

BIPOLAR FUZZY INTERIOR IDEALS OF Γ**-SEMIRINGS**

MADHULATHA PARVATHAM 1,2 , YELLA BHARGAVI 1 , AIYARED IAMPAN 3,*

¹Department of Mathematics, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Green Fileds, Vaddeswaram, Andhra

Pradesh-522302, India

 2 Department of Basic Sciences and Humanities, Dhanekula Institute of Engineering and Technology, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh-521139, India

 3 Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, 19 Moo 2, Tambon Mae Ka, Amphur Mueang, Phayao 56000, Thailand

[∗]Corresponding author: aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

Received Aug. 30, 2024

Abstract. This paper delves into the investigation of bipolar fuzzy interior ideals within the framework of Γ-semirings, uncovering essential properties such as the characteristic function criterion and the level set criterion. Additionally, it offers a novel characterization of regular Γ-semirings, drawing connections between bipolar fuzzy interior ideals and bipolar fuzzy ideals.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03E72, 16Y80.

Keywords and phrases: Γ-semiring; bipolar fuzzy set; interior ideal; bipolar fuzzy interior ideal

1. Introduction

The concept of Γ-rings, which serves as a generalization of traditional rings, was first introduced by Nobusawa [\[13\]](#page-8-0), marking a significant advancement in algebraic structures. Semirings, another key mathematical concept, were explored in depth by Vandiver $[14]$, who laid the groundwork for their study. Building upon these foundational ideas, Rao [\[12\]](#page-8-2) introduced Γ-semirings, a broader and more versatile framework that unifies and extends the principles of rings, Γ-rings, and semirings. This progression of concepts reflects the evolving nature of algebraic theory, opening new pathways for research and application. Zadeh first introduced the concept of fuzzy sets of a set $\lceil 16 \rceil$ in 1965. Several extensions of fuzzy sets have been developed since then, such as intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets with interval values, vague sets, neutrosophic sets, etc. Mandal [\[11\]](#page-8-4) investigated fuzzy ideals and fuzzy interior ideals in ordered semirings. Zhang [\[17\]](#page-8-5) introduced the concept of bipolar-valued fuzzy sets in 1994, which was a significant extension of fuzzy sets whose membership degree interval is extended

DOI: [10.28924/APJM/11-98](https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/11-98)

from [0, 1] to [−1, 1]. Numerous creators like Bhargavi [\[1\]](#page-8-7) and Eswarlal explored and developed fuzzy notions in Γ-semiring. Madhulatha et al. [\[8–](#page-8-8)[10\]](#page-8-9) studied bipolar fuzzy Γ-semirings, bipolar fuzzy ideals, bipolar fuzzy bi-ideals of Γ-semirings. Vijay Kumar et al. [\[15\]](#page-8-10) introduced the concepts of bipolar fuzzy quasi ideals and bipolar N subgroups of near rings. Bhargavi et al. $[2-5]$ $[2-5]$ studied vague bi-ideals, vague quasi ideals, vague interior ideals, vague bi-quasi-interior ideals, and vague bi-interior ideals of Γ-semirings. Khamrot et al. [\[7\]](#page-8-13) introduced the concept of bipolar complex fuzzy interior ideals in semigroups.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of bipolar fuzzy interior ideals within the structure of Γsemirings and explore their fundamental properties. We establish that the homomorphic image and inverse image of a bipolar fuzzy interior ideal in Γ-semirings are themselves bipolar fuzzy interior ideals. Moreover, we demonstrate that within regular Γ-semirings, the concepts of bipolar fuzzy ideals and bipolar fuzzy interior ideals converge, highlighting a significant intersection between these two concepts. This convergence not only enriches the theoretical landscape of Γ-semirings but also provides deeper insights into the structural integrity of these algebraic systems.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some of the basic concepts and definitions that we need in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. [\[1\]](#page-8-7) Let ∨ and Γ be two additive commutative semigroups. Then ∨ is called a Γ-semiring if there exists a mapping $\vee \times \Gamma \times \vee \to \vee$ image denoted by $\partial \tilde{c}$ for $\tilde{c}, \tilde{p} \in \vee$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, satisfying the following conditions: for all $\ddot{c}, \ddot{p}, \ddot{u} \in \vee$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

- (i) $\ddot{c}\alpha(\ddot{p}+\ddot{u})=\ddot{c}\alpha\ddot{p}+\ddot{c}\alpha\ddot{u}$,
- (ii) $(\ddot{c} + \ddot{p})\alpha \ddot{u} = \ddot{c}\alpha \ddot{u} + \ddot{p}\alpha \ddot{u}$,
- (iii) $\ddot{c}(\alpha + \beta)\ddot{u} = \ddot{c}\alpha\ddot{u} + \ddot{c}\beta\ddot{u}$,
- (iv) $\ddot{c}\alpha(\ddot{p}\beta\ddot{u}) = (\ddot{c}\alpha\ddot{p})\beta\ddot{u}.$

Definition 2.2. [\[16\]](#page-8-3) Let ∨ be any non-empty set. A mapping $\xi : \vee \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a fuzzy set of \vee .

Definition 2.3. [\[17\]](#page-8-5) Let \vee be the universe of discourse. A bipolar fuzzy set (BFS) ξ in \vee is an object having the form $\xi := \{(\ddot{v}, \xi^-(\ddot{v}), \xi^+(\ddot{v})) : \ddot{v} \in \vee\}$, where $\xi^- : \vee \to [-1, 0]$ and $\xi^+ : \vee \to [0, 1]$ are mappings.

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ for the BFS $\xi := \{(v, \xi^-(\tilde{v}), \xi^+(\tilde{v})) :$ $\ddot{v} \in \vee$.

Definition 2.4. [\[17\]](#page-8-5) Let $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ be a BFS and $s \times t \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$, the sets $\xi_s^- = \{ \ddot{v} \in \vee :$ $\xi^-(\ddot{v})\leq s\}$ and $\xi^+_t=\{\ddot{v}\in\vee:\xi^+(\ddot{v})\geq t\}$ are called negative s-cut and positive t-cut, respectively. For $s \times t \in [-1,0] \times [0,1]$, the set $\xi_{(s,t)} = \xi_s^- \cap \xi_t^+$ is called the (s,t) -set of $\xi = (\vee;\xi^-,\xi^+)$.

Definition 2.5. [\[17\]](#page-8-5) Let $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ and $\eta = (\vee; \eta^-, \eta^+)$ be two BFSs in a universe of discourse \vee . The intersection of ξ and η is defined as

$$
(\xi^{-} \cap \eta^{-})(\ddot{v}) = \min\{\xi^{-}(\ddot{v}), \eta^{-}(\ddot{v})\} \text{ and } (\xi^{+} \cap \eta^{+})(\ddot{v}) = \min\{\xi^{+}(\ddot{v}), \eta^{+}(\ddot{v})\}, \forall \ddot{v} \in \vee.
$$

The union of ξ and η is defined as

$$
(\xi^- \cup \eta^-)(\ddot{v}) = \max{\{\xi^-(\ddot{v}), \eta^-(\ddot{v})\}} \text{ and } (\xi^+ \cup \eta^+)(\ddot{v}) = \max{\{\xi^+(\ddot{v}), \eta^+(\ddot{v})\}}, \forall \ddot{v} \in \vee.
$$

A BFS ξ is contained in another bipolar fuzzy set η , written with $\xi \subseteq \eta$ if

 $\xi^-(\ddot{v}) \geq \eta^-(\ddot{v})$ and $\xi^+(\ddot{v}) \leq \eta^+(\ddot{v}), \forall \ddot{v} \in \vee$.

Definition 2.6. [\[6\]](#page-8-14) Let $J: K \to L$ be a homomorphism from a set K onto a set L and let $\xi = (K; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ be a BFS of K and $\eta = (L; \eta^-, \eta^+)$ be a BFS of L, then the homomorphic image $J(\xi)$ of ξ is $J(\xi)$ = $(L; (J(\xi))^-, (J(\xi))^+)$ defined as for all $\ddot{v} \in L$,

$$
(J(\xi))^{-}(\ddot{v}) = \begin{cases} \inf\{\xi^{-}(\ddot{u}) : \ddot{u} \in J^{-1}(\ddot{v})\}, & \text{if } J^{-1}(\ddot{v}) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
(J(\xi))^+(\ddot{v}) = \begin{cases} \sup{\{\xi^+(\ddot{u}) : \ddot{u} \in J^{-1}(\ddot{v})\}}, & \text{if } J^{-1}(\ddot{v}) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

The inverse image $J^{-1}(\eta)$ of η under J is a BFS defined as

$$
(J^{-1}(\eta))
$$
⁻ $(\ddot{u}) = \eta^{-}(J(\ddot{u}))$ and $(J^{-1}(\eta))^{+}(\ddot{u}) = \eta^{+}(J(\ddot{u})), \forall \ddot{u} \in K$.

Definition 2.7. [\[1\]](#page-8-7) Let D be a subset of a Γ-semiring ∨. The characteristic function of D taking values in [0, 1] is a fuzzy set δ_D given by

$$
\delta_D(\ddot{v}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ddot{v} \in D \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Then δ_D is a fuzzy characteristic function of D.

Definition 2.8. [\[8\]](#page-8-8) Let D be a subset of a Γ-semiring \vee . The bipolar fuzzy characteristic function δ_D of D is given by

$$
\delta_D^+(\ddot{v}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ddot{v} \in D \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \delta_D^-(\ddot{v}) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \ddot{v} \in D \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Definition 2.9. [\[1\]](#page-8-7) A BFS $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ in \vee is called a bipolar fuzzy Γ-semiring (BFGSR) of \vee if it satisfies the following properties: for all $\ddot{c}, \ddot{p} \in \vee$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

(i)
$$
\xi^{-}(\ddot{c} + \ddot{p}) \le \max{\xi^{-}(\ddot{c}), \xi^{-}(\ddot{p})}
$$
,
\n(ii) $\xi^{-}(\ddot{c}\gamma\ddot{p}) \le \max{\xi^{-}(\ddot{c}), \xi^{-}(\ddot{p})}$,
\n(iii) $\xi^{+}(\ddot{c} + \ddot{p}) \ge \min{\xi^{+}(\ddot{c}), \xi^{+}(\ddot{p})}$,
\n(iv) $\xi^{+}(\ddot{c}\gamma\ddot{p}) \ge \min{\xi^{+}(\ddot{c}), \xi^{+}(\ddot{p})}$.

Definition 2.10. [\[3\]](#page-8-15) A BFS $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ in \vee is called a bipolar fuzzy left (resp., right) ideal of \vee if it satisfies the following properties: for any $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o} \in \vee$ and $\rho \in \Gamma$,

- (i) $\xi^-(\ddot{e} + \ddot{o}) \leq \max\{\xi^-(\ddot{e}), \xi^-(\ddot{o})\},\$
- (ii) $\xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{o}) \leq \xi^-(\ddot{o})$ (resp., $\leq \xi^-(\ddot{e})$),
- (iii) $\xi^+ (\ddot{e} + \ddot{o}) \ge \min\{\xi^+ (\ddot{e}), \xi^+ (\ddot{o})\},$
- (iv) ξ^+ ($\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{o}$) $\geq \xi^+(\ddot{o})$ (resp., $\geq \xi^+(\ddot{e})$).

Also, ξ is a bipolar fuzzy ideal (BFI) of \vee if it is both a bipolar fuzzy left ideal and a bipolar fuzzy right ideal of ∨.

Definition 2.11. [\[3\]](#page-8-15) An element v of a Γ-semiring \vee is said to be regular if $v \in v\Gamma \vee \Gamma v$. If all the elements of a Γ-semiring \vee are regular, then \vee is known as a regular Γ-semiring.

Definition 2.12. [\[3\]](#page-8-15) A non-empty subset *I* of a Γ-semiring \vee is called an interior ideal of \vee if *I* is an additive subsemigroup of \lor and \lor $\Gamma/\Gamma \lor \subseteq I$.

3. Main Results

In this section, we introduce and investigate the concept of bipolar fuzzy interior ideals, delving into their distinctive properties and characteristics. Following this, we explore the implications of replacing the join operation ∨ with a Γ-semiring, examining how this substitution influences the structure and behaviour of these ideals within the broader algebraic framework.

Definition 3.1. A BFGSR $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ of \vee is called a bipolar fuzzy interior ideal (BFII) of \vee if it satisfies the following properties: for any $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o}, \ddot{v} \in \vee$ and $\rho, \tau \in \Gamma$,

(i) $\xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}) \leq \xi^-(\ddot{o})$, (ii) ξ^+ ($\ddot{e} \varrho \ddot{\sigma} \tau \ddot{v}$) $\geq \xi^+$ ($\ddot{\sigma}$).

Example 3.2. Let \vee be the set of all negative integers and Γ be the set of all negative even integers. Then \vee and Γ are additive commutative semigroups. Define the product $\ddot{e}\ddot{e}\ddot{o}$ as usual product of $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o} \in \vee$ and $\varrho \in \Gamma$. Then \vee is a Γ -semiring. Define a BFS $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ of \vee as follows:

$$
\xi^{-}(\psi) = \begin{cases}\n-0.5 & \text{if } \psi = -1 \\
-0.6 & \text{if } \psi = -2 \\
-0.8 & \text{otherwise}\n\end{cases} \text{ and } \xi^{+}(\psi) = \begin{cases}\n0.5 & \text{if } \psi = -1 \\
0.6 & \text{if } \psi = -2 \\
0.7 & \text{otherwise}\n\end{cases}
$$

Then ξ is a BFII of \vee .

Theorem 3.3. *A BFS* $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ *in* \vee *is a BFII of* \vee *if and only if for all* $s \times t \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1], \emptyset \neq \xi_s^$ and $\emptyset \neq \xi_t^+$ are interior ideals of \vee .

Proof. Suppose $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ is a BFII of \vee . From Theorem 3.4 of [\[8\]](#page-8-8), level cuts are sub Γ-semirings of \vee . Let $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o} \in \vee$, $\ddot{k} \in \xi_s^-$, $\ddot{l} \in \xi_t^+$, and $\varrho, \tau \in \Gamma$. Then $\xi^-(\ddot{k}) \leq s$ and $\xi^+(\ddot{l}) \geq t$. Now, $\xi^-(\ddot{e}\dot{\varrho}\ddot{k}\tau\ddot{o}) \leq \xi^-(\ddot{k}) \leq s$

and $\xi^+(\ddot e \ddot{\ell} \ddot{\tau} \ddot{o})\leq \xi^+(\ddot{l})\leq t.$ Thus, $\ddot e \ddot{\ell} \ddot{\tau} \ddot{o}\in \xi^-_s$ and $\ddot e \ddot{\ell} \ddot{\tau} \ddot{o}\in \xi^+_t$. Hence, level cuts ξ^-_s and ξ^+_t are interior ideals of ∨.

Conversely, suppose that ξ_s^- and ξ_t^+ are interior ideals of \vee . From Theorem 3.4 of $[8]$, ξ is a BFGSR of \vee . Let $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o} \in \vee$ and $\varrho, \tau \in \Gamma$. Suppose $s = \xi^-(\ddot{q})$. Then $\ddot{q} \in \xi_s^-$. Thus, $\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{q}\tau\ddot{o} \in \xi_s^-$, that is, $\xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{q}\tau\ddot{o}) \leq$ $s=\xi^-(\ddot{q}).$ Suppose $t=\xi^+(\ddot{q}).$ Then $\ddot{q}\in \xi_t^+$. Thus, $\ddot{e} \varrho \ddot{q} \tau \ddot{o}\in \xi_t^+$, that is, $\xi^+(\ddot{e} \varrho \ddot{q} \tau \ddot{o})\leq t=\xi^+(\ddot{q}).$ Hence, ξ is a BFII of \vee .

Note 3.4. Let κ be a nonempty subset of \vee . Then δ_{κ} is a BFGSR of \vee if and only if κ is a sub Γ-semiring of ∨.

Theorem 3.5. Let κ be a non-empty subset of \vee . Then δ_{κ} is a BFII of \vee if and only if κ is an interior ideal of \vee .

Proof. Suppose δ_{κ} is a BFII of \vee . As noted above, κ is a sub Γ-semiring of \vee . Let $\ddot{e},\ddot{o}\in\vee,\ddot{k}\in\kappa$, and $\rho, \tau \in \Gamma$. Then $\delta^+_{\kappa}(\ddot{e} \ddot{\rho} \ddot{\kappa} \tau \ddot{o}) \geq \delta^+_{\kappa}(\ddot{k}) = 1$, so $\ddot{e} \ddot{\rho} \ddot{\kappa} \tau \ddot{o} \in \kappa$. Now, $\delta^-_{\kappa}(\ddot{e} \dot{\rho} \ddot{\kappa} \tau \ddot{o}) \geq \delta^-_{\kappa}(\ddot{k}) = -1$, so $\ddot{e} \ddot{\rho} \ddot{\kappa} \tau \ddot{o} \in \kappa$. Hence, κ is an interior ideal of \vee .

Conversely, suppose κ is an interior ideal of \vee . As noted above, δ_κ is a BFGSR of \vee . Let $\ddot e,\ddot o,\ddot k\in\vee$ and $\rho, \tau \in \Gamma$.

If $\ddot{k} \in \kappa$, then $\ddot{e} \varrho \ddot{k} \tau \ddot{o} \in \kappa$. Thus, $\delta^+_k(\ddot{e} \varrho \ddot{k} \tau \ddot{o}) = 1 = \delta^+_k(\ddot{k})$ and $\delta^-_k(\ddot{e} \varrho \ddot{k} \tau \ddot{o}) = -1 = \delta^-_k(\ddot{k}).$ If $\ddot{k} \notin \kappa$, then $\delta_{\kappa}^-(\ddot{k}) = 0$ and $\delta_{\kappa}^+(\ddot{k}) = 0$. Thus, $\delta_{\kappa}^+(\ddot{e} \dot{e} \dot{k} \tau \ddot{o}) \geq \delta_{\kappa}^+(\ddot{k})$ and $\delta_{\kappa}^-(\ddot{e} \dot{e} \dot{k} \tau \ddot{o}) \leq \delta_{\kappa}^-(\ddot{k})$. Hence, δ_{κ} is a BFII of \vee .

Note 3.6. Let \vee and κ be two Γ-semirings and let f be a homomorphism from \vee to κ . If ξ is a BFGSR of κ , then the inverse image of ξ , $f^{-1}(\xi)$ is a BFGSR of \vee .

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose* ∨ *and* κ *are two* Γ*-semirings and let* f *be a homomorphism of* ∨ *onto* κ*. If* ξ *is a BFII of* κ , then the inverse image of $\xi, f^{-1}(\xi)$ is a BFII of \vee .

Proof. Given ξ is a BFII of κ . As noted above, $f^{-1}(\xi)$ is a BFGSR of \vee . Let $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o}, \ddot{k} \in \vee$ and $\varrho, \tau \in \Gamma$. Then

$$
(f^{-1}(\xi))^-(\ddot{e}\ddot{\rho}\ddot{\kappa}\tau\ddot{\sigma}) = \xi^-(f(\ddot{e}\ddot{\rho}\ddot{\kappa}\tau\ddot{\sigma}))
$$

$$
= \xi^-(f(\ddot{e})\varrho f(\ddot{\kappa})\tau f(\ddot{\sigma}))
$$

$$
\leq \xi^-(f(\ddot{k}))
$$

$$
= (f^{-1}(\xi))^-(\ddot{k}),
$$

$$
(f^{-1}(\xi))^+(\ddot{e}\ddot{\rho}\ddot{\kappa}\tau\ddot{\sigma}) = \xi^+(f(\ddot{e}\ddot{\rho}\ddot{\kappa}\tau\ddot{\sigma}))
$$

$$
= \xi^+(f(\ddot{e})\varrho f(\ddot{\kappa})\tau f(\ddot{\sigma}))
$$

$$
\geq \xi^+(f(\ddot{k}))
$$

= $(f^{-1}(\xi))^+(\ddot{k}).$

Hence, $f^{-1}(\xi)$ is a BFII of ∨.

Note 3.8. Let ∨ and κ be two Γ-semirings and let f be a homomorphism from ∨ to κ. If ξ is a BFGSR of ∨, then the homomorphic image of ξ , $f(\xi)$ is a BFGSR of $κ$.

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose* ∨ *and* κ *are two* Γ*-semirings and let* f *be a homomorphism of* ∨ *to* κ*. If* ξ *is a BFII of* ∨*, then the homomorphic image of* ξ , $f(\xi)$ *is a BFII of* κ *.*

Proof. Given ξ is a BFII of \vee . As noted, $f(\xi)$ is a BFGSR of \vee . Suppose $f^{-1}(\ddot{e}), f^{-1}(\ddot{o})$, and $f^{-1}(\ddot{v})$ are non-empty. Then there exist \hat{e} , \hat{o} , and $\hat{v} \in V$ such that $f(\hat{e}) = \ddot{e}$, $f(\hat{o}) = \ddot{o}$, and $f(\hat{v}) = \ddot{v}$. Then $\hat{e} + \hat{o} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{e} + \ddot{o})$ and $\hat{e}_{\ell} \hat{o} \tau \hat{v} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{e}_{\ell} \ddot{o} \tau \ddot{v})$. Now,

$$
(f(\xi))^{-}(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}) = \inf\{\xi^{-}(\hat{s}) : \hat{s} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v})\}
$$

= $\inf\{\xi^{-}(\hat{e}\varrho\dot{\sigma}\tau\dot{v}) : \hat{e} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{e}), \hat{o} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{o}), \hat{v} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{v})\}$
 $\leq \inf\{\xi^{-}(\hat{o}) : \hat{o} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{o})\}$
= $(f(\xi))^{-}(\ddot{o}),$

$$
(f(\xi))^+(\ddot{e}\ddot{\rho}\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}) = \sup\{\xi^+(\hat{s}) : \hat{s} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{e}\ddot{\rho}\dot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v})\}
$$

= $\sup\{\xi^+(\hat{e}\dot{\rho}\dot{\sigma}\tau\hat{v}) : \hat{e} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{e}), \hat{o} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{o}), \hat{v} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{v})\}$
 $\geq \sup\{\xi^+(\hat{o}) : \hat{o} \in f^{-1}(\ddot{o})\}$
= $(f(\xi))^+(\ddot{o}).$

Hence, $f(\xi)$ is a BFII of κ .

Theorem 3.10. If $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ and $\varkappa = (\vee; \varkappa^-, \varkappa^+)$ are two BFIIs of \vee , then $\xi \cap \varkappa$ is a BFII of \vee .

Proof. Given $\xi = (\vee; \xi^-, \xi^+)$ and $\varkappa = (\vee; \varkappa^-, \varkappa^+)$ are two BFIIs of \vee . From Theorem 3.6 of [\[8\]](#page-8-8), the intersection of an arbitrary family of BFGSRs is a BFGSR. Let $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o}, \ddot{v} \in \vee$ and $\rho, \tau \in \Gamma$. Then

$$
(\xi^- \cap \varkappa^-)(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}) = \min\{\xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}), \varkappa^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v})\}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \min\{\xi^-(\ddot{o}), \varkappa^-(\ddot{o})\}
$$

\n
$$
= (\xi^- \cap \varkappa^-)(\ddot{o}),
$$

\n
$$
(\xi^+ \cap \varkappa^+) (\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}) = \min\{\xi^+(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}), \varkappa^+(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v})\}
$$

\n
$$
\geq \min\{\xi^+(\ddot{o}), \varkappa^+(\ddot{o})\}
$$

$$
= (\xi^+ \cap \varkappa^+)(\ddot{o}).
$$

Hence, $\xi \cap \varkappa$ is BFII of ∨.

Corollary 3.11. *The intersection of an arbitrary family of BFIIs is a BFII.*

In general, the union of two BFIIs is not a BFII.

Example 3.12. Let ξ and \varkappa be two BFIIs of \vee defined by

$$
\xi^{-}(\psi) = \begin{cases}\n-0.64 & \text{if } \psi = 0 \\
-0.51 & \text{if } \psi > 0 \\
-0.42 & \text{if } \psi < 0\n\end{cases}, \xi^{+}(\psi) = \begin{cases}\n0.72 & \text{if } \psi = 0 \\
0.63 & \text{if } \psi > 0 \\
0.2 & \text{if } \psi < 0\n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\varkappa^{-}(\psi) = \begin{cases}\n-0.73 & \text{if } \psi = 0 \\
-0.47 & \text{if } \psi > 0 \quad \varkappa^{+}(\psi) = \begin{cases}\n0.83 & \text{if } \psi = 0 \\
0.54 & \text{if } \psi > 0 \\
0.19 & \text{if } \psi < 0\n\end{cases}\n\end{cases}
$$

Then $\xi \cup \varkappa$ is not a BFII of \vee .

Theorem 3.13. *If* ξ *and* x *are two BFIIs of* \vee *, then* $\xi \cup x$ *is a BFII of* \vee *if and only if* $\xi \subseteq x$ *or* $x \subseteq \xi$ *.*

Proof. Given ξ and \varkappa are two BFIIs of \vee . Suppose $\xi \subseteq \varkappa$. From Theorem 3.9 of [\[8\]](#page-8-8), the union of an arbitrary family of BFGSRs is a BFGSR. Let $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o}, \ddot{v} \in \vee$ and $\rho, \tau \in \Gamma$. Then

$$
(\xi^- \cup \kappa^-)(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}) = \max{\{\xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}), \kappa^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v})\}}
$$

$$
= \xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v})
$$

$$
\leq \xi^-(\ddot{\sigma})
$$

$$
= \max{\{\xi^-(\ddot{\sigma}), \kappa^-(\ddot{\sigma})\}}
$$

$$
= (\xi^- \cup \kappa^-)(\ddot{\sigma}),
$$

$$
(\xi^+ \cup \varkappa^+) (\ddot{e}\varrho \ddot{\sigma}\tau \ddot{v}) = \max \{ \xi^+ (\ddot{e}\varrho \ddot{\sigma}\tau \ddot{v}), \varkappa^+ (\ddot{e}\varrho \ddot{\sigma}\tau \ddot{v}) \}
$$

$$
= \varkappa^+ (\ddot{e}\varrho \ddot{\sigma}\tau \ddot{v})
$$

$$
\geq \varkappa^+ (\ddot{o})
$$

$$
= \max \{ \xi^+ (\ddot{o}), \varkappa^+ (\ddot{o}) \}
$$

$$
= (\xi^+ \cup \varkappa^+) (\ddot{o}).
$$

Hence, $\xi \cup \varkappa$ is a BFII of \vee . Similarly, if $\varkappa \subseteq \xi$, then $\xi \cup \varkappa$ is a BFII of \vee .

The converse is obvious.

Theorem 3.14. *If* ξ *is a BFI of* \vee *, then* ξ *is a BFII of* \vee *.*

Proof. Given ξ is a BFI of \vee . Let $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o}, \ddot{v} \in \vee$ and $\varrho, \tau \in \Gamma$. Since ξ is a BFI of \vee , we have $\xi^-(\ddot{e}\dot{\varrho}\ddot{o}\ddot{o}) \leq \xi^-(\ddot{e})$ and $\xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{o}) \leq \xi^-(\ddot{o})$. Thus, $\xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{o}) \leq \max\{\xi^-(\ddot{e}), \xi^-(\ddot{o})\}$. Also, $\xi^+(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{o}) \geq \xi^+(\ddot{e})$ and $\xi^+(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{o}) \geq \xi^+(\ddot{o})$. Thus, $\xi^+(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{o}) \ge \min\{\xi^+(\ddot{e}),\xi^+(\ddot{o})\}$. Thus, ξ is a BFGSR of \vee . Now,

$$
\xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}) = \xi^-(\ddot{e}\varrho(\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}))
$$

\n
$$
\leq \xi^-(\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v})
$$

\n
$$
\leq \xi^-(\ddot{\sigma}),
$$

\n
$$
\xi^+(\ddot{e}\varrho\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}) = \xi^+(\ddot{e}\varrho(\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v}))
$$

\n
$$
\geq \xi^+(\ddot{\sigma}\tau\ddot{v})
$$

\n
$$
\geq \xi^+(\ddot{\sigma}).
$$

Therefore, ξ is a BFII of \vee .

Theorem 3.15. *If* \vee *is regular, then every BFII is a BFI of* \vee *.*

Proof. Given ξ is a BFII of \vee . Let $\ddot{e}, \ddot{o}, \ddot{v} \in \vee$ and $\varrho, \tau \in \Gamma$. Then $\xi^-(\ddot{e}\dot{o}) \leq \max\{\xi^-(\ddot{e}), \xi^-(\ddot{o})\}$ and $\xi^+(\ddot{e}_i\ddot{o})\geq \min\{\xi^+(\ddot{e}),\xi^+(\ddot{o})\}.$ Since \vee is regular, we have $\ddot{o}=\ddot{o}\varrho\ddot{n}\tau\ddot{o}$ for some $\ddot{m}\in\vee$ and $\varrho,\tau\in\Gamma.$ Now,

$$
\xi^-(\ddot{e}\gamma\ddot{o}) = \xi^-(\ddot{e}\gamma(\ddot{o}\varrho\ddot{m}\tau\ddot{o}))
$$

$$
= \xi^-(\ddot{e}\gamma\ddot{o}\varrho(\ddot{m}\tau\ddot{o}))
$$

$$
\leq \xi^-(\ddot{o}),
$$

$$
\xi^+(\ddot{e}\gamma\ddot{o}) = \xi^+(\ddot{e}\gamma(\ddot{o}\varrho\ddot{m}\tau\ddot{o}))
$$

$$
= \xi^+(\ddot{e}\gamma\ddot{o}\varrho(\ddot{m}\tau\ddot{o}))
$$

 $\geq \xi^+(\ddot{o}).$

Therefore, ξ is a BFI of ∨.

4. Conclusion

In this article, we introduced the concept of bipolar fuzzy interior ideals (BFII) within the framework of Γ-semirings and thoroughly examined their key properties. Our investigation revealed that in regular Γ-semirings, every BFII naturally aligns with the broader category of bipolar fuzzy ideals (BFI). This finding not only solidifies the relationship between these two classes of ideals but also offers new perspectives on the structural characteristics of Γ-semirings. Our results open avenues for further exploration into the algebraic properties and applications of these ideals in more complex semiring structures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by University of Phayao and Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund (Fundamental Fund 2025, Grant No. 5027/2567).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Bhargavi, T. Eswarlal, Fuzzy Γ-semirings, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 98 (2015), 339–349. [https://doi.org/10.12732/](https://doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v98i3.6) [ijpam.v98i3.6](https://doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v98i3.6).
- [2] Y. Bhargavi, T. Eswarlal, Vague bi-ideals and vague quasi ideals of a Γ-semiring, Int. J. Sci. Res. 4 (2015), 2694–2699.
- [3] Y. Bhargavi, A. Iampan, Vague interior ideals of Γ-semirings, ICIC Express Lett. 18 (2024), 1023–1030. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.24507/icicel.18.10.1023) [org/10.24507/icicel.18.10.1023](https://doi.org/10.24507/icicel.18.10.1023).
- [4] Y. Bhargavi, A. Iampan, B. Nageswararao, Vague bi-quasi-interior ideals of Γ-semirings, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 21 (2023), 98. <https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-21-2023-98>.
- [5] Y. Bhargavi, S. Ragamayi, T. Eswarlal, G. Jayalalitha, Vague bi-interior ideals of a Γ-semiring, Adv. Math.: Sci. J. 9 (2020), 4425–4435.
- [6] H. Hedayati, K.P. Shum, An introduction to Γ-semirings, Int. J. Algebra, 5 (2011), 709–726.
- [7] P. Khamrot, A. Iampan, T. Gaketem, Bipolar complex fuzzy interior ideals in semigroups, IAENG Int. J. Appl. Math. 54 (2024), 1110–1116.
- [8] P.M. Latha, Y. Bhargavi, Bipolar fuzzy Γ-semirings, AIP Conf. Proc. 2707 (2023), 020006. [https://doi.org/10.1063/5.](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0143364) [0143364](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0143364).
- [9] P. Madhulatha, Y. Bhargavi, A. Iampan, Bipolar fuzzy bi-ideals of Γ-semirings, Asia Pac. J. Math. 11 (2024), 69. [https:](https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/11-69) [//doi.org/10.28924/APJM/11-69](https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/11-69).
- [10] P. Madhulatha, Y. Bhargavi, A. Iampan, Bipolar fuzzy ideals of Γ-semirings, Asia Pac. J. Math. 10 (2023), 38. [https:](https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/10-38) [//doi.org/10.28924/APJM/10-38](https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/10-38).
- [11] D. Mandal, Fuzzy ideals and interior ideals in ordered semirings, Fuzzy Inf. Eng. 6 (2014), 104–114. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fiae.2014.06.008) [10.1016/j.fiae.2014.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fiae.2014.06.008).
- [12] M.M.K. Rao, Γ-semiring, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 19 (1995), 49–54.
- [13] N. Nobusawa, On a generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J. Math. 1 (1964), 81–89.
- [14] H.S. Vandiver, Note on a simple type of algebra in which the cancellation law of addition does not hold, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 916–920.
- [15] K.V. Kumar, B. Jyothi, P.N. Swamy, T. Nagaiah, G. Omprakasham, Characterization on bipolar fuzzy quasi ideals and bipolar N-subgroups of near rings, AIP Conf. Proc. 2246 (2020), 020053. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0014444>.
- [16] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inf. Control 8 (1965), 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X).
- [17] W.R. Zhang, Bipolar fuzzy sets and relations: a computational framework for cognitive modeling and multiagent decision analysis, in: Proceedings of the First International Joint Conference of The North American Fuzzy Information Processing Society Biannual Conference, IEEE, San Antonio, TX, USA, 1994: pp. 305–309. [https://doi.org/10.1109/](https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCF.1994.375115) [IJCF.1994.375115](https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCF.1994.375115).