

COINCIDENCE POINT THEOREM FOR TWO PAIRS OF HYBRID MAPPINGS IN COMPLEX VALUED METRIC SPACES

K.P.R. RAO^{1,*}, MD. MUSTAQ ALI², A.S. BABU³

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University - Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur, Guntur Dt., A.P., India

²Department of Science and Humanities, Usharama Engg.College, Telaprolu, Krishna Dt., A.P., INDIA

³Department of Basic Science Humanities, NRI Institute of Technology, Agiripalli, Krishna Dt., A.P., INDIA

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT. In this paper using f is S -Weakly commuting we prove a coincidence point theorem for two pairs of hybrid mappings in a complex valued metric space. Our theorem is a generalization of Theorem 10 of Azam, Ahmad and Kumam [2].

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54E40, 54E35, 54H25, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. coincidence point; S - weakly commuting; hybrid mappings.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a well-known fact that the mathematical results regarding fixed points of contraction type mappings are very useful for determining the existence and uniqueness of solutions to various mathematical models. Over the last 40 years, the theory of fixed points has been developed regarding the results that are related to finding the fixed points of self and nonself nonlinear mappings in a metric space.

The study of fixed points for multi-valued contraction mappings was initiated by Nadler [18] and Markin [8]. Several authors proved fixed point results in different types of generalized metric spaces [1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19].

Azam et al. [1] introduced the concept of a complex valued metric space and obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive type condition. Subsequently, Rouzkard and Imdad [6] established some common fixed point theorems for maps satisfying certain rational expressions in complex valued metric spaces to generalize the results of [1]. In the same way, Sintunavarat et al. [21, 22] obtained common fixed point results by replacing the constant of

contractive condition to control functions. Recently, Sitthikul and Saejung [9] and Klin-eam and Suanoom [4] established some fixed point results by generalizing the contractive conditions in the context of complex valued metric spaces. Very recently, Ahmad et al. [7] obtained some new fixed point results for multi-valued mappings in the setting of complex valued metric spaces.

Throughout this paper, N and C denote the set of all positive integers and the set of all complex numbers respectively.

A complex number $z \in C$ is an ordered pair of real numbers, whose first co-ordinate is called $Re(z)$ and second co-ordinate is called $Im(z)$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in C$. Define a partial order \preceq on C as follows:

$z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2), Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$.

Thus $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following holds:

(1) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,

(2) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,

(3) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$,

(4) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$.

We will write $z_1 \succ z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (2), (3) and (4) is satisfied; also we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (4) is satisfied.

Definition 1.1. ([1]) Let X be a non empty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow C$ is called a complex valued metric on X if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;

(iii) $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in C$ with $0 \preceq c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n > n_0, d(x_n, x) \prec c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent to x and x is called the limit point of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If for every $c \in C$ with $0 \prec c$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n > n_0, d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec c$, where $m \in N$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence in (X, d) . If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in (X, d) then (X, d) is called a complete complex valued metric space.

We require the following lemmas.

The following lemmas are very useful for further discussion.

Lemma 1.2. ([1]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1.3. ([1]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we follow the notations and definitions given in [7].

Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. We denote

$s(z_1) = \{z_2 \in C : z_1 \lesssim z_2\}$ for $z_1 \in C$ and

$s(a, B) = \bigcup_{b \in B} s(d(a, b)) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{z \in C : d(a, b) \lesssim z\}$ for $a \in X$ and $B \in C(X)$.

For $A, B \in C(X)$, we denote

$$s(A, B) = \left(\bigcap_{a \in A} s(a, B) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b \in B} s(b, A) \right).$$

Remark 1.4. ([7]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and let $CB(X)$ be a collection of nonempty closed subsets of X . Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued map. For $x \in X$ and $A \in CB(X)$,

define $W_x(A) = \{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$.

Thus, for $x, y \in X$. $W_x(Ty) = \{d(x, u) : u \in Ty\}$.

Definition 1.5. ([7]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. A nonempty subset A of X is called bounded from below if there exists some $z \in C$ such that $z \lesssim a$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 1.6. ([7]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. A multivalued mapping $F : X \rightarrow 2^C$ is called bounded from below if for each $x \in X$ there exists $z_x \in C$ such that $z_x \lesssim u$ for all $u \in Fx$.

Definition 1.7. ([7]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. The multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to have the lower bound property (l.b.Property) on (X, d) if for any $x \in X$, the multi-valued mapping $F_x : X \rightarrow 2^C$ defined by $F_x(y) = W_x(Ty)$ is bounded from below. That is for $x, y \in X$, there exists an element $l_x(Ty) \in C$ such that $l_x(Ty) \lesssim u$, for all $u \in W_x(Ty)$, where $l_x(Ty)$ is called a lower bound of T associated with (x, y) .

Definition 1.8. ([7]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. The multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to have the greatest lower bound property (g.l.b.Property) on (X, d) if the greatest lower bound of $W_x(Ty)$ exists in C for all $x, y \in X$. We denote $d(x, Ty)$ by the g.l.b.Property of $W_x(Ty)$. That is $d(x, Ty) = \inf\{d(x, u) : u \in Ty\}$.

Definition 1.9. ([20]) Let $f : X \rightarrow X, S : X \rightarrow CB(X)$. f is said to be S -weakly commuting at $x \in X$ if $f^2x \in Sf x$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space.*

Let $S, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be multi valued mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$(2.1.1) Sx \subseteq g(X), Tx \subseteq f(X), \forall x \in X$$

$$(2.1.2) ad(fx, Ty) + bd(gy, Sx) + \frac{cd(fx, Ty)d(gy, Sx)}{1+d(fx, gy)} \in s(Sx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and a, b, c are non negative reals such that $2a + 2b < 1$,

$$(2.1.3) f \text{ is } S \text{ weakly commuting and } g \text{ is } T \text{ weakly commuting,}$$

$$(2.1.4) f(X) \text{ is complete.}$$

Then (f, S) and (g, T) have the same coincidence point.

Proof. Let x_1 be an arbitrary point in X . Write $y_1 = fx_1$. Since $Sx_1 \subseteq g(X)$, there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $y_2 = gx_2 \in Sx_1$.

From (2.1.2), we have

$$ad(fx_1, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_1) + \frac{cd(fx_1, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_1)}{1+d(fx_1, gx_2)} \in s(Sx_1, Tx_2).$$

$$ad(fx_1, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_1) + \frac{cd(fx_1, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_1)}{1+d(fx_1, gx_2)} \in \left(\bigcap_{x \in Sx_1} s(x, Tx_2) \right).$$

$$ad(fx_1, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_1) + \frac{cd(fx_1, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_1)}{1+d(fx_1, gx_2)} \in s(x, Tx_2), \forall x \in Sx_1.$$

$$ad(fx_1, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_1) + \frac{cd(fx_1, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_1)}{1+d(fx_1, gx_2)} \in s(gx_2, Tx_2).$$

$$ad(fx_1, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_1) + \frac{cd(fx_1, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_1)}{1+d(fx_1, gx_2)} \in \bigcup_{x \in Tx_2} s(d(gx_2, x)).$$

Since $Tx_2 \subseteq f(X)$, there exists some $x_3 \in X$ with $y_3 = fx_3 \in Tx_2$ such that $ad(fx_1, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_1) + \frac{cd(fx_1, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_1)}{1+d(fx_1, gx_2)} \in s(d(gx_2, fx_3))$.

Hence

$$d(gx_2, fx_3) \lesssim ad(fx_1, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_1) + \frac{cd(fx_1, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_1)}{1+d(fx_1, gx_2)}.$$

$$d(y_2, y_3) \lesssim ad(y_1, y_3) + bd(y_2, y_2) + \frac{cd(y_1, y_3)d(y_2, y_2)}{1+d(y_1, y_2)}.$$

$$|d(y_2, y_3)| \leq a |d(y_1, y_2)| + a |d(y_2, y_3)|.$$

$$|d(y_2, y_3)| \leq \frac{a}{1-a} |d(y_1, y_2)|. \text{ '.....(1)}$$

Now,

$$ad(fx_3, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(fx_3, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(fx_3, gx_2)} \in s(Sx_3, Tx_2).$$

$$ad(fx_3, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(fx_3, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(fx_3, gx_2)} \in \left(\bigcap_{y \in Tx_2} s(Sx_3, y) \right).$$

$$ad(fx_3, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(fx_3, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(fx_3, gx_2)} \in s(Sx_3, y), \forall y \in Tx_2$$

$$ad(fx_3, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(fx_3, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(fx_3, gx_2)} \in s(Sx_3, fx_3).$$

$$ad(fx_3, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(fx_3, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(fx_3, gx_2)} \in \bigcup_{y \in Sx_3} s(d(y, fx_3)).$$

Since $Sx_3 \subseteq g(X)$, there exists some $x_4 \in X$ with $y_4 = gx_4 \in Sx_3$ such that $ad(fx_3, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(fx_3, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(fx_3, gx_2)} \in s(d(gx_4, fx_3))$.

Hence

$$d(gx_4, fx_3) \lesssim ad(fx_3, Tx_2) + bd(gx_2, Sx_3) + \frac{cd(fx_3, Tx_2)d(gx_2, Sx_3)}{1+d(fx_3, gx_2)}.$$

$$d(y_3, y_4) \lesssim ad(y_3, y_3) + bd(y_2, y_4) + \frac{cd(y_3, y_3)d(y_2, y_4)}{1+d(y_3, y_2)}.$$

$$|d(y_3, y_4)| \leq b |d(y_2, y_3)| + b |d(y_3, y_4)|$$

$$|d(y_3, y_4)| \leq \frac{b}{1-b} |d(y_2, y_3)|. \dots\dots(2)$$

putting $h = \max \left\{ \frac{a}{1-a}, \frac{b}{1-b} \right\}$ and we continuing in this way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |d(y_n, y_{n+1})| &\leq h |d(y_{n-1}, y_n)| \\ &\leq h^2 |d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq h^{n-1} |d(y_1, y_2)| \end{aligned}$$

Now for $m > n$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} |d(y_n, y_m)| &\leq |d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m)| \\ &\leq h^{n-1} + h^n + \dots + h^{m-2} |d(y_1, y_2)| \\ &\leq \left[\frac{h^{n-1}}{1-h} \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Since $f(X)$ is complete, $\{y_{2n+1}\} = \{fx_{2n+1}\}$ is Cauchy, it follows that $\{y_{2n+1}\}$ converges to $u \in f(X)$. Hence there exists $v \in X$ such that $u = fv$.

Since $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and $\{y_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow u$ it follow that $\{y_{2n}\} \rightarrow u$.

$$ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in s(Sv, Tx_{2n}).$$

$$ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in \left(\bigcap_{y \in Tx_{2n}} s(Sv, y) \right).$$

$$ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in s(Sv, y), \forall y \in Tx_{2n}.$$

$$ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in s(Sv, y_{2n+1}).$$

$$ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in \bigcup_{u^1 \in Sv} s(d(u^1, y_{2n+1})).$$

There exists $v_n \in Sv$ such that

$$ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})} \in s(d(v_n, y_{2n+1})).$$

$$\text{Therefore } d(v_n, y_{2n+1}) \lesssim ad(fv, Tx_{2n}) + bd(gx_{2n}, Sv) + \frac{cd(fv, Tx_{2n})d(gx_{2n}, Sv)}{1+d(fv, gx_{2n})}.$$

Using g.l.b.property, we get

$$d(v_n, y_{2n+1}) \preceq ad(fv, y_{2n+1}) + bd(y_{2n}, v_n) + \frac{cd(fv, y_{2n+1})d(y_{2n}, v_n)}{1+d(fv, y_{2n})}.$$

Using triangular inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(v_n, y_{2n+1}) &\lesssim ad(fv, y_{2n+1}) + bd(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + bd(y_{2n+1}, v_n) + \frac{cd(fv, y_{2n+1})d(y_{2n}, v_n)}{1+d(fv, y_{2n})}. \\ d(v_n, y_{2n+1}) &\lesssim \frac{a}{1-b}d(fv, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{b}{1-b}d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{c}{1-b} \frac{d(fv, y_{2n+1})d(y_{2n}, v_n)}{1+d(fv, y_{2n})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(fv, v_n) &\lesssim d(fv, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, v_n). \\ &\lesssim d(fv, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{a}{1-b}d(fv, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{b}{1-b}d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + \frac{c}{1-b} \frac{d(fv, y_{2n+1})d(y_{2n}, v_n)}{1+d(fv, y_{2n})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |d(fv, v_n)| &\leq |d(fv, y_{2n+1})| + \frac{a}{1-b} |d(fv, y_{2n+1})| + \frac{b}{1-b} |d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})| \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{1-b} \frac{|d(fv, y_{2n+1})| |d(y_{2n}, v_n)|}{|1+d(fv, y_{2n})|}. \end{aligned} \text{ Letting } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we obtain

$$|d(fv, v_n)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \text{ By Lemma 1.2, we have } v_n \rightarrow fv \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since Sv is closed and $\{v_n\} \subseteq Sv$, it follows that $fv \in Sv$.

Now $u = fv \in Sv$ and $Sv \subseteq g(X)$ it follows that $u = fv = gw$ for some $w \in X$.

$$ad(fx_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(fx_{2n-1}, Tw)d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \in s(Sx_{2n-1}, Tw).$$

$$\begin{aligned} ad(fx_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(fx_{2n-1}, Tw)d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \\ \in \left(\bigcap_{y^1 \in Sx_{2n-1}} s(y^1, Tw) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ad(fx_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(fx_{2n-1}, Tw)d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \\ \in s(y^1, Tw), \forall y^1 \in Sx_{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$ad(fx_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(fx_{2n-1}, Tw)d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \in s(y_{2n}, Tw).$$

$$ad(fx_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(fx_{2n-1}, Tw)d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \in \bigcup_{u^1 \in Tw} s(d(y_{2n}, u^1)).$$

There exists some $w_n \in Tw$ such that

$$ad(fx_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(fx_{2n-1}, Tw)d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)} \in s(d(y_{2n}, w_n)).$$

$$d(y_{2n}, w_n) \lesssim ad(fx_{2n-1}, Tw) + bd(gw, Sx_{2n-1}) + \frac{cd(fx_{2n-1}, Tw)d(gw, Sx_{2n-1})}{1+d(fx_{2n-1}, gw)}.$$

Using g.l.b.property, we obtain

$$d(y_{2n}, w_n) \lesssim ad(y_{2n-1}, w_n) + bd(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{cd(y_{2n-1}, w_n)d(gw, y_{2n})}{1+d(y_{2n-1}, gw)}.$$

Using triangular inequality, we have

$$d(y_{2n}, w_n) \lesssim ad(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + ad(y_{2n}, w_n) + bd(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{cd(y_{2n-1}, w_n)d(gw, y_{2n})}{1+d(y_{2n-1}, gw)}.$$

$$d(y_{2n}, w_n) \lesssim \frac{a}{1-a}d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + \frac{b}{1-a}d(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{c}{1-a} \frac{d(y_{2n-1}, w_n)d(gw, y_{2n})}{1+d(y_{2n-1}, gw)}.$$

Now consider $d(gw, w_n) \lesssim d(gw, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, w_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim d(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{a}{1-a}d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + \frac{b}{1-a}d(gw, y_{2n}) + \frac{c}{1-a} \frac{d(y_{2n-1}, w_n)d(gw, y_{2n})}{1+d(y_{2n-1}, gw)}. \\ |d(gw, w_n)| &\leq |d(gw, y_{2n})| + \frac{a}{1-a} |d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})| + \frac{b}{1-a} |d(gw, y_{2n})| \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{1-a} \frac{|d(y_{2n-1}, w_n)||d(gw, y_{2n})|}{|1+d(y_{2n-1}, gw)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$|d(gw, w_n)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 1.2, we have $w_n \rightarrow gw$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since Tw is closed and $\{w_n\} \subseteq Tw$, it follows that $gw \in Tw$.

We have $u = fv = gw \in Tw$.

Since f is S -weakly commuting and g is T -weakly commuting we have

$$f^2v \in Sfv \Rightarrow fu \in Su \text{ and } g^2w \in Tgw \Rightarrow gu \in Tu.$$

Thus the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) have the same coincident point. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, Corrigendum: Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. , 33(5) (2012), 590-600.
- [2] A. Azam, J. Ahmad and P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in complex valued metric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2013 (2013), Article ID 578.
- [3] A. Azam and M. Arshad, Common fixed points of generalized contractive maps in cone metric spaces, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. , 35(2) (2009), 255-264.
- [4] C. Klin-eam and C. Suanoom, Some common fixed point theorems for generalized contractive type mappings on complex valued metric spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013 (2013), Article ID 604215.
- [5] E. Karapinar, Some non unique fixed point theorems of Ciric type on cone metric spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal. , 2010 (2010), Article ID 123094.
- [6] F. Rouzkard and M. Imdad, Some common fixed point theorms on complex valued metric spaces, Comp. Math. Appls. , 64 (2012), 1866-1874.
- [7] J. Ahmad, C. Klin-eam and A, Azam, Common fixed point for multi valued mappings in complex valued metric spaces with applications, Abstr. Appl. Anal. , 2013 (2013), Article ID 854965.
- [8] JT. Markin, Continuous dependence of fixed point sets, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. , 38 (1973), 545-547.
- [9] K. Sitthikul and S. Saejung, Some fixed points in complex valued metric spaces, Fixed point theory Appl. , 2012 (2012), Article ID 189.

- [10] LG. Huang and X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* , 332 (2007), 1468-1476.
- [11] MA. Kutbi, J. Ahmad and A. Azam, On fixed points of $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive multi-valued mappings in cone metric spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* , 2013 (2013), Article ID 313782.
- [12] MA. Kutbi, A. Azam, J. Ahmad and C. Di Bari, Some common coupled fixed point results for generalized contraction in complex valued metric spaces, *J. Appl. Math.* , 2013 (2013), Article ID 352927.
- [13] M. Abbas, B. Fisher and T. Nazir, Well-posedness and peroidic point property of mappings satisfying a rational inequality in an ordrerd complex valued metric spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* , 32 (2011), 243-253.
- [14] M. Arshad, A. Azam and P. Vetro, Some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces, *Fixed point theory Appl.* , 2009 (2009), Article Id 493965.
- [15] M. Arshad, E. Karapinar and J. Ahmad, Some unique fixed point theorem for rational contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* , 2013 (2013), Article ID 248.
- [16] M. Abbas, M. Arshad and A. Azam, Fixed points of asymptotically regular mappings in complex valued metric spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* , 20 (2013), 213-221.
- [17] MH. Shah, S. Simic, N. Hussain, A. Sretenovic and S. Radenovic, Common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* , 14 (2012), 290-297.
- [18] SB Jr. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pac. J. Math.* , 30 (1969), 475-478.
- [19] SH. Cho and JS. Bae, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued maps in cone metric spaces, *Fixed point theory and Applications*, 2011 (2011), Artcle ID 87.
- [20] T. Kamran, Coincidence and fixed points for hybrid strict contraction mapping, *Journnal Math. Anal. Appl.* , 299 (2004), 235-241.
- [21] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces and applications, *J. Inequal. appl.* , 2012 (2012), Article ID 84.
- [22] W. Sintunavarat, Y. J. Cho and P. Kumam, Urysohn integral equations approach common fixed points in complex valued metric spaces, *Adv. differ. Equ.* , 2013 (2013), Article ID 49.