

## ON FUZZY TRIGENERALIZED $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -OPEN SETS

AIRISH PELEMENIANO-JUMONONG\*, ROLANDO N. PALUGA

Department of Mathematics, Caraga State University, Philippines

\*Corresponding author: [appelemeniano@carsu.edu.ph](mailto:appelemeniano@carsu.edu.ph)

Received Feb. 19, 2026

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, a new class of  $\alpha$ -open sets in a fuzzy trigeneralized topological space is introduced called fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets:  $A$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open if  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Several special cases of the fuzzy open sets namely: fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen and  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen are also presented. The characterizations of  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets, fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen and  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen are given. Moreover, other properties are also provided.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54A40.

Key words and phrases. fuzzy trigeneralized topological space; fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets; fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen sets.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, L.A. Zadeh [6] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets as mathematical means of describing vagueness in linguistics. A fuzzy set is a generalization of an ordinary set and it allows a degree of membership function for each element over the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Fuzziness can be found in many areas in daily life, in engineering, in medicine, in meteorology, in manufacturing and many more. It is frequently observed in human judgement, evaluation, decision making and reasoning as well.

Since Zadeh introduced fuzzy sets, many approaches and theories treating imprecision and uncertainty have been proposed. In view of the fact that much attention has been paid to generalize the basic concepts of classical topology, a theory of fuzzy topology is developed. The study of fuzzy topology was first introduced by C.L. Chang [3] in 1968. Several authors then subsequently developed various concepts in fuzzy topological spaces such as the generalized fuzzy topology. In recent literature, generalized topology has been extended to bi-topological spaces wherein two topological spaces were considered.

The present stage of fuzzy topology may be termed as its expanding phase. At this stage, many existing notions of fuzzy topology are being revisited for further investigations and improvement.

Presently, one of the most studied concepts of fuzzy topology is the concept of fuzzy open and closed sets. As a result, one is naturally prompted to study the generalized form of fuzzy open sets – the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open sets in a new perspective. In 1991, Singal and Bin Shahna [2] were the first to introduce the concept of fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open sets.

In this paper, a new concept of  $\alpha$ -open set in generalized topology are presented, wherein three generalized topologies are being considered. That is, a fuzzy set  $A$  is said to be  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open set whenever  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ , wherein  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  are fuzzy generalized topologies in  $\Gamma$ . We then investigate its behavior and relationship relative to the properties of fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open sets. Furthermore, a characterization and some results as well are presented.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some of the basic concepts in set theory, real analysis, and in fuzzy generalized topological spaces which are necessary for the sequel of the study.

**Definition 1.** [1] Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

1. The set  $S$  is said to be bounded above if there exists a number  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s \leq u$  for all  $s \in S$ . Each such number  $u$  is called an upper bound of  $S$ .
2. The set  $S$  is said to be bounded below if there exists a number  $w \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $w \leq s$  for all  $s \in S$ . Each such number  $w$  is called a lower bound of  $S$ .
3. A set is said to be bounded if it is both bounded above and bounded below. A set is said to be unbounded if it is not bounded.

**Definition 2.** [1] Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

1. If  $S$  is bounded above, then a number  $u$  is said to be a supremum (or a least upper bound) of  $S$  if it satisfies the conditions:
  - (a)  $u$  is an upper bound of  $S$ , and
  - (b) If  $v$  is any upper bound of  $S$ , then  $u \leq v$ .
2. If  $S$  is bounded below, then a number  $w$  is said to be an infimum (or a greatest lower bound) of  $S$  if it satisfies the conditions:
  - (a)  $w$  is a lower bound of  $S$ , and
  - (b) If  $t$  is any lower bound of  $S$ , then  $t \leq w$ .

**Theorem 1.** [1] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets of real numbers. Then the following statements are true:

- (i) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\text{inf}(A) \geq \text{inf}(B)$  and  $\text{sup}(A) \leq \text{sup}(B)$ .
- (ii) If  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{inf}(A) \leq \text{sup}(B)$ .
- (iii) If  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty sets, then
  - (i)  $\text{inf}(A + B) = \text{inf}(A) + \text{inf}(B)$

$$(ii) \sup(A + B) = \sup(A) + \sup(B).$$

(iv) If  $A$  is a nonempty set and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant, then

$$\inf(cA) = \begin{cases} \inf A, & \text{if } c \geq 0 \\ c\sup A, & \text{if } c < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sup(cA) = \begin{cases} c\sup A, & \text{if } c \geq 0 \\ \inf A, & \text{if } c < 0. \end{cases}$$

(v) If  $A$  is a nonempty set and  $c$  is a constant, then  $\inf(c - A) = c - \sup(A)$ .

(vi) If  $A$  is a nonempty set and  $c$  is a constant, then  $\sup(c - A) = c - \inf(A)$ .

**Definition 3.** [6] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $I$  be the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . A fuzzy set  $A$  of  $X$  is characterized by the membership function,

$$\varphi_A : X \rightarrow I$$

and  $\varphi_A(x)$  is interpreted as a degree of membership of an element  $x$  in fuzzy set  $A$  for each  $x \in X$ .

For convenience, we do not distinguish between a fuzzy set  $A$  and its membership function  $\varphi_A$ , and if  $X$  is countable, we shall denote a fuzzy set  $A$  by the notation  $A(x) = \{(x_1, a_1), (x_2, a_2), (x_3, a_3), \dots, (x_n, a_n)\}$ .

The family of all fuzzy sets in  $X$  is  $\Gamma$ , consisting of all the mapping from  $X$  to  $[0, 1]$ .

**Example 1.** For any  $A \subseteq X$  with its characteristic function  $\varphi_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$\varphi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 1, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi_A$  is a fuzzy set of  $X$ . Characteristic functions are fuzzy sets in  $X$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq 10 \\ \frac{x-10}{30}, & \text{if } 10 < x < 100 \\ 1, & \text{if } x \geq 100 \end{cases}$$

Then  $B$  is a fuzzy set of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 4.** [6] The function  $\tilde{O} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as  $\tilde{O}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\tilde{I} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as  $\tilde{I}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$  are called zero function and one function, respectively.

**Definition 5.** [3] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be fuzzy sets in a nonempty space  $\Gamma$  with the grade of membership of  $x$  in  $A$  and  $B$  denoted by  $\varphi_A(x)$  and  $\varphi_B(x)$ , respectively. Then

(i)  $A = B$  if and only if  $\varphi_A(x) = \varphi_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

- (ii)  $A \leq B$  if and only if  $\varphi_A(x) \leq \varphi_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (iii)  $C = A \vee B$  if and only if  $\varphi_C(x) = \max[\varphi_A(x), \varphi_B(x)]$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (iv)  $D = A \wedge B$  if and only if  $\varphi_D(x) = \min[\varphi_A(x), \varphi_B(x)]$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be fuzzy sets defined as  $A = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.6)\}$  and  $B = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0.8), (c, 0.6)\}$ , respectively. Then  $A \leq B$ , since

$$A(a) = 0.1 \leq 0.5 = B(a)$$

$$A(b) = 0.3 \leq 0.8 = B(b)$$

$$A(c) = 0.6 \leq 0.6 = B(c).$$

**Definition 6.** [3] The complement of a fuzzy set  $A$  is  $\tilde{I} - A$  and is defined by  $(\tilde{I} - A)(x) = \tilde{I}(x) - A(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and a function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Suppose  $A$  is a fuzzy set defined as  $A = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.6)\}$ , then

$$(\tilde{I} - A)(a) = 1 - 0.1 = 0.9$$

$$(\tilde{I} - A)(b) = 1 - 0.3 = 0.7$$

$$(\tilde{I} - A)(c) = 1 - 0.6 = 0.4$$

Thus,  $\tilde{I} - A = \{(a, 0.9), (b, 0.7), (c, 0.4)\}$ .

**Definition 7.** [6] For any index set  $I$ , the union of the fuzzy sets  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ , for an index set  $I$  is defined as

$$\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i\right)(x) = \sup\{A_i(x) : i \in I\}$$

**Definition 8.** [6] For any index set  $I$ , the intersection of the fuzzy sets  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ , for an index set  $I$  is defined as follows

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i\right)(x) = \inf\{A_i(x) : i \in I\}.$$

**Remark 1.** If the index set  $I$  is finite, these suprema and infima are actually maxima and minima, respectively.

**Example 5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ . Suppose  $A_i \in \Gamma X$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , where

$$A_1 = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.08), (d, 0.5)\}$$

$$A_2 = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.5), (c, 1), (d, 0.7)\}$$

$$A_3 = \{(a, 0.2), (b, 0.8), (c, 0.5), (d, 0.2)\}.$$

Let  $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then the union and the intersection of  $A_i$  are

$$\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i\right)(x) = \max\{A_i(x) : i \in I\} = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.8), (c, 1), (d, 0.7)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i\right)(x) = \min\{A_i(x) : i \in I\} = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.5), (d, 0.2)\},$$

respectively.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{A_i(x), i \in I\} \subseteq \Gamma$ ,  $\{B_i(x), i \in I\} \subseteq \Gamma$ , and  $B \in \Gamma$ . Then the following hold:

- (i) If  $A_i \leq B$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\sup\{A_i(x) : i \in I\} \leq B$ .
- (ii) If  $A_i \geq B$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\inf\{A_i(x) : i \in I\} \leq B$ .
- (iii) If  $A_i \leq B_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} B_i$ .

**Definition 9.** [4] Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A collection  $\mu \subseteq \Gamma$  is a fuzzy generalized topology (or FGT) in  $\Gamma$  if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (o<sub>1</sub>)  $\tilde{O} \in \mu$
- (o<sub>2</sub>) If  $\{A_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subseteq \mu$ , then  $\bigvee_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i \in \mu$ .

The pair  $(\Gamma, \mu)$  is called a fuzzy generalized topological space (or FGTS). The elements of  $\mu$  are called fuzzy  $\mu$ -open sets. A fuzzy set  $F$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed if  $\tilde{I} - F$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -open.

**Remark 2.** If  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is a collection of fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed sets, then  $\bigwedge\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is also fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed.

**Example 6.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $A, B, C$  be fuzzy sets in  $\Gamma$ , where

$$A = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.6), (d, 1)\},$$

$$B = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.8), (d, 1)\},$$

$$C = \{(a, 0.6), (b, 0.7), (c, 0.2), (d, 0.5)\}.$$

The collection  $\mu_1 = \{A, C\}$  is not a fuzzy generalized topology because the zero function  $\tilde{O} \notin \mu_1$ . On the other hand, the collection  $\mu_2 = \{\tilde{O}, A, B, A \vee B\}$  is a fuzzy generalized topology.

To see this,

- (i)  $\tilde{O} \in \mu_2$
- (ii) Note that for any fuzzy set  $G \in \mu_2$ , we have  $G \vee \tilde{O} = G$  and  $G \vee G = G$ .

Now, for any fuzzy sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mu_2$  we have,

$$(A \vee B)(a) = \max\{A(a), B(a)\} = \max\{0.1, 0.4\} = 0.4$$

$$(A \vee B)(b) = \max\{A(b), B(b)\} = \max\{0.3, 0.3\} = 0.3$$

$$(A \vee B)(c) = \max\{A(c), B(c)\} = \max\{0.6, 0.8\} = 0.8$$

$$(A \vee B)(d) = \max\{A(d), B(d)\} = \max\{1, 1\} = 1.$$

So,  $A \vee B = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.8), (d, 1)\} = B \in \mu_2$ . Thus,  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy generalized topology. Considering the fuzzy set  $C$ , the  $\text{int}_{\mu_2}(C) = B$  and the  $\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(C) = \tilde{I}$ .

**Remark 3.** If  $\{A_i(x), i \in I\}$  is a collection of fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed sets, then  $\bigvee\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is also fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed.

**Definition 10.** [3] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy generalized topology (FGT). The interior of a fuzzy set  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A)$  is defined as

$$\text{int}_{\mu}(A) = \bigvee\{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\}$$

**Definition 11.** [3] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy generalized topology (FGT) in  $\Gamma$ . The closure of a fuzzy set  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{cl}_{\mu}(A)$  is defined as

$$\text{cl}_{\mu}(A) = \bigwedge\{F : A \leq F \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\}$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mu$  be a FGT in  $\Gamma$ ,  $A \in \Gamma$ . Then

- (i)  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) \leq A$
- (ii)  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A)$  is the largest  $\mu$ -open subset of  $A$ .
- (iii)  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) = A$  if and only if  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -open
- (iv)  $\text{int}_{\mu}(\text{int}_{\mu}(A)) = \text{int}_{\mu}(A)$

*Proof.*

- (i) By Theorem 2(ii),  $\text{sup}\{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\} \leq A$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}_{\mu}(A) &= \bigvee\{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\} \\ &= \text{sup}\{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\} \\ &\leq A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) \leq A$ .

- (ii) Since  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) = \bigvee\{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\}$ . Then  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A)$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -open.

Suppose  $B$  is a fuzzy  $\mu$ -open set such that  $B \leq A$ . Then

$$B \in \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\}.$$

By Theorem 3(i),  $B \leq \text{int}_{\mu}(A)$ . Hence,  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A)$  is the largest fuzzy  $\mu$ -open subset of  $A$ .

- (iii) Let  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) = A$ . Since  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) = \bigvee\{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\}$ , then  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A)$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -open. Thus,  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -open.

Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -open. By Theorem 3(i),  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) \leq A$ . Since  $A \leq A$  and  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -open,  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu}(A)$ . Consequently,  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) = A$ .

- (iv) By Theorem 3(i)  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) \leq A$ . It follows that  $\text{int}_{\mu}(\text{int}_{\mu}(A)) \leq \text{int}_{\mu}(A)$ .

By Theorem 3(ii),  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A)$  is the largest  $\mu$ -open subset  $A$ . Accordingly,  $\text{int}_{\mu}(A) \leq \text{int}_{\mu}(\text{int}_{\mu}(A))$ . Hence  $\text{int}_{\mu}(\text{int}_{\mu}(A)) = \text{int}_{\mu}(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mu$  be a GFT, and  $A \leq \Gamma$ . Then

- (i)  $A \leq cl_\mu(A)$
- (ii)  $cl_\mu(A) = A$  if and only if  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed.

*Proof.*

- (i) By Theorem 2(ii),  $inf\{F : A \leq F \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\} \geq A$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} cl_\mu(A) &= \bigwedge\{F : A \leq F \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\} \\ &= inf\{F : A \leq F \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$A \leq cl_\mu(A).$$

- (ii) Let  $cl_\mu(A) = A$ . Since  $cl_\mu(A) = \bigwedge\{F : A \leq F \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\}$ , then by Remark 3,  $cl_\mu(A)$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed. Thus,  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed.

Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed. By (i),  $A \leq cl_\mu(A)$ . Since  $A \leq A$  and  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu$ -closed,  $cl_\mu(A) \leq A$ . Thus,  $cl_\mu(A) = A$ .

**Theorem 5.** [3] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be fuzzy sets of  $\Gamma$  such that  $A \leq B$ . Then the following hold:

- (i.)  $int_\mu(A) \leq int_\mu(B)$
- (ii.)  $cl_\mu(A) \leq cl_\mu(B)$ .

**Theorem 6.** [3] Let  $\{A_i(x), i \in I\} \subseteq \Gamma$ . Then the following hold:

- (i.)  $\bigvee_{i \in I} int(A_i) \leq int(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i)$
- (ii.)  $\bigvee_{i \in I} cl(A_i) \leq cl(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i)$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy generalized topology. Then  $\tilde{I} - int_\mu(A) = cl_\mu(\tilde{I} - A)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{I} - int_\mu(A))(x) &= \tilde{I} - (int_\mu(A))(x) \\ &= \tilde{I} - sup\{O(x) : O(x) \leq A(x) \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\} \\ &= inf\{1 - O(x) : O(x) \leq A(x) \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-open}\}, \quad (\text{Thm. 2.2.3 (v)}) \\ &= inf\{\tilde{I}(x) - O(x) : 1 - A(x) \leq 1 - O(x), \tilde{I} - O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\} \\ &= inf\{(\tilde{I} - O)(x) : 1 - A(x) \leq 1 - O(x), \tilde{I} - O \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\} \\ &= inf\{F(x) : \tilde{I}(x) - A(x) \leq F(x) \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\} \\ &= \bigvee\{F(x) : 1 - A(x) \leq F(x) \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } \mu\text{-closed}\} \\ &= cl_\mu(\tilde{I} - A(x)). \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy generalized topology. Then  $\tilde{I} - cl_{\mu}(A) = int_{\mu}(\tilde{I} - A)$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

This chapter shows results concerning fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.

**3.1. Fuzzy  $(\mu, \mu_n)$ -Semiopen.** In this section we define fuzzy  $(\mu, \mu_n)$ -semiopen set in bigeneralized topological spaces, establish characterization and show that the collection of the fuzzy  $(\mu, \mu_n)$ -semiopen sets in FGTS is a fuzzy generalized topology.

**Definition 12.** [5] Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be fuzzy generalized topologies in  $\Gamma$ , then  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is called fuzzy bigeneralized topological space.

**Definition 13.** [2] A fuzzy set  $A$  in a fuzzy bitopological space  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is called a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen set if  $A \leq cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(A))$ .

**Example 7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and fuzzy sets  $A, B$ , and  $C$  be defined as

$$A = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.7)\}$$

$$B = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.1), (c, 0.6)\}$$

$$C = \{(a, 0), (b, 0.1), (c, 0.2)\}.$$

Then, the complements of the fuzzy sets  $A, B, C$  are

$$(\tilde{I} - A) = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0.7), (c, 0.3)\},$$

$$(\tilde{I} - B) = \{(a, 0.6), (b, 0.9), (c, 0.4)\}, \text{ and}$$

$$(\tilde{I} - C) = \{(a, 1), (b, 0.9), (c, 0.8)\},$$

respectively. Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be topologies in  $\Gamma$ , where  $\mu_1 = \{\tilde{O}, A, C\}$ ,  $\mu_2 = \{\tilde{O}, B, C, \tilde{I}\}$ . A fuzzy set  $S$  in  $\Gamma$  defined as  $S = \{(a, 0), (b, 0.2), (c, 0.3)\}$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen. Since  $int_{\mu_2}(S) = C$  and  $S \leq cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(S)) = cl_{\mu_1}(C) = \{(a, 1), (b, 0.9), (c, 0.8)\}$ .

**Theorem 8.** The collection of fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen sets is a fuzzy generalized topology.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Pi$  is a collection of fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen sets. Note that,

$$\tilde{O} \leq (cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(\tilde{O}))).$$

Hence,  $\tilde{O} \in \Pi$ . Now, let  $A_i, i \in I$  be fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{i \in I} A_i &\leq \bigvee_{i \in I} cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(A_i)) \\ &\leq cl_{\mu_1} \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} (int_{\mu_2} A_i) \right), \quad (\text{Theorem 6(i)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq cl_{\mu_1} \left( \text{int}_{\mu_2} \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \right) \right), \quad (\text{Theorem 6(ii)}).$$

Thus,  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \in \Pi$ . Therefore, the collection of fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen sets is an FGT.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be a FGTS. A fuzzy set  $A$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen if and only if there exists a  $\mu_2$ -open set  $B$  such that  $B \leq A \leq cl_{\mu_1}(B)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen set. By definition,  $A \leq cl_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Let  $B = \text{int}_{\mu_2}(A)$ . Hence,  $B$  is  $\mu_2$ -open and  $\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A) \leq cl_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Thus,

$$B \leq A \leq cl_{\mu_1}(B).$$

Suppose there exists a  $\mu_2$ -open set  $B$  such that  $B \leq A \leq cl_{\mu_1}(B)$ . Since  $B$  is  $\mu_2$ -open,  $B = \text{int}_{\mu_2}(A)$ . Then  $\text{int}_{\mu_2}(B) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_2}(A)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} cl_{\mu_1}(B) &\leq cl_{\mu_1}(A) \\ &\leq cl_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(B)) \\ &= cl_{\mu_1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $cl_{\mu_1}(B) = cl_{\mu_1}(A) = cl_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(B))$ . Since  $B \leq A$ , then by Theorem 5,  $cl_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(B)) \leq cl_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Thus,  $A \leq cl_{\mu_1}(B) = cl_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(B)) \leq cl_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Therefore,  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen.

**3.2. Fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -Preopen.** In this section we define fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen set in bigeneralized topological spaces, established characterization and that the collection of the fuzzy preopen sets in FGTS is a fuzzy generalized topology.

**Definition 14.** [2] A fuzzy set  $A$  in a fuzzy bitopological space  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  is called fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen set if  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(A))$ .

**Example 8.** Consider the fuzzy bigeneralized topological space  $(X, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  and the fuzzy sets  $A, B$ , and  $C$  in Example 3.1.3. A fuzzy set  $T$  defined as  $T = \{(a, 0.3), (b, 0), (c, 0.5)\}$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen. Since  $cl_{\mu_2}(T) = \tilde{I} - C$  and  $S \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(T)) = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\tilde{I} - C) = A$ .

**Theorem 10.** The collection of fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen sets is a fuzzy generalized topology.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Sigma$  is a collection of fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen sets. Note that  $\tilde{O} \leq (\text{int}_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(\tilde{O})))$ . Hence,  $\tilde{O} \in \Sigma$ . Let  $A_i$  be a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen, for every  $i \in I$ . Then  $A_i \leq (\text{int}_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(A_i))), \forall i \in I$ . Thus,

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \text{int}_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(A_i))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \text{int}_{\mu_1} \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} (\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A_i)) \right), \quad (\text{Theorem 6(i)}) \\ &\leq \text{int}_{\mu_1} \left( \text{cl}_{\mu_2} \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \right) \right), \quad (\text{Theorem 6(ii)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \in \Sigma$ . Therefore, the collection of fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen sets is an FGT.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be a bigeneralized topological space. A fuzzy set  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen if and only if  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(F)$ , whenever  $A \leq F$  and  $F$  is a fuzzy  $\mu_2$ -closed set.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen set and  $F$  is fuzzy  $\mu_2$ -closed set such that  $A \leq F$ . Then,  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A))$  and  $\text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A)) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(F))$ . Since  $F$  is fuzzy  $\mu_2$ -closed,  $\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(F) = F$ . Thus,  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A)) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(F)) = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(F)$ .

Suppose  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(F)$ , whenever  $A \leq F$  and  $F$  is  $\mu_2$ -closed set. Since  $A \leq (\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A))$  and  $\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A)$  is fuzzy  $\mu_2$ -closed,  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Thus,  $A$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen.

**Definition 15.** Let  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be a bigeneralized topological space,  $A \in \Gamma$ . Then  $A$  is called a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -open if  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A))$ .

**Theorem 12.** If  $A$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -open, then  $A$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -open. Then  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Since  $\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A) \leq \text{cl}_{\mu_1}(A)$ ,  $\text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A)) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Thus,  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Hence,  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen.

**3.3. Fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.** A new class of fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set is defined in this section wherein three generalized topologies are considered.

**Definition 16.** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ , and  $\mu_3$  be fuzzy generalized topologies in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  is called a fuzzy trigeneralized topological space.

**Definition 17.** Let  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  be a fuzzy generalized topological space and  $A \in \Gamma$ .  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ -open if  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ .

**Definition 18.** Let  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  be a fuzzy generalized topological space and  $A \in \Gamma$ . Then  $A$  is called a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open if  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ , and  $A$  is called a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -closed if  $\tilde{I} - A$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

**Example 9.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $A, B, C \in \Gamma$  defined as follows:

$$A = \{(a, 0.2), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.6), (d, 0.4)\}$$

$$B = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.6), (c, 0.7), (d, 1)\}$$

$$C = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.8), (c, 0.8), (d, 1)\}.$$

Suppose also that  $\mu_1 = \{\tilde{O}, A, B\}$ ,  $\mu_2 = \{\tilde{O}, A, C\}$ , and  $\mu_3 = \{\tilde{O}, A, B, C\}$ . The fuzzy complements of  $\tilde{O}$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are:  $\tilde{I}$  and

$$\tilde{I} - A = \{(a, 0.8), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4), (d, 0.6)\}$$

$$\tilde{I} - B = \{(a, 0.6), (b, 0.4), (c, 0.3), (d, 0)\}$$

$$\tilde{I} - C = \{(a, 0.6), (b, 0.2), (c, 0.2), (d, 0)\},$$

respectively. The fuzzy sets  $\tilde{O}$  and  $A$  are fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

To see if the fuzzy sets  $B$  and  $C$  are  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. We have, (i) since  $B$  is fuzzy  $\mu_3$ -open, thus  $int_{\mu_3}(B) = B$ . (ii) Now, the closure of the  $int_{\mu_3}(B) = B$  with respect to  $\mu_2$ , that is  $cl_{\mu_2}(B) = \tilde{I}$ . (iii) Lastly, the interior of  $int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(int_{\mu_3}(B))) = int_{\mu_1}(\tilde{I})$  with respect to  $\mu_1$  is  $B$ . So,  $B \leq int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(int_{\mu_3}(B))) = B$ . Thus,  $B$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

Considering the fuzzy set  $C$ , we have  $int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(int_{\mu_3}(C))) = B$ . Since

$$C \not\leq int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(int_{\mu_3}(C))) = B.$$

Thus,  $C$  is not fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  be a fuzzy generalized topological space and  $A \in \Gamma$ . Then  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -closed set if and only if  $cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A))) \leq A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -closed. Then  $\tilde{I} - A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. Consequently,  $\tilde{I} - A \leq int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(int_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Now,

$$int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(int_{\mu_3}(A))) = int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(\tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_3}(A))), \quad (\text{Corollary 1})$$

$$= int_{\mu_1}(\tilde{I} - int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A))), \quad (\text{Theorem 7})$$

$$= int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(\tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_3}(A))), \quad (\text{Corollary 1})$$

$$= \tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A))).$$

So,  $\tilde{I} - A \leq \tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . In effect,  $cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A))) \leq A$ .

Suppose  $cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A))) \leq A$ . Then,  $\tilde{I} - A \leq \tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Now,

$$\tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A))) = int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(\tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_3}(A))), \quad (\text{Corollary 1})$$

$$= int_{\mu_1}(\tilde{I} - int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A))), \quad (\text{Theorem 7})$$

$$= int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(\tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_3}(A))), \quad (\text{Corollary 1})$$

$$= int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(int_{\mu_3}(A))).$$

So,  $\tilde{I} - A \leq \tilde{I} - cl_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_2}(cl_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Hence,  $\tilde{I} - A$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. Consequently,  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -closed.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  be a fuzzy trigenerated topological space and  $A \in \Gamma$ . Then  $A$  is fuzzy  $u_i$ -open, for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$  if and only if  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ -open.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $u_i$ -open, for every  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then

$$\text{int}_{\mu_1}(A) = \text{int}_{\mu_2}(A) = \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A).$$

Thus,  $\text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))) = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A)) = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(A) = A$ . Hence,  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ -open.

Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ -open. Note that  $\text{int}_{\mu_1}(A) \leq A$ ,  $\text{int}_{\mu_2}(A) \leq A$ , and  $\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A) \leq A$ . Then,  $\text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))) = A$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))) \\ &\leq \text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)) \\ &\leq \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A) \\ &\leq A. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A) = \text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)) = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Thus,

$$A = \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A) = \text{int}_{\mu_2}(A) = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(A).$$

Hence,  $A$  is fuzzy  $u_i$ -open, for all  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Theorem 15.** Let  $A \in \Gamma$ . If  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ -open, then  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_3)$ -open.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ -open. Let  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Note that,  $\text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)$ . Thus,  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))$ . Therefore  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_3)$ -open.

**Remark 4.** The converse of Theorem 15 is not true in general. Consider the example given below.

**Theorem 16.** If  $A$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_3)$ -open set, then  $A$  is  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_3)$ -open set. Then  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))$ . Note that  $\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A) \leq \text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))$ . Thus,  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ .

The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 15 and Theorem 16.

**Corollary 2.** If  $A$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ -open, then  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

**Remark 5.** The converse is not always true. Consider the next example.

**Example 10.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and fuzzy sets  $A, B,$  and  $C$  defined as

$$A = \{(a, 0.1), (b, 0.4), (c, 0.3)\},$$

$$B = \{(a, 0.4), (b, 0.7), (c, 0.3)\},$$

$$C = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0.1), (c, 0.8)\}.$$

Let  $\mu_1 = \{\tilde{O}, A, \tilde{I}\}, \mu_2 = \{\tilde{O}, A, B, C\}, \mu_3 = \{\tilde{O}, A, C\}$ . Consider the fuzzy set  $S$  defined as  $S = \{(a, 0.5), (b, 0.3), (c, 0.1)\}$ . Note that  $S$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. However, the  $int_{\mu_1}(int_{\mu_3}(S)) = A$ . Thus,  $S$  is not a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_3)$ -open set.

**Definition 19.** The interior of a fuzzy set  $A$  in a fuzzy tri-generalized topological space  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  denoted by  $int_{\alpha}(A)$  is defined by

$$int_{\alpha}(A) = \bigvee \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\}.$$

**Definition 20.** The closure of a fuzzy set  $A$  in a fuzzy tri-generalized topological space  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  denoted by  $cl_{\alpha}(A)$  is defined by

$$cl_{\alpha}(A) = \bigwedge \{F : A \leq F \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-closed}\}.$$

**Theorem 17.** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  be fuzzy generalized topologies. Suppose also that  $A$  is a fuzzy set in  $\Gamma$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (i.)  $int_{\alpha}(A) \leq A$
- (ii.)  $int_{\alpha}(A)$  is the largest fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open less than  $A$
- (iii.)  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open if and only if  $A = int_{\alpha}(A)$ .

*Proof.*

- (i) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} int_{\alpha}(A) &= \bigvee \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\} \\ &= \sup \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\} \\ &\leq A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $int_{\alpha}(A) \leq A$ .

- (ii) Since  $int_{\alpha}(A) = \bigvee \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\}$ . Then  $int_{\alpha}(A)$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. Suppose  $B$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open set  $B \leq A$ . Then  $B \in \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\}$ . By Theorem 17(i),  $B \leq int_{\alpha}(A)$ . Thus,  $int_{\alpha}(A)$  is the largest fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.

- (iii) Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. By Theorem 17(i),  $int_\alpha(A) \leq A$ . Since  $A \leq A$  and  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open, then  $A \leq int_\alpha(A)$ . Consequently,  $A = int_\alpha(A)$ .

Suppose  $A = int_\alpha(A)$ , then

$$int_\alpha(A) = \bigvee \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\}.$$

Thus  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

**Theorem 18.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  be generalized fuzzy topologies in  $\Gamma$ . Suppose also that  $A$  and  $B$  are fuzzy sets in  $\Gamma$ . Then

- (i) If  $A \leq B$ , then  $int_\alpha(A) \leq int_\alpha(B)$   
(ii) If  $A \leq B$ , then  $cl_\alpha(A) \leq cl_\alpha(B)$

*Proof.*

- (i) Let  $A, B$  be fuzzy sets satisfying  $A \leq B$ . Note that

$$int_\alpha(A) = \bigvee \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\};$$

$$int_\alpha(B) = \bigvee \{O : O \leq B \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\}.$$

Also, let

$$Z_1 = \{O : O \leq A \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\};$$

$$Z_2 = \{O : O \leq B \text{ and } O \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open}\}.$$

Since  $A \leq B$ ,  $O \in Z_1$  implies  $O \in Z_2$ . Thus,  $Z_1 \subseteq Z_2$ . This implies  $sup(Z_1) \leq sup(Z_2)$ .

Therefore  $int_\alpha(A) \leq int_\alpha(B)$ .

- (ii) Let  $A, B$  be fuzzy sets satisfying  $A \leq B$ . Note that

$$cl_\alpha(A) = \bigwedge \{F : A \leq F \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-closed}\};$$

$$cl_\alpha(B) = \bigwedge \{F : B \leq F \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-closed}\}.$$

Also, let

$$Z_1 = \{F : F \geq A \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-closed}\};$$

$$Z_2 = \{F : F \geq B \text{ and } F \text{ is fuzzy } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\text{-}\alpha\text{-closed}\}.$$

Since  $A \leq B$ , then  $Z_1 \subseteq Z_2$ . This implies that  $inf(Z_1) \leq inf(Z_2)$ . Therefore,  $cl_\alpha(A) \leq cl_\alpha(B)$ .

**Theorem 19.** The collection of all fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets is a fuzzy generalized topology.

*Proof.*

- (o<sub>1</sub>) Since  $\tilde{O} \leq int_{\mu_1}(cl_{\mu_2}(int_{\mu_3}(\tilde{O})))$ . Then  $\tilde{O}$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

( $o_2$ ) Suppose that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. Then

$$A_i \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A_i))).$$

Thus,  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A_i)))$ .

Now, by Theorem () we have,

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &\leq \bigvee_{i \in I} A_i \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A_i))) \\ &\leq \text{int}_{\mu_1} \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} \text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A_i)) \right) \\ &\leq \text{int}_{\mu_1} \left( \text{cl}_{\mu_2} \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A_i) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. Therefore, the collection of all fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets is a fuzzy generalized topology.

**Theorem 20.** Let  $(\Gamma, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  be a fuzzy tri-generalized topological space and  $A$  be a fuzzy set in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $A$  is a fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open if and only if there exists a fuzzy  $\mu_3$ -open set  $B$  such that  $B \leq A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(B))$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open. Then  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Let  $B = \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)$ . By Theorem 2.2.19(ii),  $B$  is a fuzzy  $\mu_3$ -open set. Furthermore,

$$B = \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A) \leq A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))) = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(B)).$$

Suppose there exists a fuzzy  $\mu_3$ -open set  $B$  such that  $B \leq A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(B))$ . Then,  $\text{int}_{\mu_3}(B) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)$ . Consequently,  $B \leq \text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)$ . Thus,

$$A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(B)) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))).$$

Therefore,  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

**Remark 6.** The converse of Theorem 20 is not necessarily true. Consider the example given below.

**Theorem 21.** If  $A$  is a fuzzy  $\mu_1$ -open and  $\mu_3$ -open set, then  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open.

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu_1$ -open, then  $\text{int}_{\mu_1}(A) = A$ . Hence  $A = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(A) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A))$ . Since  $A$  is fuzzy  $\mu_3$ -open, then  $\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A) = A$ . Thus,  $\text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A)) = \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Consequently,  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))$ . Therefore,  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.

**Theorem 22.** A fuzzy set  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open if and only if  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen and fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open set. Then,

$$A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))) \leq \text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))$$

and

$$A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))) \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A)).$$

Thus,  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen and fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen.

Suppose  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen and fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen. Then  $A \leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A))$  and  $A \leq \text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(A)) \\ &\leq \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A)))) \\ &= \text{int}_{\mu_1}(\text{cl}_{\mu_2}(\text{int}_{\mu_3}(A))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $A$  is fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open set.

The theorem above is illustrated using the Venn diagram as shown below.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This paper established a new class of fuzzy open sets, called fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets, in fuzzy trigeneralized topological spaces. The definition was formulated through the interaction of interior and closure operators associated with the three fuzzy topologies, allowing a deeper structural analysis of generalized fuzzy openness.

Several characterizations of fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets were derived, providing necessary and sufficient conditions that clarify their position among existing fuzzy open sets. In particular, the relationships between fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets, fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -preopen sets, and fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ -semiopen sets

The study also demonstrated that several known classes of fuzzy open sets arise as special cases of the proposed concept, confirming that fuzzy  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ - $\alpha$ -open sets form a proper generalization within the framework of fuzzy trigeneralized topological spaces. These results strengthen the theoretical foundation of fuzzy topology and provide tools for further exploration of related notions such as fuzzy continuity.

**Authors' Contributions.** Airish Pelemeniano-Jumonong developed the main theoretical framework, and carried out the mathematical analysis and proofs. The study was conceived by Dr. Rolando N. Paluga, as the thesis adviser, provided academic supervision, guided the development of the study, validated the results, and offered critical comments that improved the clarity, rigor, and organization of the manuscript. Both authors reviewed and approved the final version of the paper.

**Conflicts of Interest.** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.G. Bartle, D.R. Sherbert, Introduction to Real Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [2] A.S. Bin Shahna, On Fuzzy Strong Semicontinuity and Fuzzy Precontinuity, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 44 (1991), 303–308. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(91\)90013-g](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(91)90013-g).
- [3] C. Chang, Fuzzy Topological Spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182–190. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(68\)90057-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(68)90057-7).
- [4] G.P. Chetty, Generalized Fuzzy Topology, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 1 (2008), 91–96.
- [5] H.I. Qays, Semi- $\alpha$ -Connectedness in Bitopological Spaces, J. AL-Qadisiyah Comput. Sci. Math. 2012 (2012), 135–145.
- [6] L. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inf. Control. 8 (1965), 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958\(65\)90241-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958(65)90241-x).