

TRAIN ALGEBRAS OF DEGREE 2 AND EXPONENT 4 THAT ARE PRINCIPAL TRAIN OF RANK 4

WENDIN-GOUDI ACHILE ZANGRE¹, SIDMANAGDÉ EMILE OUEDRAOGO^{2,*}, PAUL BEREMWIDOU², ANDRÉ CONSEIBO²

¹Ecole Polytechnique de Ouagadougou, 18 BP 234, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

²Département de Mathématiques, Université Norbert ZONGO, BP 376 Koudougou, Burkina Faso

*Corresponding author: andreconsebo@yahoo.fr

Received Mar. 9, 2026

ABSTRACT. The structure of train algebras of degree 2 and exponent 4 have been studied in [8]. The objective of this paper is to describe the structure of the algebras of this class which are principal train of rank 4.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17A30; 17A36.

Key words and phrases: Train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4, power-associative algebra, idempotent, Peirce decomposition, principal train algebra, classification.

1. INTRODUCTION

Principal train algebras were introduced by Etherington around 1939 in the dynamics of population genetic modeling using algebraic structures. Train algebras of degree 2 and exponent $n \geq 3$, i.e baric algebras satisfying the ω -polynomial identity $(x^n)^2 - \omega(x)^n x^n = 0$ have been partially studied in [3]. The case $n = 4$ has been investigated in [8]. The purpose of this paper is to study the structure of a subclass of algebras satisfying a polynomial identity $(x^4)^2 - \omega(x)^4 x^4$ that are principal train algebras of rank 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. A commutative algebra A over a field K is said to be:

- i) power-associative if $x^i x^j = x^{i+j}$ for any x in A and for all integer $i, j \geq 1$;
- ii) Jordan if $(x^2 y)x - x^2(yx) = 0$, for all $x, y \in A$;
- iii) baric if it exists $\omega : A \rightarrow K$ a nonzero morphism of algebras;

It should be noted that every Jordan algebra is power-associative ([6]).

Definition 2.2. Let (A, ω) be a baric algebra over a field K . The algebra A is a principal train algebra of rank $n \geq 2$, if there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in K$ such that $x^n + \alpha_1 \omega(x)x^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} \omega(x)x = 0, \forall x \in A$, where n is such a smallest integer.

In this paper, A is a finite-dimensional train $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4, i.e a commutative not necessarily associative algebra satisfying the ω -polynomial identity $(x^4)^2 - \omega(x)^4 x^4 = 0$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers, $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an nonzero morphism of algebras. The authors in [3], showed that A admits a nonzero idempotent. In this section, we give some results on the structure of train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4.

Proposition 2.1. ([8, Proposition 2.2]) The algebra A has a Peirce decomposition as follows: $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_\lambda \oplus A_{\bar{\lambda}}$, where $A_\alpha = \{y \in \ker(\omega) / ey = \alpha y\}$, with $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \lambda = -\frac{1+i\sqrt{7}}{4}, \bar{\lambda} = -\frac{1-i\sqrt{7}}{4}\}$.

The products of the Peirce components are given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. ([8, Theorem 2.5])

- i) $A_0^2 \subset A_0 \oplus A_{1/2}$;
- ii) $A_{1/2}^2 \subset A_0 \oplus A_\lambda \oplus A_{\bar{\lambda}}$;
- iii) $A_\lambda^2 \subset A_{1/2}$;
- iv) $A_{\bar{\lambda}}^2 \subset A_{1/2}$;
- vi) $A_{1/2}A_0 \subset A_{1/2} \oplus A_\lambda \oplus A_{\bar{\lambda}}$;
- vii) $A_0A_\lambda \subset A_{1/2}$;
- viii) $A_0A_{\bar{\lambda}} \subset A_{1/2}$;
- ix) $A_{1/2}A_\lambda \subset A_{1/2} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_{\bar{\lambda}}$;
- x) $A_{1/2}A_{\bar{\lambda}} \subset A_{1/2} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_\lambda$;
- xi) $A_\lambda A_{\bar{\lambda}} \subset A_{1/2}$.

In [8], the authors showed that the dimensions of Peirce components are independent of the choice of the idempotent in the Peirce decomposition of A and give the following definition.

Definition 2.3. Let $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_\lambda \oplus A_{\bar{\lambda}}$ be a Peirce decomposition of A . The type of A is defined by $(1+r, s, t_1, t_2)$ where r, s, t_1, t_2 denote respectively the dimensions of the vector spaces $A_{1/2}, A_0, A_\lambda, A_{\bar{\lambda}}$ and $1+r+s+t_1+t_2$ is the dimension of A (see [8]).

Proposition 2.2. A train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 is a principal train algebra of rank 4 if and only if its train equation is one of the following forms:

- i) $x^4 - (1+\alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$ (1), where $\alpha \in \{0, \lambda, \bar{\lambda}\}$;
- ii) $x^4 - \frac{3+2\alpha}{2}\omega(x)x^3 + \frac{1+3\alpha}{2}\omega(x)^2x^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\omega(x)^3x = 0$ (2), where $\alpha \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \lambda, \bar{\lambda}\}$;
- iii) $x^4 - (1+2\alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + (\alpha^2+2\alpha)\omega(x)^2x^2 - \alpha^2\omega(x)^3x = 0$ (3), where $\alpha \in \{\lambda, \bar{\lambda}\}$;

$$\text{iv) } x^4 - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x)x^3 - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x)^3x = 0 \quad (4).$$

Proof. Let $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_0 \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_\lambda \oplus A_{\bar{\lambda}}$, an algebra satisfying $(x^4)^2 = \omega(x)^4x^4$ that is principal train of rank 4. Then the train equation of A is of the form $x^4 - (1 + \alpha + \beta)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2x^2 + \beta\omega(x)^3x = 0$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. According to Zariski's topology, the set $H = \{x \in A, \omega(x) = 1\}$ is dense in A , so identity $x^4 - (1 + \alpha + \beta)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2x^2 + \beta\omega(x)^3x = 0$ for all $x \in A$ is equivalent to $x^4 - (1 + \alpha + \beta)x^3 + \alpha x^2 + \beta x = 0$ for all $x \in H$. Let $P(X) = X^4 - (1 + \alpha + \beta)X^3 + \alpha X^2 + \beta X = X(X - 1)(X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)$ be the train polynomial of A , where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2$ and $\beta = -\alpha_1\alpha_2$. We consider the following four cases:

1st Case: $\alpha_1 \neq \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_2 \neq \frac{1}{2}$

According to ([5], Theorem 5) and Proposition 2.1, A has a Peirce decomposition as follows $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_{\alpha_1} \oplus A_{\alpha_2}$ with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \{0, \lambda, \bar{\lambda}\}$.

- If $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \lambda$ then $\alpha = \lambda, \beta = 0$, and A satisfies the train equation $x^4 - (1 + \lambda)\omega(x)x^3 + \lambda\omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$;
- If $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \bar{\lambda}$ then $\alpha = \bar{\lambda}, \beta = 0$, and A satisfies the train equation $x^4 - (1 + \bar{\lambda})\omega(x)x^3 + \bar{\lambda}\omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$;
- If $\alpha_1 = \lambda$ and $\alpha_2 = \bar{\lambda}$ then $\alpha = 0, \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$, and A satisfies the train equation $x^4 - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x)x^3 - \frac{1}{2}\omega(x)^3x = 0$;

2nd Case: $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$

According to Theorem 1 from ([4]) and Proposition 2.1, A has the following decomposition $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_{\alpha_2}$ with $\alpha_2 \in \{0, \lambda, \bar{\lambda}\}$.

- If $\alpha_2 = 0$ then $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = 0$; thus A satisfies the train equation $x^4 - \frac{3}{2}\omega(x)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}\omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$;
- If $\alpha_2 = \lambda$ then $\alpha = \frac{1+3\lambda}{2}$ and $\beta = -\frac{\lambda}{2}$; thus A satisfies the train equation $x^4 - \frac{3+2\lambda}{2}\omega(x)x^3 + \frac{1+3\lambda}{2}\omega(x)^2x^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\omega(x)^3x = 0$;
- If $\alpha_2 = \bar{\lambda}$ then $\alpha = \frac{1+3\bar{\lambda}}{2}$ and $\beta = -\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2}$; thus A satisfies the train equation $x^4 - \frac{3+2\bar{\lambda}}{2}\omega(x)x^3 + \frac{1+3\bar{\lambda}}{2}\omega(x)^2x^2 - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2}\omega(x)^3x = 0$.

3rd Case: $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \neq \frac{1}{2}$

From Theorem 1 in ([4]) and Proposition 2.1, A has a nonzero idempotent and a Peirce decomposition $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus B$, where $B = \ker(\ell_e - \alpha_1 Id)^2$ and $\ell_e : \ker \omega \rightarrow \ker \omega, x \mapsto ex$. If $B = 0$ then A satisfies the train equation $x^2 = \omega(x)x$, which is a contradiction as A is of rank 4.

We then have the following possibilities:

- If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$, A satisfies $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$;
- If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \lambda$, then $\alpha = \lambda^2 + 2\lambda, \beta = -\lambda^2$, and A satisfies $x^4 - (1 + 2\lambda)\omega(x)x^3 + (\lambda^2 + 2\lambda)\omega(x)^2x^2 - \lambda^2\omega(x)^3x = 0$;

- If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \bar{\lambda}$, then $\alpha = \bar{\lambda}^2 + 2\bar{\lambda}$, $\beta = -\bar{\lambda}^2$, and A satisfies $x^4 - (1 + 2\bar{\lambda})\omega(x)x^3 + (\bar{\lambda}^2 + 2\bar{\lambda})\omega(x)^2x^2 - \bar{\lambda}^2\omega(x)^3x = 0$.

4th **Case:** $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}$

In this case, $\alpha = \frac{5}{4}$, $\beta = -\frac{1}{4}$, and A satisfies the train equation $x^4 - 2\omega(x)x^3 + \frac{5}{4}\omega(x)^2x^2 - \frac{1}{4}\omega(x)^3x = 0$. $\square$

3. POWER ASSOCIATIVITY

In [2], authors showed that the train equation of power-associative principal train algebra of rank 4 is of form $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$, $x^4 - 2\omega(x)x^3 + \omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$ or $x^4 - 3\omega(x)x^3 + 3\omega(x)^2x^2 - \omega(x)^3x = 0$. We show that in our case, the equation is necessarily $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$.

Corollary 3.1. *Any train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 is power-associative principal train algebra of rank 4 if and only if its train equation is $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, let A be a train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 which is power-associative. Then, A satisfies the train equation $x^8 - \omega(x)^4x^4 = 0$. By direct calculation, it can be shown that if A satisfies one of the other two equations then it satisfies a train equation of rank 3. $\square$

In [1], the authors show that such algebras are Jordan algebras because its satisfy the equation $(x^2)^2 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$.

However, there exist train algebras of rank 4 and train equation $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$ which are not power-associative as shown in the following example.

Example 3.1. [1] Let $(A = \langle e, a, b, c \rangle, \omega)$ where $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a morphism of algebras such that $\omega(e) = 1$, $\omega(a) = \omega(b) = \omega(c) = 0$, and A a commutative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with multiplication table given by: $e^2 = e$, $ea = \frac{1}{2}a$, $eb = c$, $b^2 = c$, non mentioned products being zero. By a simple calculation, we can observe that A satisfies $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$ but does not satisfy the identities $(x^2)^2 - x^4 = 0$ and $(x^4)^2 - \omega(x)^4x^4 = 0$.

In the rest of the paper our study will focus on the class of principal train algebras of equation (1).

4. STUDY OF EQUATION (1) FOR $\alpha = 0$

In this section, A is a train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 that is a principal train algebra of rank 4 with train equation $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$. A partial linearization of identity $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$ gives $x^3y + x(x^2y) + 2x(x(xy)) - \omega(y)x^3 - \omega(x)(x^2y + 2x(xy)) = 0$. Setting $x = e$ and $y \in \ker \omega$, we have $(2\ell_e^3 - \ell_e^2)(y) = 0$ where $\ell_e := L_e / \ker \omega$ and $L_e : A \rightarrow A$, $x \mapsto ex$. Therefore $2X^3 - X^2$ is the minimal annihilator polynomial of ℓ_e and A admits a following Peirce decomposition $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A'_0$ with $A_{1/2} = \{x \in \ker \omega, 2ex = x\}$ and $A'_0 = \{x \in \ker \omega, e(ex) = 0\}$

Using Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, we have $A'_0 = A_0 = \{x \in \ker \omega, ex = 0\}$ and the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *We have $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0$ and*

- i) $A_0^2 \subset A_0$;
- ii) $A_{1/2}^2 \subset A_0$;
- iii) $A_{1/2}A_0 \subset A_{1/2}$.

Proof. Indeed, if we consider Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, we have $A'_0 = A_0 = \{x \in \ker \omega, ex = 0\}$ and therefore $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0$. We have also that $A_0^2 \subset A_0 \oplus A_{1/2}$, $A_{1/2}^2 \subset A_0$ and $A_{1/2}A_0 \subset A_{1/2}$. Let $x = e + \beta x_0$ in A with $x_0 \in A_0$. The equality $0 = x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = -\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 ex_0^2 + \beta^3(ex_0^3 + x_0(ex_0^2) - x_0^3) + \beta^4 x_0^4$ implies that $ex_0^2 = 0$ and $A_0^2 \subset A_0$. $\square$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0$ be a Peirce decomposition of A , we have the following identities:*

- i) $2x_{1/2}(x_0x_{1/2}) - x_0x_{1/2}^2 = 0$;
- ii) $x_{1/2}(x_{1/2}(x_0x_{1/2})) = 0$;
- iii) $x_{1/2}^3 = 0$;
- iv) $(x_{1/2}^2)^2 = 0$;
- v) $(x_0^2)^2 = 0$;
- vi) $x_0^3 = 0$;
- vii) $x_0(x_0x_{1/2}) = 0$;
- viii) $x_{1/2}x_0^2 = 0$;
- ix) $x_0^2x_{1/2}^2 = 0$;
- x) $(x_0x_{1/2})^2 = 0$;
- xi) $x_0(x_{1/2}(x_0x_{1/2})) = 0$;
- xii) $x_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(x_0x_{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$;
- xiii) $x_0^2(x_{\frac{1}{2}}x_0) = 0$.

Proof. The proof is done by setting $x = e + \beta x_0 + \mu x_{1/2}$ respectively in identities $(x^4)^2 - \omega(x)^4 x^4 = 0$ and $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$. The identification of coefficients of $\mu^i \beta^j$, for $1 \leq i + j \leq 4$ in each identity. $\square$

A principal train algebra of rank 4 and equation $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$ that satisfies the identity $(x^4)^2 - \omega(x)^4 x^4 = 0$ is Jordan algebra, therefore it is power-associative as the following result indicates.

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be a train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 which is principal train of rank 4 and equation $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$, then A is Jordan.*

Proof. Let $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_0 \oplus A_{1/2}$ a Peirce decomposition of A . Let $x = e + x_0 + x_{1/2}$ be an element of A of weight 1, i.e such that $\omega(x) = 1$. Using identities of Lemma 4.1, we have $x^2 = e + x_{1/2} + x_{1/2}^2 + x_0^2 + 2x_0x_{1/2}$,

$x^3 = e + x_{1/2} + x_{1/2}^2 + 2x_0x_{1/2} + 2x_0x_{1/2}^2$ and $(x^2)^2 = e + x_{1/2} + x_{1/2}^2 + 2x_0x_{1/2} + 2x_0x_{1/2}^2$, so $(x^2)^2 = x^3$. According to Zariski's topology, the set of elements of A of weight 1 is dense in A , thus $(x^2)^2 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$, $\forall x \in A$. In [1], the authors show that a such algebra is Jordan algebra. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0$ be a Peirce decomposition of A . If $A_0^2 = 0$, then, for any $x \in A$, we have $x^3 - \omega(x)x^2 = 0$.*

Proof. Let $x = e + x_{1/2} + x_0$ in A . Suppose that $A_0^2 = 0$, according to Lemma 4.1, we have $x_{1/2}(x_0x_{1/2}) = 0$, $x_{1/2}^3 = 0$ and $x_0(x_0x_{1/2}) = 0$. Thus, $x^2 = e + x_{1/2} + x_{1/2}^2 + 2x_0x_{1/2}$, $x^2 - x = x_{1/2}^2 + 2x_0x_{1/2} - x_0$ and $x^3 - x^2 = 0$. $\square$

Remark 4.1. *According to Proposition 4.2, for a train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 that principal train with train equation $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$, the condition $A_0^2 = 0$ cannot be satisfied.*

We give the classification in dimension at most four.

Remark 4.2. *If A is train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 which is principal train of equation $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$, the type of A is $(1 + r, s, 0, 0)$.*

Proposition 4.3. *Every algebra of this class is of dimension at least 3.*

Proof. According to Proposition 4.2, $A_0 \neq 0$ and $s \geq 1$, therefore the dimension of A is $1 + r + s \geq 2$. Suppose that A is two-dimensional, thus $r = 0$. We can set $A = \langle e, v \rangle$ where $e^2 = e$ and $A_0 = \langle v \rangle$. Then, $ev = 0$, $v^2 = av$ where $a \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $0 = v^3 = a^2v$, thus $a = 0$ and $v^2 = 0$ which contradicts the Proposition 4.2, A is of dimension at least 3. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Up to isomorphism, there exists only one three-dimensional train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 that is principal train of equation $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$: $A = \langle e, e_1, e_2 \rangle$ with multiplication table $e^2 = e$, $e_1^2 = e_2$, the other products being zero.*

Proof. Assume that A is three-dimensional. Since the dimension of A_0 is at least 1, then the type of A is $(1, 2, 0, 0)$ or $(2, 1, 0, 0)$. If type of A is $(2, 1, 0, 0)$, setting $A = \langle e, u, v \rangle$ with $e^2 = e$, $A_0 = \langle v \rangle$ and $A_{1/2} = \langle u \rangle$. We have $v^2 = \beta v$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $0 = v^3 = \beta^2v$, so $\beta = 0$ and $v^2 = 0$ which is impossible according to Proposition 4.2. Therefore, the type of A is $(1, 2, 0, 0)$. Setting $A = \langle e, v_1, v_2 \rangle$ where $e^2 = e$ and $A_0 = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$. We have $ev_1 = ev_2 = 0$, $v_1^2 = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2$, $v_2^2 = b_1v_1 + b_2v_2$, $v_1v_2 = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$ where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $0 = v_1^3 = a_1^2v_1 + a_1a_2v_2 + a_2c_1v_1 + a_2c_2v_2$, $0 = v_2^3 = b_2b_1v_1 + b_2^2v_2 + b_1c_1v_1 + b_1c_2v_2$, $0 = v_1^2v_2 + 2v_1(v_1v_2) = (3c_1a_1 + 2c_1c_2 + a_2b_1)v_1 + (c_2a_1 +$

$$2c_2^2 + a_2b_2 + 2a_2c_1)v_2, 0 = v_2^2v_1 + 2v_2(v_1v_2) = (b_1a_1 + c_1b_2 + 2c_1^2 + 2c_2b_1)v_1 + (3b_2c_2 + a_2b_1 + 2c_1c_2)v_2,$$

$$\text{so } \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_1^2 + a_2c_1 & = 0 \quad (1) \\ a_1a_2 + a_2c_2 & = 0 \quad (2) \\ b_2b_1 + b_1c_1 & = 0 \quad (3) \\ b_2^2 + b_1c_2 = 0 & = 0 \quad (4) \\ 3c_1a_1 + 2c_1c_2 + a_2b_1 & = 0 \quad (5) \\ c_2a_1 + 2c_2^2 + a_2b_2 + 2a_2c_1 & = 0 \quad (6) \\ a_1b_1 + b_2c_1 + 2c_1^2 + a_2b_2 + 2c_2b_1 & = 0 \quad (7) \\ 3b_2c_2 + a_2b_1 + 2c_1c_2 & = 0 \quad (8) \end{array} \right.$$

From (2), we have $a_2 = 0$ or $a_1 = -c_2$.

- Suppose that $a_2 = 0$, then (1), (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8) imply that $a_1 = 0, c_2 = 0, b_2 = 0, c_1 = 0$. Therefore $v_1^2 = 0, v_2^2 = b_1v_1, v_1v_2 = 0$. by a change of variable, the multiplication table of A becomes: $e^2 = e, v_2^2 = v_1$, non mentioned products being zero.
- If $a_2 \neq 0, v_1^2 \neq 0$. Then (v_1, v_1^2) is a basis of A_0 . We can set $v_2 = v_1^2$. Thus $v_1v_2 = v_1^3 = 0, v_2^2 = (v_1^2)^2 = 0$ and the multiplication table of A becomes: $e^2 = e, v_1^2 = v_2$, non mentioned products being zero.

□

Theorem 4.3. Up to isomorphism, we have six four-dimensional train algebras of degree 2 and exponent 4 that are principal train of equation $x^4 - \omega(x)x^3 = 0$. Their multiplication tables are as follows, where the products not mentioned are zero:

$$T_1(a) : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, e_2^2 = e_3, e_1^2 = ae_3, a \in \mathbb{C}^*, T_1(a) \simeq T_1(a') \Leftrightarrow a'a^{-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2;$$

$$T_2 : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, e_2^2 = e_3;$$

$$T_3 : e^2 = e, e_1^2 = e_2;$$

$$T_4(a) : e^2 = e, e_1^2 = e_2, e_3^2 = ae_2, a \in \mathbb{C}^*, T_4(a) \simeq T_4(a') \Leftrightarrow a'a^{-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2;$$

$$T_5 : e^2 = e, e_1^2 = e_2, e_1e_3 = e_2;$$

$$T_6(a) : e^2 = e, e_1^2 = e_2, e_3^2 = ae_2, e_1e_3 = e_2, a \in \mathbb{C}^*, T_6(a) \simeq T_6(a') \Leftrightarrow a'a^{-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2.$$

Proof. Assume that A is four-dimensional. Since the dimension of A_0 is at least 1, then the type of A is $(3, 1, 0, 0), (2, 2, 0, 0)$ or $(1, 3, 0, 0)$. If the type of A is $(3, 1, 0, 0)$, then $A_0^2 = 0$, that is impossible. Let us examine the two remaining cases.

- i) Case 1: type of A is $(2, 2, 0, 0)$. Then, $A = \langle e, u, v_1, v_2 \rangle$ with $A_{1/2} = \langle u \rangle$ and $A_0 = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$. Since $A_0^2 \neq 0$, it exists a basis (v_1, v_2) of A_0 such that $v_1^2 \neq 0$. We can set $v_2 = v_1^2$ and we have $eu = \frac{1}{2}u, ev_1 = ev_2 = 0, u^2 = \gamma v_1 + \eta v_2, uv_1 = \mu u, uv_2 = uv_1^2 = 0, v_2^2 = (v_1^2)^2 = 0, v_1v_2 = v_1^3 = 0, \gamma, \mu, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$. We have, $0 = v_1(uv_1) = \mu^2u$, so $\mu = 0$. Since $0 = (u^2)^2 = \gamma^2v_2$, then $\gamma = 0$. Therefore, the multiplication table of A is one of the following:

$e^2 = e, eu = \frac{1}{2}u, v_1^2 = v_2$, the others products being zero;

$e^2 = e, eu = \frac{1}{2}u, v_1^2 = v_2, u^2 = \eta v_2$, the others products being zero;

ii) Case 2: type of A is $(1, 3, 0, 0)$. Then $A = \langle e, v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ with $A_{1/2} = 0$ and $A_0 = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$.

Since $A_0^2 \neq 0$, It exists a basis such that $v_1^2 \neq 0$. Setting $v_2 = v_1^2$. We have $ev_1 = ev_2 = ev_3 = 0$, $v_1^2 = v_2, v_2^2 = (v_1^2)^2 = 0, v_1v_2 = v_1^3 = 0$. Setting $v_3^2 = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3, v_1v_3 = b_1v_1 + b_2v_2 + b_3v_3, v_2v_3 = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + c_3v_3, a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Using the equalities $v_3^3 = 0, (v_3^2)^2 = 0, v_1^2v_3 + 2v_1(v_1v_3) = 0, v_1^2v_3^2 + 2(v_1v_3)^2 = 0, v_2(v_1v_3) = 0, v_2(v_2v_3) = 0, v_1(v_2v_3) = 0, v_3^2(v_1v_3) = 0, v_3^2(v_2v_3) = 0, v_3^2v_1 + 2v_3(v_3v_1) = 0, v_3^2v_2 + 2v_3(v_3v_2) = 0, (v_2v_3)^2 = 0, 2(v_1v_3)^2 + v_2v_3^2 = 0$, we obtain $a_1 = a_3 = b_1 = b_3 = c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$ and $v_3^2 = a_2v_2, v_1v_3 = b_2v_2, v_2v_3 = 0$.

□

5. STUDY OF EQUATION (1) FOR $\alpha \in \{\lambda, \bar{\lambda}\}$

In this section, A is a train of degree 2 and exponent 4 that is principal train algebra of rank 4 with train equation $x^4 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$, where $\alpha \in \{\lambda, \bar{\lambda}\}$. A partial linearization of identity (1) gives $x^3y + x(x^2y) + 2x(x(xy)) - (1 + \alpha)\omega(y)x^3 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)(x^2y + 2x(xy)) + 2\alpha\omega(x)^2xy + 2\alpha\omega(xy)x^2 = 0$. Setting $x = e$ and $y \in \ker \omega$ in this last identity, we have $[2\ell_e^3 - (1 + 2\alpha)\ell_e^2 + \alpha\ell_e](y) = 0$ where $\ell_e : \ker \omega \rightarrow \ker \omega, x \mapsto ex$. Therefore $2X^3 - (2\alpha + 1)X^2 + \alpha X$ is the minimal annihilator polynomial of ℓ_e and A admits a following Peirce decomposition $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_0 \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_\alpha$ where $A_\mu = \{x \in \ker \omega, ex = \mu x\}$ with $\mu \in \{0, 1/2, \alpha\}$. The assertion $i)$ of the following result is obtain by direct calculation. Using Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, we obtain assertions $ii)$ to $vi)$.

Corollary 5.1. *We have $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_0 \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_\alpha$ and*

- i) $A_0^2 = 0$;
- ii) $A_{1/2}^2 \subset A_0 \oplus A_\alpha$;
- iii) $A_\alpha^2 \subset A_{1/2}$;
- iv) $A_0A_{1/2} \subset A_{1/2} \oplus A_\alpha$;
- v) $A_0A_\alpha \subset A_{1/2}$;
- vi) $A_{1/2}A_\alpha \subset A_0 \oplus A_{1/2}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_\alpha$ be a Peirce decomposition of a train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 which is train of rank 4 and equation $x^4 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$. If $A_\alpha = 0$, then, for all $x_0 \in A_0$ and $x_{1/2} \in A_{1/2}$, we have the following identities:*

- i) $x_0^2 = 0$;
- ii) $x_{1/2}^3 = 0$;
- iii) $x_0(x_0x_{1/2}) = 0$;
- iv) $x_{1/2}(x_0x_{1/2}) = 0$;

$$v) (x_0 x_{1/2})^2 = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is done by setting $x = e + \beta x_0 + \mu x_{1/2}$, $\beta, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ respectively in identities $(x^4)^2 - \omega(x)^4 x^4 = 0$ (see) and $x^4 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2 x^2 = 0$. The identification of coefficients of $\mu^i \beta^j$, for $1 \leq i + j \leq 4$ in each identity. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. Let $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_\alpha$ be a Peirce decomposition of a train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 which is train of rank 4 and equation $x^4 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2 x^2 = 0$. If $A_0 = 0$, then, for all $x_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ and $x_{1/2} \in A_{1/2}$, we have the following identities:

- i) $x_\alpha^2 = 0$;
- ii) $x_{1/2}^3 = 0$;
- iii) $(x_{1/2}^2)^2 = 0$;
- iv) $x_{1/2}(x_\alpha x_{1/2}) = 0$;
- v) $x_\alpha(x_\alpha x_{1/2}) = 0$.

Proof. These identities follow by the same argument as in the previous lemma. $\square$

Proposition 5.1. Let $A = \mathbb{C}e \oplus A_{1/2} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_\alpha$ be a Peirce decomposition of a train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 which is principal train of rank 4 and equation $x^4 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2 x^2 = 0$.

- i) If $A_0 = 0$, then A satisfies the identity $x^3 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^2 + \alpha\omega(x)^2 x = 0$.
- ii) If $A_\alpha = 0$, A satisfies the equation $x^3 - \omega(x)x^2 = 0$.

Proof. By direct calculation, using Lemma 5.2, we establish the assertion i) and Lemma 5.1 allows us to obtain ii). $\square$

We give now the classification in dimension at most four.

Remark 5.1. If A is train algebra of degree 2 and exponent 4 which is principal train of equation $x^4 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2 x^2 = 0$, the type of A is $(1 + r, s, t, 0)$ or $(1 + r, s, 0, t)$ where r, s, t are respectively the dimensions of $A_{1/2}$, A_0 and A_α . We can verify that the results obtained in the case of the type $(1 + r, s, t, 0)$ are similar to those in the case $(1 + r, s, 0, t)$. Assuming that the type of A is $(1 + r, s, t, 0)$.

Proposition 5.2. Every algebra in this class is of dimension at least 3.

Proof. Since $A_\alpha \neq 0$ and $A_0 \neq 0$, then $t \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$, so $1 + r + s + t \geq 3$. $\square$

Theorem 5.1. There exists, up to isomorphism, only one 3-dimensional algebra: $e^2 = e$, $ee_2 = \alpha e_2$, the other products being zero.

Proof. Suppose that A is three-dimensional, then $r + s + t = 2$ and the type of A is $(1, 1, 1, 0)$. Setting $A = \langle e, e_1, e_2 \rangle$ with $A_{1/2} = 0$, $A_0 = \langle e_1 \rangle$ and $A_\alpha = \langle e_2 \rangle$, then $e^2 = e$, $ee_2 = \alpha e_2$, $ee_1 = e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_1 e_2 = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Up to isomorphism, the four-dimensional train algebras of this class are, where non mentioned products being zero:*

$$Alg_1 : e^2 = e, ee_2 = \alpha e_2, ee_3 = \alpha e_3;$$

$$Alg_2 : e^2 = e, ee_3 = \alpha e_3;$$

$$Alg_3 : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_3, e_1e_3 = e_2;$$

$$Alg_4 : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_3, e_1e_2 = e_3;$$

$$Alg_5 : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3;$$

$$Alg_6 : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1e_2 = e_3;$$

$$Alg_7 : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1e_3 = e_2;$$

$$Alg_8(a) : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = ae_2, a \in \mathbb{C}^*, Alg_8(a) \simeq Alg_8(a') \Leftrightarrow a'a^{-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2;$$

$$Alg_9(a) : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = ae_2, e_1e_3 = e_2, a \in \mathbb{C}^*, Alg_9(a) \simeq Alg_9(a') \Leftrightarrow a'a^{-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2;$$

$$Alg_{10}(a) : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = ae_2, e_1e_2 = e_3, a \in \mathbb{C}^*, Alg_{10}(a) \simeq Alg_{10}(a') \Leftrightarrow a'a^{-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2;$$

$$Alg_{11} : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_2 + e_3;$$

$$Alg_{12} : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_2 + e_3, e_1e_3 = e_2;$$

$$Alg_{13} : e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_2 + e_3, e_1e_2 = e_3.$$

Proof. Suppose A is four-dimensional, then $r + s + t = 3$ with $t \geq$ and $r + s \geq 1$. The type of A is $(1, 1, 2, 0)$, $(1, 2, 1, 0)$ or $(2, 1, 1, 0)$.

i) Type $A = (1, 1, 2, 0)$, i.e $A = \langle e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ where $A_{1/2} = 0$, $A_0 = \langle e_1 \rangle$ and $A_\alpha = \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle$.

Then, $e^2 = e, ee_1 = 0, ee_2 = \alpha e_2, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_1e_2 = e_1e_3 = e_2e_3 = 0$.

ii) Type $A = (1, 2, 1, 0)$ i.e $A = \langle e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ where $A_{1/2} = 0$, $A_0 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ and $A_\alpha = \langle e_3 \rangle$.

Then $e^2 = e, ee_1 = 0, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_1e_2 = e_1e_3 = e_2e_3 = 0$.

iii) Type $A = (2, 1, 1, 0)$, i.e $A = \langle e, e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$ where $A_{1/2} = \langle e_1 \rangle$, $A_0 = \langle e_2 \rangle$ and $A_\alpha = \langle e_3 \rangle$.

Then $e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = a_1e_2 + a_2e_3, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = b_1e_1, e_1e_2 = c_1e_1 + c_2e_3, e_1e_3 = d_1e_1 + d_2e_2, e_2e_3 = b_2e_1$.

1st case: $A_\alpha^2 \neq 0$, we can suppose $b_1 = 1$. Since $e_3^4 = 0$, then $d_1 = 0$ and $d_2b_2 = 0$. We have by using the fact that $e_1^4 = 0, a_2c_1d_2 = 0, d_2(a_1c_1 + c_2d_2) = 0, a_1(a_1c_1 + c_2d_2) = 0$.

- If $d_2 \neq 0$ and $a_2 = 0$, then $e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = a_1e_2, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = e_1, e_1e_2 = c_1e_1 + c_2e_3, e_1e_3 = d_2e_2, e_2e_3 = 0$. We show that A not satisfies the equation $x^4 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$.

- If $d_2 = 0$, then $e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = a_1e_2 + a_2e_3, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = e_1, e_1e_2 = c_1e_1 + c_2e_3, e_1e_3 = 0, e_2e_3 = b_2e_1$. We show that A not satisfies the equation $x^4 - (1 + \alpha)\omega(x)x^3 + \alpha\omega(x)^2x^2 = 0$.

2^{nd} case: $A_\alpha^2 = 0$, then $b_1 = 0$, $e^2 = e$, $ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1$, $ee_2 = 0$, $ee_3 = \alpha e_3$, $e_1^2 = a_1e_2 + a_2e_3$, $e_2^2 = 0$, $e_3^2 = 0$, $e_1e_2 = c_1e_1 + c_2e_3$, $e_1e_3 = d_1e_1 + d_2e_2$, $e_2e_3 = 0$.

– Suppose $a_1 = 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0$. we can set $a_2 = 1$, then $d_1 = c_1d_2 = c_2d_2 = 0$. We have the following multiplications tables:

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_3, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = 0, e_1e_3 = e_2, e_2e_3 = 0;$$

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_3, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = e_3, e_1e_3 = 0, e_2e_3 = 0;$$

– If $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, then $c_1 = d_1 = c_2d_2 = 0$, thus we have the following multiplications tables:

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = 0, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = 0, e_1e_3 = 0, e_2e_3 = 0;$$

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = 0, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = e_3, e_1e_3 = 0, e_2e_3 = 0$$

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = 0, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = 0, e_1e_3 = e_2, e_2e_3 = 0$$

– Suppose that $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 = 0$. Then we have $c_1 = d_1 = c_2d_2 = 0$ and therefore, the following multiplication tables:

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = a_1e_2, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = 0, e_1e_3 = 0, e_2e_3 = 0;$$

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = a_1e_2, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = 0, e_1e_3 = e_2, e_2e_3 = 0;$$

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = a_1e_2, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = e_3, e_1e_3 = 0, e_2e_3 = 0.$$

– Suppose that $a_1a_2 \neq 0$. We can set $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ and therefore $c_1 = d_1 = c_2d_2 = 0$. Thus we have the multiplication tables:

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_2 + e_3, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = 0, e_1e_3 = 0, e_2e_3 = 0;$$

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_2 + e_3, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = 0, e_1e_3 = e_2, e_2e_3 = 0;$$

$$e^2 = e, ee_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_1, ee_2 = 0, ee_3 = \alpha e_3, e_1^2 = e_2 + e_3, e_2^2 = 0, e_3^2 = 0, e_1e_2 = e_3, e_1e_3 = 0, e_2e_3 = 0.$$

□

Authors' Contributions. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript. The authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Andrade, A. Labra, On a Class of Baric Algebras, *Linear Algebr. Appl.* 245 (1996), 49–53. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795\(94\)00207-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(94)00207-x).
- [2] J. Bayara, A. Conseibo, M. Ouattara, F. Zitan, Power-Associative Algebras That Are Train Algebras, *J. Algebr.* 324 (2010), 1159–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.06.012>.
- [3] J. Bayara, A. Conseibo, M. Ouattara, A. Micali, Train Algebras of Degree 2 and Exponent 3, *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. - S 4* (2011), 1371–1386. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2011.4.1371>.
- [4] C.J. Gutiérrez Fernández, Principal and Plenary Train Algebras, *Commun. Algebr.* 28 (2000), 653–667. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870008826850>.
- [5] L.S. Jesus, R.S.M. Emilla, On Train Algebras of Rank 4, *Commun. Algebr.* 24 (1996), 4439–4445. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879608825825>.
- [6] R.D. Schafer, *An Introduction, to Nonassociative Algebras*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [7] A. Wörz-Busekros, *Algebras in Genetics*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1980. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-51038-0>.
- [8] W.A. Zangre, A. Conseibo, On Train Algebras of Degree 2 and Exponent 4, *Gulf J. Math.* 13 (2022), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v13i1.926>.