

MAJORIZATION PROBLEM FOR CERTAIN CLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY GENERALIZED OPERATOR

H. E. DARWISH¹, A.Y. LASHIN¹, R.M. EL-ASHWAH² AND E. M. MADAR^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science, Mansoura University Mansoura, 35516, EGYPT

²Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta, 34517, EGYPT

*Corresponding author: entesarmadar@gmail.com

Received Jan 2, 2018

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate majorization properties for certain classes of multivalent analytic functions defined by a generalized operator. Also, we point out some new and known consequences of our main result.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

Key words and phrases. rational functions; starlike functions; convex functions; subordination; Majorization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{p+k}, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Also, let $\mathcal{A}_1 := \mathcal{A}$. For functions $f_j \in \mathcal{A}_p$ given by

$$(1.2) \quad f_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^{p+k}, \quad (j = 1, 2; p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f_1 and f_2 by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^{p+k} = (f_2 * f_1)(z).$$

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in Δ . Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in Δ , if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in Δ with

$$w(0) = 0, \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

We denote this subordination by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in Δ , then $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in \Delta$) $\Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta)$.

Suppose that the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in open unit disk Δ . Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in Δ (see [5]) and written as follows

$$(1.3) \quad f(z) \ll g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

if there exists a function $\phi(z)$, analytic in Δ , such that

$$|\phi(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = \phi(z)g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

The majorization (1.3) is closely related to the concept of quasi-subordination between analytic functions in Δ .

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s$ ($q, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, q \leq s + 1$) be complex numbers such that $\beta_\ell \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ for $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. The generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s$ is given by

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k, (\alpha_2)_k, \dots, (\alpha_q)_k}{(\beta_1)_k, (\beta_2)_k, \dots, (\beta_s)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where $(x)_k$ denotes the pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_k = x(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+k-1) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (x)_0 = 1.$$

Corresponding to a function $\Re_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z)$ defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \Re_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z) := z^p {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z),$$

Selvaraj and Selvakumarn [10] recently defined the following generalized differential operator $G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$ by

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} G_\lambda^{p,0}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) &= f(z) * G_{q,s}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z), \\ G_\lambda^{p,1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) &= (1-\lambda)(f(z) * G_{q,s}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z)) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z(f(z) * G_{q,s}^p(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z))', \\ G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) &= G_\lambda^{p,1}(G_\lambda^{p,m-1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)), \end{aligned}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$, then we have

$$(1.6) \quad G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p + \lambda k}{p} \right)^m \frac{(\alpha_1)_k, (\alpha_2)_k, \dots, (\alpha_q)_k}{(\beta_1)_k, (\beta_2)_k, \dots, (\beta_s)_k} a_n \frac{z^{p+k}}{n!}.$$

It can be seen that, by specializing the parameters the operator $G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ reduces to many known and new integral and differential operators. For example, when $m = 0$ the operator $G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ reduces to the well known Dziok-Srivastava operator [3] and for $p = 1, q = 2, s = 1, \alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$, it reduces to the operator introduced by AL-Oboudi

[1]. Further we remark that, when $p = 1$, $q = 2$, $s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ the operator $G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ reduces to the operator introduced by G. S. Salagean [8].

It can be easily verified from [6] that

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda z(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))' = p G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) - p(1-\lambda)G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z).$$

Using the operator $G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ we now define the following class of p -valent analytic functions.

Definition 1. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $M_{\lambda,m}^{p,j}(A, B; b, \gamma)$ of p -valent functions of complex order $b \neq 0$ in Δ if and only if

$$(1.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{j+1}}{(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^j} - p + j \right) \right\} < \frac{1 + [(B-A)\gamma + A]z}{1 + Bz}$$

$$(z \in \Delta; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1; p \in \mathbb{N}, m, j \in \mathbb{N}_0; b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}; p > |b\lambda(A-B)(1-\gamma) + pB|).$$

It can be seen that, by specializing the parameters the class $M_{\lambda,m}^{p,j}(A, B; b, \gamma)$ reduces to many known subclasses of analytic functions. In particular, when $\gamma = 0$ the class reduces to the class $M_{\lambda,m}^{p,j}(A, B; b)$ which has recently been introduced by Selvaraj and Selvakumaran [10] and when $A = 1$, $B = -1$ and $\gamma = 0$ the class reduces to the class $M_{\lambda,m}^{p,j}(b)$ which has recently been introduced by Selvaraj and Selvakumaran [9]. Further, when $q = 2$, $s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$, we have the following relationships:

$$(1) M_{\lambda,0}^{1,0}(1, -1; b, 0) = S(b) \quad (b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}) \text{ [see Aouf [6]].}$$

$$(2) M_{\lambda,0}^{1,1}(1, -1; b, 0) = K(b) \quad (b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}) \text{ [see Aouf [6]].}$$

$$(3) M_{\lambda,0}^{1,0}(1, -1; 1 - \alpha, 0) = S^*(\alpha) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \text{ [see Wiatrowski [11]].}$$

The classes $S(b)$ and $K(b)$ are said to be the classes of starlike and convex functions of complex order $b \neq 0$ in Δ which were studied by Nasr and Aouf [6] and Wiatrowski [11] and $S^*(\alpha)$ is the class of starlike functions of order α in Δ .

2. MAJORIZATION PROBLEM FOR THE CLASS $M_{\lambda,m}^{p,j}(A, B; b, \gamma)$

Theorem 1. Let the function $f(z)$ be in the class \mathcal{A}_p and suppose that $g(z) \in M_{\lambda,m}^{p,j}(A, B; b, \gamma)$. If $(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by $(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}$ in Δ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then

$$(2.1) \quad |(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)}| \leq |(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}| \quad \text{for } |z| \leq r_1,$$

where $r_0 = r_0(p, b, \lambda, \gamma, A, B)$ is smallest positive root of the equation

$$(2.2) \quad |b\lambda(A-B)(1-\gamma) + pB| r^3 - (p+2\lambda|B|)r^2 - (|b\lambda(A-B)(1-\gamma) + pB| + 2\lambda)r + p = 0$$

$$(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; p \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}).$$

Proof. Let

$$(2.3) \quad h(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j+1)}}{(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)}} - p + j \right) \\ (p \in \mathbb{N}; m, j \in \mathbb{N}_0; b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}; p > j).$$

Since $g(z) \in M_{\lambda,m}^{p,j}(b, \gamma, A, B)$, we find from (1.8) that

$$(2.4) \quad h(z) = \frac{1 + [(B - A)\gamma + A]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)},$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in Δ , which satisfies the conditions

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{z(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j+1)}}{(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)}} \\ = \frac{(p - j) + [b(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + (p - j)B]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}.$$

In view of

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda z(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j+1)} \\ = p(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)} - (p - p\lambda + \lambda j)(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)},$$

(2.5) immediately yields the following inequality:

$$(2.7) \quad |(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}| \\ \leq \frac{p(1 + |B||z|)}{p - |b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB||z|} |(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}|.$$

Since $(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by $(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}$ in Δ , there exist an analytic function $\phi(z)$

such that

$$(2.8) \quad (G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)} = \phi(z)(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}$$

and $|\phi(z)| \leq 1$ ($z \in \Delta$). Thus we have

$$(2.9) \quad z(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j+1)} = z\phi'(z)(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)} \\ + z\phi(z)(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j+1)}.$$

Using (2.6), in the above equation, we get

$$(2.10) \quad (G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)} = \frac{\lambda z}{p}\phi'(z)(G_\lambda^{p,m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)} \\ + \phi(z)(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}.$$

Noting that $\phi(z)$ satisfies (cf. [4], [7])

$$(2.11) \quad |\phi'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

we see that

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & |(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)}| \leq \\ & \left\{ |\phi(z)| + \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \frac{\lambda|z|(1 + |B||z|)}{p - |b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB||z|} \right\} \\ & |(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}|, \end{aligned}$$

which, upon setting

$$|z| = r \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi(z)| = \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho \leq 1)$$

leads us to the following inequality:

$$(2.13) \quad |(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^{(j)}| \leq \Psi(r, \rho) |(G_\lambda^{p,m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^{(j)}|,$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad \Psi(r, \rho) = \frac{-\lambda r(1 + |B|r)\rho^2 + (1 - r^2)\rho(p - |b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB|r) + \lambda r(1 + |B|r)}{(1 - r^2)(p - |b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB||z|)}.$$

In order to determine r_1 , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= \max\{r \in [0, 1] : \Psi(r, \rho) \leq 1, \forall \rho \in [0, 1]\} \\ &= \max\{r \in [0, 1] : \chi(r, \rho) \leq 1, \forall \rho \in [0, 1]\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi(r, \rho) &= (1 - r^2)(p - |b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB||z|) - \\ & \rho(1 - r^2)(p - |b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB|r) - \\ & (1 - \rho^2)\lambda(1 + |B|r)r. \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows that the inequality $\chi(r, \rho) \geq 0$ is equivalent to

$$u(r, \rho) = (1 - r^2)(p - |b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB||z|) - \lambda(1 + |B|r)r(1 + \rho) \geq 0.$$

Obviously the function $u(r, \rho)$ takes its minimum value at $\rho = 1$, i.e.

$$\min\{u(r, \rho) : \rho \in [0, 1]\} = u(r, 1) = v(r),$$

where

$$v(r) = |b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB|r^3 - (p + 2\lambda|B|)r^2 - (|b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB| + 2\lambda)r + p.$$

It follows that $v(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in [0, r_0]$, where $r_0(p, b, \lambda, \gamma, A, B)$ is the smallest positive real root of the equation (2.2). In fact, as one can see easily, in case, i.e either $|b\lambda(A - B)(1 - \gamma) + pB| \neq 0$, or if it is equal to zero, (2.2) has a unique root in the interval $(0, 1)$ and this is smallest positive root of equation (2.2). This completes the Theorem 1.

As a special case of Theorem 1, when $A = 1$ and $B = -1$, we have □

Corollary 1. [9] *Let the function $f(z)$ be in the class \mathcal{A}_p and suppose that $g(z) \in M_{\lambda, m}^{p, j}(b, \gamma)$. If $(G_{\lambda}^{p, m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(G_{\lambda}^{p, m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^j$ in Δ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then*

$$(2.16) \quad |(G_{\lambda}^{p, m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))^j| \leq |(G_{\lambda}^{p, m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))^j| \text{ for } |z| \leq r_1,$$

where

$$(2.17) \quad r_1 = r_1(p, b, \lambda, \gamma, A, B) := \frac{k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4p|p + 2b\lambda(\gamma - 1)|}}{2|p + 2b\lambda(\gamma - 1)|}$$

($k := 2\lambda + p + |p + 2b\lambda(\gamma - 1)|$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$; $b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$; $\lambda \geq 0$).

Setting $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $p = 1$ and $j = 0$ in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 2. *Let the function $f(z)$ be in the class \mathcal{A} be analytic and univalent in the open disk Δ and suppose that $g(z) \in M_{\lambda, m}^{1, 0}(b, \gamma)$. If $(G_{\lambda}^{1, m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))$ is majorized by $(G_{\lambda}^{1, m}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))$ in Δ , then*

$$(2.18) \quad |(G_{\lambda}^{1, m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'| \leq |(G_{\lambda}^{1, m+1}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)g(z))'| \text{ for } |z| \leq r_2,$$

where

$$(2.19) \quad r_2 : = \frac{k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4|1 + 2b\lambda(\gamma - 1)|}}{2|1 + 2b\lambda(\gamma - 1)|}$$

$$(k : = 2\lambda + 1 + |1 + 2b\lambda(\gamma - 1)|; b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}; \lambda \geq 0).$$

Further putting $\lambda = 1$, $m = 0$, $q = 2$, $s = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$ in Corollary 2, we get

Corollary 3. [2] *Let the function $f(z)$ be in the class \mathcal{A} be analytic and univalent in the open disk Δ and suppose that $g(z) \in \varphi(b, \gamma)$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in Δ , then*

$$(2.20) \quad |f'(z)| \leq |g'(z)| \text{ for } |z| \leq r_3,$$

where

$$(2.21) \quad r_3 = \frac{3 + |1 + 2b(\gamma - 1)| - \sqrt{9 + 2|1 + 2b(\gamma - 1)| + |1 + 2b(\gamma - 1)|^2}}{2|1 + 2b(\gamma - 1)|}.$$

For $b = 1$, Corollary 3 reduces to the following result:

Corollary 4. [5] *Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be analytic and univalent in the open disk Δ and suppose that $g(z) \in \varphi^* = \varphi^*(0)$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in Δ , then*

$$(2.22) \quad |f'(z)| \leq |g'(z)| \text{ for } |z| \leq r_4$$

where

$$r_4 = \frac{3 + |(2\gamma - 1)| - \sqrt{9 + 2|(2\gamma - 1)| + |(2\gamma - 1)|^2}}{2|(2\gamma - 1)|}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, no. 25-28, (2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] O. Altıntaş, Ö. Özkan and H. M. Srivastava, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* 46, no. 3, (2001), 207-218.
- [3] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic function associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 103, no. 1, (1999), 1-13.
- [4] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent functions*, Vol. I, Mariner, Tampa, FL, 1983.
- [5] T. H. MacGregor, Majorization by univalent functions, *Duke Math. J.* 34, (1967), 95-102.
- [6] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, *J. Natur. Sci. Math.* 25, no. 1, (1985), 1-12.
- [7] Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, Toronto, London, 1952.
- [8] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, in *Complex analysis-fifth Romanian Finnish seminar*, Part 1 (Bucharest), *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1013, Springer, Berlin, (1981), 362-372.
- [9] C. Selvaraj and K. A. Selvakumarn, Majorization problem for certain classes of analytic functions, *International Mathematical Forum*, Vol. 6, (2011), no. 6, 289 - 294.
- [10] C. Selvaraj and K. A. Selvakumarn, Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions defined by a generalized operator, *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 3, No. 6, (2010), 1048-1054.
- [11] P. Wiatrowski, The coefficients of certain family of holomorphic functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Lodz. Nauki Mat. przyrod. Ser. II No. 39 Mat.*, (1971), 75-85.