpha c)^2 \bar{r} \in (\alpha c)^2 \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is an idempotent

ideal. Conversely, since for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is an idempotent, implies $\alpha c \mathcal{A} = (\alpha c \mathcal{A})^2$. Hence, $\alpha c = (\alpha c)r(\alpha c)$ for some $r \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a duo ring. Then, \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR if and only if for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha c = u\epsilon$ for some unit $u \in \mathcal{A}$ and for some idempotent $\epsilon \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be $F\pi$ -RR and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, there exist $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathfrak{b} \alpha c$. Hence, $\epsilon = \alpha c \mathfrak{b}$. Since \mathcal{A} is duo, then $\alpha c = \epsilon \alpha c = \alpha c \epsilon$. Now, $\alpha c = \epsilon - \epsilon^2 + \alpha c = (1 - \epsilon + \alpha c)\epsilon = u\epsilon$ where $u = 1 - \epsilon + \alpha c$. Notice that u is a unit in \mathcal{A} as $(1 - \epsilon + \alpha c)(1 - \epsilon + \epsilon \mathfrak{b}) = 1$. Therefore, $u\epsilon = \alpha c$. Conversely, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = u\epsilon$ for some unit $u \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $\epsilon = r\alpha c$; where r is the inverse of u in \mathcal{A} . Thus, $\alpha c = \alpha c \epsilon = \alpha c r \alpha c$, for some $r \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR. \square

Theorem 5.3. *If \mathcal{A} be a duo ring, then \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR if and only if for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is a pure ideal.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be an $F\pi$ -RR. Then for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathfrak{b} \alpha c$. Now $\alpha c r \in \alpha c \mathcal{A}$ for some $r \in \mathcal{A}$ and as \mathcal{A} is duo, we obtain $\alpha c r = \bar{r} \alpha c = \bar{r} \alpha c \mathfrak{b} \alpha c = \alpha c r \cdot \alpha c \bar{\mathfrak{b}} = \alpha c r d$ where $d = \alpha c \bar{\mathfrak{b}} \in \alpha c \mathcal{A}$ and both $\bar{r}, \bar{\mathfrak{b}}$ are in \mathcal{A} . Thus, $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is a pure ideal. Conversely, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there is $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is a pure ideal. Then $\alpha c = \alpha c y$ for some $y \in \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. Since \mathcal{A} is duo, we have $y \in \mathcal{A} \alpha c$. Therefore, $y = \mathfrak{b} \alpha c$ for some $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\alpha c = \alpha c \mathfrak{b} \alpha c$ so \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR. \square

6. FGP-INJECTIVE RINGS AND $F\pi$ -REGULAR RINGS

This section presents the connection between FGP -injective rings and $F\pi$ -RR.

Theorem 6.1. *If for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is FGP -injective, then \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR.*

Proof. Assume $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is FGP -injective and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Consider the identity mapping $\mathfrak{i} : \alpha c \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \alpha c \mathcal{A}$ for some $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is FGP -injective, there is $z \in \alpha c \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathfrak{i}(\alpha c \mathfrak{b}) = z \alpha c \mathfrak{b}$ for all $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$. Now, $\alpha c = \mathfrak{i}(\alpha c) = z \alpha c$ and since $z \in \alpha c \mathcal{A}$ implies $z = \alpha c r$ for some $r \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\alpha c = \alpha c r \alpha c$. \square

Theorem 6.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be reduced ring with every maximal right ideal is FGP -injective. Then, \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. We claim that $\alpha c \mathcal{A} + \mathfrak{r}(\alpha c) = \mathcal{A}$. If not, there is a maximal right ideal $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}$ where $\alpha c \mathcal{A} + \mathfrak{r}(\alpha c) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Define the canonical injective $\mathfrak{v} : \alpha c \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathfrak{v}(\alpha c \mathfrak{b}) = \alpha c \mathfrak{b}$ for every $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{A}$. Since \mathcal{M} is an FGP -injective, there is $y \in \mathcal{M}$ where $\mathfrak{v}(\alpha c \mathfrak{b}) = y \alpha c \mathfrak{b}$. Hence, $\alpha c = y \alpha c$ which is $\alpha c - y \alpha c = 0$, and then $(1 - y) \in \mathfrak{l}(\alpha c)$. Since \mathcal{A} is reduced, $(1 - y) \in \mathfrak{r}(\alpha c)$. So, $1 - y \in \mathcal{M}$ and as a result $1 \in \mathcal{M}$ which is a contradiction. Now, $1 = \alpha c d + n$ for some $d \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \in \mathfrak{r}(\alpha c)$ and this implies that $\alpha c d \alpha c + n \alpha c = \alpha c$. Since \mathcal{A} is reduced, $n \in \mathfrak{l}(\alpha c)$. Thus, $\alpha c = \alpha c d \alpha c$. Hence, \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR. \square

Theorem 6.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a ring where for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}/\mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$ is an FGP-injective for some $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$. Then \mathcal{A} is an $F\pi$ -RR.

Proof. Fix $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $\mathbf{v} : \alpha c \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$ be a function defined by $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c x) = x + \mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, \mathbf{v} is a well-defined right homomorphism. Since $\mathcal{A}/\mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$ is FGP-injective, there is $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$ where $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c x) = (y + \mathbf{r}(\alpha c))\alpha c x = y\alpha c x + \mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$. In particular, $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c) = 1 + \mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$, and this implies that $1 + \mathbf{r}(\alpha c) = y\alpha c + \mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$. Hence, $1 - y\alpha c \in \mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$ and then $\alpha c(1 - y\alpha c) = 0 = \alpha c - \alpha c y \alpha c$. Therefore, $\alpha c = \alpha c y \alpha c$ and \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR. \square

Theorem 6.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a duo ring. If for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}/(\alpha c \mathcal{A})$ is FGP-injective with $\mathbf{r}(\alpha c) = 0$ for some $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{A} is a division ring.

Proof. Let $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Define $\mathbf{v} : \alpha c \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/(\alpha c \mathcal{A})$ by $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c x) = x + \alpha c \mathcal{A}$, for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathbf{r}(\alpha c) = 0$, then \mathbf{v} is well defined. Since $\mathcal{A}/(\alpha c \mathcal{A})$ is FGP-injective, there is $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{A}/\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c x) = (z + \alpha c \mathcal{A})\alpha c x = z\alpha c x + \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. So, $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c) = z\alpha c + \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. In particular, $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c) = 1 + \alpha c \mathcal{A}$ hence $1 - z\alpha c \in \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. But $z\alpha c \in \mathcal{A}\alpha c = \alpha c \mathcal{A}$, implies $1 \in \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. Hence $\alpha c \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$. Thus $\alpha c b = 1$ for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $cb = m$, thus \mathcal{A} is a division ring. \square

Theorem 6.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a ring and for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is a right annihilator generated by the same element for some $0 \neq c \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}/\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ is FGP-injective, then \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Define $\mathbf{v} : \alpha c \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/(\alpha c \mathcal{A})$ by $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c x) = x + \alpha c \mathcal{A}$, for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, \mathbf{v} is a well defined right homomorphism. Since $\mathcal{A}/(\alpha c \mathcal{A})$ is FGP-injective, there is $\bar{z} \in \mathcal{A}/\alpha c \mathcal{A}$ where $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c x) = (z + \alpha c \mathcal{A})\alpha c x = z\alpha c x + \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. So, $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c) = z\alpha c + \alpha c \mathcal{A}$. In particular, $\mathbf{v}(\alpha c) = 1 + \alpha c \mathcal{A}$ hence $1 - z\alpha c \in \alpha c \mathcal{A} = \mathbf{r}(\alpha c)$. Thus $\alpha c = \alpha c z \alpha c$. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is $F\pi$ -RR. \square

7. 2-PRIMAL RINGS AND $F^*\pi$ -REGULAR RINGS

This section provides the relation between 2-PR $F^*\pi$ -RR and RG-R, $S\pi$ -RR and π -RR.

Lemma 7.1. If \mathcal{A} is a 2-PR, and $\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is $F\pi$ -RR. Then every prime ideal of \mathcal{A} is maximal.

Proof. Let $P \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a prime ideal. Since \mathcal{A} is 2-PR, by the above lemma, there is a minimal prime ideal M of \mathcal{A} which is completely prime. Since, \mathcal{A}/M is $F\pi$ -RR, then for every $0 \neq \alpha + M \in \mathcal{A}/M$, there exist $0 \neq c + M \in \mathcal{A}/M$ and $b + M \in \mathcal{A}/M$ where $(\alpha + M)(c + M) = (\alpha + M)(c + M)(b + M)(\alpha + M)(c + M)$. Hence $\alpha(c - cb\alpha c) \in M$. As $\alpha \notin M$ we have $(c - cb\alpha c) \in M$ and then $(1 - cb\alpha)c \in M$. However, since $c \notin M$, we have $1 - cb\alpha \in M$. Thus $1 + M = (cb + M)(\alpha + M)$ and therefore \mathcal{A}/M is a division ring. Hence, M is maximal and so is P . \square

Corollary 7.2. Let \mathcal{A} be 2-PR. If \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, then \mathcal{A} is a π -RR/ $S\pi$ -RR.

Corollary 7.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be 2-PR. If \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, then every prime factor ring of \mathcal{A} forms a division ring.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, and notice that using Theorem 3.11, \mathcal{A}/P is $F^*\pi$ -RR. As a result, \mathcal{A}/P is an $F\pi$ -RR for every prime ideal P . Since \mathcal{A} is 2-PR, then by Lemma 7.1, \mathcal{A}/P is a division ring. \square

Lemma 7.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be reduced. If \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, then \mathcal{A} is a RG-R / π -RR / $S\pi$ -RR.*

Lemma 7.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be reduced. If \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, then every prime factor ring of \mathcal{A} is a division ring.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a reduced $F^*\pi$ -RR. Then it is 2-PR $F^*\pi$ -RR and by Corollary 7.3, every prime factor ring is a division ring. \square

Lemma 7.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a 2-PR. If \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, then $\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is RG-R.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be $F^*\pi$ -RR. Since \mathcal{A} is 2-PR, then $\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is reduced and the result holds by Lemma 7.4. \square

Lemma 7.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be NI-ring. If \mathcal{A} is $F^*\pi$ -RR, then $\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A})$ is RG-R.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{A} is an NI-ring and $F^*\pi$ -RR, then $\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A})$ is reduced $F^*\pi$ -RR and hence by Lemma 7.4, $\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{A})$ is RG-R. \square

8. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we discovered $F\pi$ -RR which are extension of both π -RR and RG-R and clarify some important properties. The properties of these rings are also investigated under both duo rings and FGP-injective rings. In addition, we explored $F^*\pi$ -RR and showed its relations to $F\pi$ -RR. Such an extension plays a vital role to reformulate the applications of regular rings on algebraic K-theory and algebraic geometry (specifically for the smoothness of varieties).

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

The final draft of the work has been read and approved by all writers. Each author made an equal contribution to this work.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Al-Astal, On π -regular and strongly π -regular rings, Thesis, University of Jordan, 1988.
- [2] M. Al-Kouri, On π -regular rings, Thesis, Mosul University, 1996.
- [3] F. S. Aly, On right FGP-injective rings, *Int. Math. Forum.* 4 (2009), 1347–1355.
- [4] R.F. Arens, I. Kaplansky, Topological representation of algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 63 (1948), 457–481.
- [5] G. Azumaya, Strongly π -regular rings, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. 1 Math.* 13 (1954), 034–039.
- [6] A. Badawi, On semicommutative Π -regular rings, *Comm. Algebra* 22 (1994), 151–157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879408824837>.
- [7] A. Badawi, On abelian π -regular rings, *Comm. Algebra* 25 (1997), 1009–1021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879708825906>.
- [8] G.F. Birkenmeier, J.Y. Kim, J.K. Park, A connection between weak regularity and the simplicity of prime factor rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 122 (1994), 53–58.
- [9] H. Chen, M. Chen, On strongly Π -regular ideals, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 195 (2005), 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2004.05.006>.
- [10] H. Chen, H. Kose, Y. Kurtulmaz, Extensions of strongly π -regular rings, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 51 (2014), 555–565. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2014.51.2.555>.
- [11] W. Chen, On semiabelian π -regular rings, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2007 (2007), 63171. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/63171>.
- [12] M. Ciric, S. Bogdanovic, A note on π -regular rings, *Pure Math. Appl.* 3 (1992), 39–42.
- [13] K.R. Goodearl, Von Neumann regular rings, Pitman Publishing Inc, London, 1979. <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1130000794608923520>.
- [14] Y. Hirano, Some studies on strongly π -regular rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 20 (1978), 141–149.
- [15] C. Huh, N.K. Kim, Y. Lee, Examples of strongly π -regular rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 189 (2004), 195–210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2003.10.032>.
- [16] T.W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Springer, 2012.
- [17] I. Kaplansky, A theorem on rings of operators, *Pac. J. Math.* 1 (1951), 227–232. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1951.1.227>.
- [18] N.K. Kim, Y. Lee, On strong π -regularity and π -regularity, *Comm. Algebra* 39 (2011), 4470–4485. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2010.524184>.
- [19] T.Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Springer, New York, 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0525-8>.
- [20] J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules*, American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [21] Y. Lee, C. Huh, A note on π -regular rings, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 38 (1998), 157–161.
- [22] A. Mahmood, On von Neumann regular rings, Thesis, Mosul University, 1990.
- [23] N. McCoy, Generalized regular rings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 45 (1939), 175–178.
- [24] P. Menal, On π -regular rings whose primitive factor rings are artinian, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 20 (1981), 71–78.
- [25] J. von Neumann, On regular rings, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 22 (1936), 707–713. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.22.12.707>.
- [26] R. Raphael, Rings of quotients and π -regularity, *Pac. J. Math.* 39 (1971), 229–233.
- [27] H. Tominaga, Some remarks on π -regular rings of bounded index, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 4 (1955), 135–141.
- [28] H. Zassenhaus, Review: James W. Brewer and Martha K. Smith, Emmy Noether, a tribute to her life and work, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 7 (1982), 618–622.